UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Civil Docket#

GIZELLA WEISSHAUS,

96-cv-4849

- versus -

U.S. Courthouse

Brooklyn, New York

U.B.S,

Defendants:

Plaintiff

November 20, 2000

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD R. KORMAN UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE

#### ANCES:

For the Plaintiffs:

BURT NEWBORNE, ESQ. ROBERT SWIFT, ESQ. STEVEN WINSTON, ESQ. MELL URBACH, ESQ. BARRY FISCHER, ESQ. NORMAN ROSENBAUM, ESQ. LARRY SCHONBRUN, ESQ. JOSH KONECKY, ESQ.

JUDAH GRIBETZ, ESQ. Special Master

Audio Operator:

WINNIE VALENTIN

Court Transcriber:

TRANSCRIPTION PLUS II

2348 83rd Street

Brooklyn, New York 11214

(718) 266-1590

Transcribed by:

JEANINE MARTELLE ROSALIE LOMBARDI

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

| 1   | Table of Contents:            |
|-----|-------------------------------|
| 2   | Mr.Burt Newborne, Esq2        |
| 3   | Mr. Robert Swift, Esq11       |
| 4   | Mr. Winston11                 |
| 5   | Mr. Mel Urbach18              |
| 6   | Mr. Barry Fisher18            |
| 7   | Mr. Maurice Deluty31          |
| 8   | Ms. Gizella Weisshaus38       |
| 9   | Ms. Greta Beer46              |
| 10  | Mr. Raymond Fischer48         |
| 11  | Mr. James Pellechia50         |
| 12  | Mr. Norman Rosenbaum, Esq60   |
| 13  | Mr. Lawrence Schonbrun, Esq61 |
| L4  | Mr. Ernest Lobett             |
| 15  | Rabbi Morris Schmidman80      |
| 16  | Dr. Norbert Beckales86        |
| L 7 | Mr. Leo Rechter88             |
| L 8 | Mr. Josh Konecky, Esq95       |
| L 9 | Ms. Fira Stukelman99          |
| 0 2 | Rabbi Chaiem Stauber105       |
| 21  | Mr. John Nikles110            |
| 22  | Ms. Kamilla Prigova119        |
| 2.3 | Mr. Eliazar Bloshteyn122      |
| 24  | Mr. Adam Schmyt127            |
| 2.5 | Mr. Vincent Gerardi129        |
| 1   |                               |

|     | İ     | , |
|-----|-------|---|
| 1   | Mr. I | avid Tannenbaum131                      |
| 2   | Mr. I | Nathan Sobel135                         |
| 3   | Ms.   | nna Arolovich                           |
| 4   | Mr.   | Saiha Katsap140                         |
| 5   | Ms. I | Ruth Horak142                           |
| 6   | Mr.   | var Segalowitz148                       |
| 7   | Mr. I | avid Handwhal153                        |
| 8   | Mr.   | Carl Rheins156                          |
| 9   | Ms. ( | Carla Swhartz163                        |
| 10  | Ms.   | udy Hager166                            |
| 11  | Ms. ( | Chaya Hoffman170                        |
| 12  | Mr.   | Terome Shestak, Esq                     |
| 13  | Mr. 1 | Sphram Savit                            |
| 14  | Ms. I | Eva Lerner180                           |
| 15  | Rabb  | Oswald Besser185                        |
| 16  | Mr. I | avid Moskovits189                       |
| 17  | Mr. H | Marry Reicher194                        |
| 18  | Mr. I | Robert Swift, Esq202                    |
| 19  | Mr. I | Mel Urbach210                           |
| 2 0 | Mr. V | Teiss213                                |
| 21  | Mr. ( | George Klein216                         |
| 22  | Mr. I | Marold Suretsky221                      |
| 23  |       |   |
| 24  |       |   |
| 25  |       |   |
|     |       |   |

## Proceedings

THE CLERK: In Re: Holocaust Victim
Assets litigation.

The Honorable Edward R. Korman, presiding.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it's a pleasure for me to welcome you here again on the next step in a long road.

We had a very valuable fairness hearing last year. There were many comments that were made that were very helpful and that I took into account before I approved the fairness of the settlement.

Today we meet to hear comment on the proposed plan of allocation by the Special Master, Judah Gribetz, and we'll begin first with some brief statements by some of the plaintiff's lawyers, and then we'll hear from the people who have asked to be heard that I have on my list.

The first of the lawyers is the plaintiff's lead counsel, Burt Newborne.

MR. NEWBORNE: Judge Korman, with your permission, we'll all face the audience and not the bench.

I too would like to welcome you all

here and to tell you how helpful the comments that were received in connection with the first famous hearing were to us in attempting to develop the fairest possible plan of allocation.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I simply want to remind us all of two things before we actually begin the process of considering the Special Master's proposed plan.

First, and for me and I think for all of the lawyers, the most important aspect of this the \$1.25 billion settlement fund is a significant amount of money, but everyone of us in this room knows that it isn't close to sufficient to do justice to the parties who suffered during the Holocaust. By definition, because it was done by human beings and because it was done in a legal system that is fair but not perfect, it is an effort to do the best we could to get the most money we could at a point where it could do the most good for those victims who are still alive. And that meant that because it wasn't large enough to do complete justice to everyone, that it was necessary for us to work out a mechanism to allocate and apportion that fund between and among a large number of people, all of whom,

every single one of whom, had strong moral claims to the money. Because there wasn't enough to go around, we had to figure out a way, a fair way, of allocating among those people who had claims and there were two ways we could have gone: The traditional, legal way would be say to everybody who had a chance to have a distribution from the fund to fight with everyone else.

In other words, we could have set up the classic, legal, adversarial proceeding at which the survivors were to fight with each other over their relative shares of the fund.

We found that morally, psychologically, legally impossible. To do that would have caused survivors at the last moments of their lives to be squabbling with one another over an inadequate fund for a share of the proceeds.

Instead, with the Court's cooperation, we attempted a different approach. We attempted to set up a mechanism that was scrupulously fair, scrupulously open, scrupulously transparent, and that mechanism would be a special master who was completely neutral, who would hear from the community in a non-

adversarial way, in a way that would give dignity and recognition to every survivor and who would then attempt to work out a fair and rational allocation plan which he would then present to the community for their comment and eventually to the Court for its approval.

who was given that extraordinarily difficult task. It's a task that can't satisfy everyone because by definition there isn't enough money for everyone, but it was our hope that by providing an absolutely fair procedure in which everyone's interests could be considered and then dealt with by a special master who had absolutely no ties to any group, that he was completely neutral, that we would find a way to allocate this money in a way that would be accepted by the community as fair without causing pain and without causing unnecessary divisiveness in a community that should stand as a single unity and not as a divided group.

So I hope that in that spirit, the spirit of attempting to find a non-adversarial way of dealing with this very difficult allocation question. But we'll proceed this

1 morning to discuss the merits of the special 2 master's allocation plan.

The second point, and this is a general 3 point, that I hope that all of us can remember 4 5 because it's important in terms of our understanding of exactly what the special master None of us, not the Judge, not the special master, not the lawyers, not the victims are free to do with this money exactly what we wish. This is not a humanitarian fund, the \$1.25 billion, that we can allocate anyway we want. 11 It isn't a charity that could be allocated in 12 13 accordance with the principles of abstract 14 justice. It's the settlement of a lawsuit.

And, therefore, in order to allocate the proceeds of the settlement of the lawsuit, the special master was obliged to consider one very, very important criteria and it's a criteria that doesn't necessarily correspond to abstract justice or to morality. The special master was a obliged to consider the relative legal strength of the claims of the five plaintiff classes, the relative strength of deposited assets class, the relative strength of the slave labor one class and the slave labor

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

two class, the relative strength of the looted
assets class, and the relative strength of the
refugee class.

The allocation that he suggests is not intended as a reflection of the relative 5 suffering of those classes. It is not intended 6 as a reflection of the relative - even the 7 relative losses of those classes. What it is 8 intended to do is to reflect the relative 9 strength of the legal and factual under pains of 10 each of those classes so that the allocation 11 that we will make of those funds will be 12 13 consistent with law. Because after all, this is 14 the final step in a lawsuit, not a process of 15 abstract justice.

And that leads me to the last thing I want to say because I think, undoubtedly, the most controversial aspect of the special master's plan was his decision that it was impossible to provide an individualized administration of the looted assets class, that the looted assets class is so large, contains so many people, that the Nazis looted so many people and so many people had damage, property damage and inflicted upon them by the Nazis that

16

17

20

21

for us even to take the entire fund, even if we were to leave out the deposited assets and refugee and slave labor class people, which we can't because we're by law obliged to try to provide fair distribution for them as well, but even if we were to leave them entirely out, the administrative costs of locating each looted -- each victim of looting and to provide some money to each victim of looting, the administrative costs would have eaten up the fund and we would have wasted it on process instead of on people.

And secondly, the amounts that would have gone to each person would have been so small that they would have been non. And so the special master recommends, and I hardly concur, that the only fair way to administer the looted assets class is to do so in a cypres. It's a mechanism of the law that says: If you can't do the perfect job, which would be to give each person their money back, you do the next best thing. And so the next best thing, in the opinion of the special master, is to try to identify those victims of looting who have suffered deeply, who have never been compensated, and who are currently in greatest

need, and that's what he's done in connection with his report.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My final observation narrows it down, is that the numbers in the report are by necessity tentative. He made the decision that the deposited assets class, who's clearly the strongest legal claim and the strongest factual claim, as a matter of law, not as a matter of morality, not as a matter of abstract justice, just as a matter of law. And, therefore, he allocated \$800 million to it.

It's clear that such a large proportion of the records that would be needed to administer in that class no longer exists. This is not the time to question why it doesn't exist. That's now water under bridge. records don't exist, and it may be very difficult to administer that class in a way that would provide the entire distribution of the \$800 million. And, therefore, there may come a time after we have done everything that we can to administer that class in a fair and just way, there may come a time at which a portion of that \$800 million will have to be reallocated and will be reallocated pursuant to exactly the same

#### Proceedings

type of open and transparent process that has
governed the allocation of the initial funds.
So that it is possible for the looted assets
class to receive additional funds. It is
possible that the save labor class and the
refugee classes will receive additional funds,
but that is down the road.

The special master recommends and I concur that our principal obligation with respect to the deposited assets class is to do everything we can to see that every person who put money in Swiss bank gets their money back and gets back 100 cents on the dollar, and we will do all we can to see that that happens.

recommend at some future time that the undistributed portions of the \$800 million be reallocated, but for today I urge us to concentrate on the special master's report. His recommendation that the principal \$800 million allocation be to the deposited assets, that the slave laborers receive up to \$1,000 each, that the refugees receive between \$500 and \$2500 each, and that the looted assets class be administered on a cypres basis.

```
1
            Thank you.
            VOICE: The money is here already.
 2
 3
            MR. NEWBORNE:
                            That concludes my
   remarks.
 4
 5
            VOICE: (unintelligible) Our people,
   they suffered.
 6
 7
            THE COURT: Please, if you want to --
            VOICE: That's enough.
 8
            THE COURT: If you want to remain here
 9
   you have to be quiet. Everybody who wants to
10
   speak will have an opportunity to speak.
11
12
            All right. Mr. Swift.
            MR. SWIFT: Your Honor, Robert Swift,
13
14
   one of the plaintiff's counsel. I don't have
   any remarks to make at this time, but after
15
16
   hearing all the comments, I would like to
   reserve an opportunity to say something at the
17
18
   end.
19
            THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Winston.
2.0
            MR. WINSTON: Thank you, your Honor. I
   apologize for turning my back on the audience.
21
   I will try to kind of stand -
22
            THE COURT: You could face them.
23
            MR. WINSTON: -- caddy-corner here like
24
```

25

this.

### Proceedings

THE COURT: You don't have to; you could face them.

MR. WINSTON: I think an appropriate 3 observation is I'm reminded of a quote from 4 Winston Churchill that happened to be used in 5 the New York Times this morning in commenting on 6 the electoral process, and this was a remark 7 that Churchill made, I believe, on the allied 8 invasion of North Africa during World War II where he said, "This is not the end, this is not 10 11 even the beginning of the end, but at least it's 12 the end of the beginning." And I hope we're 13 farther along in this process than that, but this has taken a while and it's a blessing of 15 our judicial system that we provide due process. 16 But, unfortunately, sometimes that takes a long 17 time.

We have submitted on behalf of the World Council of Orthodox Jewish Communities a detailed objection to the proposed plan of distribution authored by Mr. Gribetz. We acknowledge the tremendous effort and consideration and research and thought that went into Mr. Gribetz' plan, but we believe it has certain flaws to it.

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1 Primary among them is the over 2 evaluation of the deposited asset claim. have analyzed Mr. Gribetz' statistics that he 3 has provided and were developed through ISEP, and we believe at most there will be about 5 6 16,000 accounts that we'll find in err. 7 think using the statistics that Mr. Gribetz has for the value of an average account, this will 8 provide at most somewhere under \$400 million in amounts to be distributed for 10 deposited assets. 11

Mr. Gribetz' statistics do not take 12 into account the huge death toll of the 13 Holocaust. Many of these accounts that will be 14 15 located we believe will not have a claimant and will not have an heir. The problem in valuing 16 17 all of these accounts at full value and 18 assigning them to the deposited assets claims is that these monies will not be distributed now. 19 And the worst fear that I have is that we're 20 21 going to be back in this courtroom two years from now with a huge amount of undistributed 22 funds from the deposited asset claims and vastly 23 24 smaller number of Holocaust survivors to distribute those funds to. 25

\$

Class here, and I would suggest that the Court needs to rationalize the distribution into the deposited asset claims, limit that fund to the accounts that are likely to have an heir or a claimant, and to redistribute or reallocate the excess amount, which we believe is up to \$400 million into the looted asset category. Doing that then provides a looted asset fund which will allow individual distributions in support of those claims.

And I note that the observation of the 9th Circuit in the case of Mace v. VanRue (phonetic), there is no reason when the injured parties can be identified to deny them even a small recovery in favor of disbursements through some other means.

One other comment that I would like to make on the deposited asset claim and that relates to its administration. So far, the current administrative process for the deposited asset claims was organized under the principle of a self-sufficient, independent foundation. Certain mechanisms and bureaucracies were set up by that foundation to enable it to operate.

1 In the context of a class action distribution, we believe there are many 2 unnecessary, bureaucratic aspects to the current 3 distribution system that the Court needs to take a very careful look at, the provision for internal appeals, the existence of a board of directors, the two different levels of judges. While those may have been appropriate when the 8 ICRF - if I have the initials correct - was a self-sustaining and independent organization, 10 11 those same things are not necessary now as when 12 it will be an arm of the Court. The Court needs 13 to pay careful attention to the administrative aspects of the deposited asset claims and 14 appoint someone who will be the "eyes and ears" 15 of the Court and particularly the "purse 16 strings" of the Court to make sure that excess 17 funds are not utilized in the administration of 18 these claims. 19

In the looted asset category with the increased amounts that we believe are available, we would suggest that the Court follow the Agent Orange model which we have outlined in our report.

The most important thing I believe

20

21

22

23

24

about using a cypres aspect, which we support, is that the same principle that we're talking about with the deposited assets, that these funds need to be distributed while the class members are alive.

With all due respect to Special Master Gribetz, his proposal for disbursing \$90 million in cypres assets over a ten-year period does not make sense to us. There are programs that are available, whether in Russia, in the former Soviet Union, in Eastern Europe or here in the United States or other places where class members live, where these funds can be expended and utilized to the benefit of class members who need that level of assistance now.

So we would suggest the Court that a much shorter time period be utilized for the distribution - for any cypres distribution, and that if the programs identified by the special master cannot do that within that shorter time period, that other programs be utilized as well.

We have set forth in our written objections several other specific points that I need not go into right now except for one additional point that I would make as to the

# Proceedings

refugee class. And that is the exclusion of heirs from the refugee class, the apparent exclusion of heirs from the refugee class. Now, I'm talking here about people who tried to get into Switzerland but were turned away at the border and turned over to the Nazis.

I have one individual that I have been talking to who fits into that category. He was a child and got into Switzerland on kinder transport. His parents tried to get into Switzerland and they were turned away at the border and turned back over to the Nazis and eventually killed in a concentration camp. Under the proposed distribution plan, he would not be able to claim on behalf of his parents because it does not specifically provide for heirs to recover under the refugee class. We believe that is an oversight that needs to be addressed and included.

I know that there are a lot of people that want to talk, so I will not go on any further. I do ask the Court, and I know the Court will take full consideration of our written objections.

Thank you, very much, your Honor.

2.4

```
1
            THE COURT: Mr. Winston?
                                      Mr. Winston?
 2
                WINSTON: Yes, sir.
                                     I'm sorry,
   Mr. Urbach.
 3
            MR. URBACH: Good morning, your Honor.
 4
 5
   And like my colleague Mr. Swift, I would like to
   reserve some time to make some comments at the
 6
   conclusion of today's hearing. I'd like to
 7
   reserve my time for the end of the hearing.
 8
            THE COURT: Oh, okay.
 9
10
            MR. URBACH: Thank you, your Honor.
            THE COURT: Mr. Fischer?
11
            MR. FISCHER: Thank you, your Honor.
12
13
            I am Barry Fischer. I am one of the
   settlement class counsel of Fleischman, Fischer,
14
15
   Los Angeles, and while serving as generally a
16
   plaintiff's counsel I've tried to particularly
   work with Romani and Jehovah's Witnesses and
17
   other non-Jewish settlement categories.
18
   worked with the Romanis since the early 1980's,
19
20
   particularly the one UNNGO Romani Group, the
   International Romani Union made up of
21
   representative organizations from over 40
22
   countries, and I've worked with as liaison with
23
   Jehovah's Witnesses for many years, and I've
24
```

worked with disability rights groups.

## Proceedings

The Court has received distribution plan comment submissions regarding the groups I've worked with as follows:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Romani focus submissions from me; two from - and materials from the International Romani Union President, Dr. Emile Schtuka; a Czech lawyer, previously the IRU secretary general who met with the delegation of Roma --European leaders with the special master early on in this process. And there's been a pleading submission by attorney Ramsey Clark, which I only received a few days ago which I haven't had an opportunity to submit written comments about, but I would like the opportunity to obtain comments from Romani groups and submit comments. No other Romani submissions that I'm aware of have been filed, and if there have been any, I would like to have an opportunity to review them.

At the fairness hearing, I introduced a delegation from Romani people from Poland who attended the hearing, came from Poland and as led by Roman Wykowski (phonetic) at that time. He is apparently ill. He has sent a delegation here today, and their leader Adam Schmyt would

like to speak later if a Polish interpreter can be found.

The Court has received a letter from the Jehovah's Witnesses requesting, as I have, regarding the Romani people that there be provided for in the plan a more formal relationship with the IOM in processing claims, particularly the Romani which will involve difficult issues of linguistics, history and facts which I think should rely much part on an advisory committee of Romani leaders to assist the IOM in a more formal way.

My distribution plan comments submitted to the Court focused on the looted assets allocation, and as I elaborate in much more detail in writing, the Roma and the Jews were the only two groups targeted by the Nazis for total annihilation.

The proposed plan provides for a fixed allocation for Jews and with the residue remaining Jewish, but the Romani people are lumped in in differentially with all other non-Jewish targeted categories with no residue going to Romani interests, and so I've recommended that there be a fixed amount as with the Jews.

And that I've also recommended that based on conservative figures about the numbers killed in the Jewish and the Romani communities that the figure appropriately should be 10 percent of the looted assets component, which is only 10 million of \$1.25 billion if that should be approved, with the unused portion going to Romani education remembrance and institutions working with respected Romani organizations.

My colleague Ramsey Clark has provided me with a copy of this submission a few days ago. There is a question about several of the groups listed as being the sponsors of the submission. I think some of them have contacted the Court by the end of the week. For example, the International Romani Union knew nothing of this and is not part of it, although listed. The Austrian-Romani group, Romano-Centro has also so communicated, I understand, with the Court as have some seven World Romani leaders who are listed as part of it who knew nothing about it.

I want to make a couple of comments about the - from my quick read of it - first of all, it's based in large part on certain

statistics which are referred to as claims 1 statistics regarding the settlement fund. 2 course, there haven't been any claims filed yet. 3 The statistics are based on that somewhat illconceived and problematic questionnaire that had 5 been sent out which many Romani refused to send in, and there was a great amount of confusion 7 about it. So I don't think that those are reliable statistics. I think the amount of claims will be significantly larger than the 10 questionnaire statistics. 11

Both he and I recommend that unused Romani allocations go somehow to Romani Holocaust remembrance education and institutional infrastructure. He refers to a particular group that he thinks should get it, a "Worldwide Romani Future Fund" it's called, but it's something that we've never heard of, and I hope that some day that there will be such an institution, but there isn't any at this point.

Also, Mr. Clark seems to take the position that all Romani-related allocations should go only to such a group, an institution, and that no survivors or heirs should receive anything. And this not a proposal that I can

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

support in any way.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Very little research has been done on 3 There's some research, but my friend and colleague Cybil Melton, who died recently, told me many times about coming upon archival materials in the Whoocz (phonetic) ghetto and elsewhere about Romani losses and 8 looting and things turned in that nobody has really every worked with. And so I think any 9 10 numbers that are used regarding Romani really should be given the benefit of the doubt up and 11 not down indifference to these people that 12 13 generally have been left out.

want to join the comments of Mr. Clark and others concerned that while it's true that the deposited asset claims are more easily understood or they're more black and white in the realm, but that giving two-thirds of the entire fund, \$800 million of a billion, \$250 million, to this allocation giving every bit of interest, taking into account inflation and fees, but no such factors regarding slave labor or looted assets doesn't really seem to be fair.

And even though there's a reference in
the plan that maybe as much as \$450 million of
the \$800 billion may revert some day to other
categories, people are dying. I don't know when
that will happen, and it's better to make a
clearer definition now than to leave so much
money hanging for a time when somebody will die.

Again, I have not had a chance to consult with Romani organizations about Mr. Clark's submission, and would appreciate the opportunity to do so and to submit some comments later, if that's appropriate.

Thank you.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

THE COURT: All right. This is somewhat out of order, but since the last comments were directed to you, Mr. Clark, do you want to speak?

MR. CLARK: Your Honor, I can speak now or we reserve time later on.

THE COURT: Whichever. I just thought that since you might want -- Mr. Fischer's comments were directly directed to you.

MR. CLARK: I can - I'll be happy to.

Judge Korman, Mr. Gribetz, Special

Master, Burt Newborne, and most of all survivors

of the Holocaust and their loved ones, I rise to speak on behalf of Ian Hancock, John Nikles and William Dunno (phonetic) who heroically a month or so ago tried to do what the Romani people have been unable to do for 1200 years, and that is organize themselves to stand together in a single presentation on this matter.

Mr. Hancock went to Europe and developed a list -- I was in Africa during that period -- that we have submitted and it's a list in progress. There have been many names added and there will be some names, perhaps, deleted, but that's something that will be resolved later.

The main thing the Romani that I've talked with wanted me to stress is something that Burt Newborne started with and that's how essentially it is for human dignity itself if there be absolute unity among all those who share the pain of those lost in the Holocaust. To permit conflict between the survivors of Romani and Jewish children who died in the gypsy hospital at Auschwitz and the experiments of Josef Mengele would be shameful.

But having said that, it's my opinion

that unity will be impossible if the Romani are not heard on their, as far as I know, uniform belief that the proposed settlement is not equitable to them. They want desperately equity for all; they want nothing more than their share. But to permit a sense of injustice among the people who have been persecuted so long to have them once again, as they have been so often, ignored or slighted would be the loss of an important opportunity to strike a major blow for justice in the future.

As we see the special master's proposed plan, the probability of Romani survivors receiving more than one percent is very, very low. Thus, based on assumptions necessarily, the principal assumption is that -- and every lawyer that I've talked to, including several on the lawyers committee here agrees -- there will probably be no Romani claimants recognized to deposit assets. If any, there will be very, very few. That incredibly, automatically excludes people who believe they lost more than a million to the Nazi Holocaust and probably a million-and-a-half. A million-and-half you can see, if accurate, compared to six million for

Jewish victims, would be 20 percent of the total of the two. We don't understand why the bank accounts have such a legal claim.

1

2

3

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

This is a settlement. One group in a settlement gets 100 percent, while others gets 5 There's some reason to recognize the 6 vagueness of deposit accounts where you ignore 7 the hundreds of millions of dollars of gold and 8 other valuables looted from every person who died in the Holocaust. For some it might have 10 been have been a pair of pants and shoes and 11 12 that was all, but for others and particularly for Romani it was gold bracelets; that's their 13 14 That's the only way they ever had of 15 saving. It was their dowry and their estates and their total worth in material terms.

We don't believe that the funds set aside for bank deposits are adequate. We think the 100 million for looted assets are terribly inadequate. We think the arbitrary limitation for Romani and all others of 10 percent of those reduces what the Romani can get to practically nothing.

Our calculation on the present refugee claims for Romani is that they'll come to less

- 1 than half million dollars. And for slave labor, we don't know what they'll be, but they won't be 2 3 significant, and it may be that Mr. Barry Fischer is right, there will be a lot more Romani claims. But let me tell you, the Romani 5 are hard to find. They've always been hard to They've been fugitives. They're still 7 homeless, nationless people, and there are 10 or 12 million of them. We forget how enormous their population is, how scattered and 10 disorganized it is. But, the Romani need and this is the best opportunity they may ever have. 13 There's an opportunity here to organize, to find themselves, to speak for themselves, to seek to 15 establish what they really lost in the 16 Holocaust. 17
  - There's been major efforts for more than 50 years to identify exactly what happened in the Holocaust, but very, very little of that effort has been directed toward what happened to the Romani. They've been the invisible people, but they were there. We believe there were more than three million in Europe before the Holocaust, and we believe as the Encyclopedia Britannica, of all places, said that more than

18

19

20

21

22

23

half of them perished in the Holocaust.

1.3

What we want is time to find, to identify, to organize and some resources for it, which they've never had. Ian Hancock had to pay his way to Europe to try to meet with people.

John Nikles' phone bill will be astronomical when it comes in trying to call people. He's been calling people as late as this morning.

We don't want to delay anyone. A delay would be divisive and unpardonable. And the way we see solving that problem is a percentage of the gross award for consideration for Romani claims and set it aside. We've recommended 20 percent.

Any amount by which Romani claims ultimately fail to reach 20 percent would be to return, and it could be distributed almost automatically in precise accordance with the plan for the distribution of the vast majority of the rest of the funds. But it would give the Romani the only chance they're going to have to find each other and to organize after all of these centuries and perhaps lift themselves up from what they are, the most endangered of the human species and the most deprived. I say that

as a person who spent a major part of his adult
life trying to find and help the most deprived
on this planet, and I think sadly that I've
found them now in the Romani. They're poverty
everywhere. They're fugitive status in most
places. They're persecution in Czechoslovakia
and other places right now.

Munich itself has enacted an ordinance last year that is almost precisely verbatim an ordinance enacted in 1934 excluding Romani from the city limits. There has to be an end. This is the chance. Set aside part of the fund for the Romani. Distribute the rest as fast as you can. Let a group of Romani representing the Romani people start trying to find everybody. Let them decide among themselves democratically how their funds are distributed. It's inaccurate to say that we said no funds would go directly to suffering Romani. We disagree with that entirely.

But, we do believe that the Romani situation is different. They have not been able for 50 years to organize and present their claims and find their victims and try to save them from further suffering, and they're

concerned about future Holocaust, future genocide for them in continuing persecution.

We hope that there will be an opportunity with this fund to finally give the Romani a chance to come together themselves and to have a better future.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Deluty?

MR. DELUTY: Your Honorable Judge
Korman, Special Master Judah Gribetz, Holocaust
survivors, members of the Swiss class action
settlement, staff representing counsel and
guests.

With the Court's permission and with your kind indulgence, I would like you all to rise for a minute of silence in memory of the 6 million Jewish victims who perished in the Holocaust.

(Moment of silence)

THE COURT: All right. Let's continue.

MR. DELUTY: Kindly be seated.

I feel privileged to have the opportunity to give a short presentation at this hearing regarding the allocation and distribution of the Swiss Bank settlement. I

strongly believe in Heller's (sic) famous quotation, "If I don't do it for myself, who will do it for me? If I cared only for myself, what am I? And if not now, when?"

1

2

3

19

20

21

22

23

25

5 My name is Maurice Deluty. I am one of the few left survivors of an early liquidated 6 ghetto transport of Jewish families that arrived 8 in late 1942 at a place called "Hell on Earth of the 20th Century," which functioned as the most 9 efficient death factory killing more than a 10 million innocent Jewish men, women and children 11 and others operated by the infamous Nazi 12 killers. Yes, pathetically this was a product of 13 the 20th century advanced society in the 14 15 sciences, art, music, literature, et cetera. 16 Auschwitz, Birkenau, the place where they killed my family, took away my identity and tattooed me 17 with the number 77288. 18

Later, I was transferred to AudraBuchenwald (phonetic), a nightmarish,
dehumanized place. In 1945 I escaped from a
moving open freight train rampant with
dysentery. Mind you, the area where the train
was passing was guarded with Nazi militia. With
luck after jumping I hid in a forest by using

# Proceedings

1 intuition, discretion and perhaps divine guidance. I was safe and later liberated.

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Hypothetically speaking, if someone would have said to me at that time in 1942 in Auschwitz in the darkest period where the crematoriums were working overtime, as a Jew under those circumstances, that I stood a chance to survive I would have considered it insane, meshugena (phonetic) to say the least.

Now, let me change gears and deal with the complex subject matter with regard to the report of allocation and distribution of the fund to concentration camp slave labor survivors.

There are a number of questions to be asked and, perhaps, recommended for modification. To name some of them, first, the subject must be recognized as a historical, world moral precedent. For those who are involved serving this noble sacred cause on any level should feel honored and privileged, perhaps free from experiencing to be compensated. Services rendered, definitely no.

The many organizations who are jumping on the bandwagon with only one objective under

the "banner of charity" to receive supporting 1 2 funds. In reality, we know from facts that most of the proceeds are absorbed by administrative 3 expenses shortchanging the real needy and deserving survivors. Case in point, I received 5 a very attractive and costly financial annual 6 report from the conference of the Jewish 7 Holocaust Memorial claims on distribution of 8 millions, hundreds of millions of dollars to 10 many organizations showing the many accomplishments of distributed funds to various 11 Holocaust organizations.

To my great surprise, after reading the detailed distribution, I have yet to find the figures for the administrative expenses. I mean operational expenses, yes; salaries, I would consider it, no. Morally and realistically these funds belong to survivors only.

VOICE: That's right.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DELUTY: And for the cause of perpetuating the memory of the Holocaust.

Namely, some funds should be guarded for students, children and grandchildren who are of survivors who are willing to study and perpetuate the memory and who are in need of

scholarships.

Paraphrasing the saying, "Ask not what working for this cause will do for you, but what you can do working for this cause." My heart felt very pained, my eyes swelled with tears when I first heard the expression used, "There is no business like our business."

In regard to the major outline of the special master's report of allocation and distribution of the settlement, it is suggested in the report that it will be carried out in categories. First, the two kinds of depositors from the bulk of the fund to be served by tribunal determining the proper eligibility without knowing what will remain from that major part.

The next step comes the category of Swiss of refugees, and at the end comes the concentration camp and slave labor survivors and their looted assets. Here, it becomes strange.

According to the plan's report, the factors of time and cost needed to establish the eligibility, the plan recommends to distribute across the board \$1,000 in two stages to all concentration camp victim survivors and for the

looted assets, the funds to be given to be distributed in charity form to certain organizations. In my opinion, this is wrong.

First the sum of \$1,000 is comparable to what a lawyer charges for his services for several hours.

Second, entrusting funds to organizations will most likely end up in administrative expenditures.

Your Honor, therefore, I recommend the following:

Since time factor is of great importance, is to begin the allocation and the distribution immediately. Then, I strongly recommend at this juncture to appoint an independent, qualified, perhaps, voluntary commission of individuals who will serve with the objective for this noble cause free from monetary compensation, devoted to this cause, except for operational expenses: (a) to expedite the distribution of the initial part; (b) to evaluate the balance and finalize the distribution in an expeditious, timely period.

Furthermore, I would like to stress one more aspect.

I believe in order to make the proper distribution is to focus on the most needy survivors. Perhaps, it would be fitting to ask those individuals who are in great need, would they voluntarily submit their income tax return providing it will not infringe on their privacy, and at the same time not jeopardize other survivors.

I strongly object to a clause taken as a guiding principle from the German Slave Labor agreement for across-the-board distribution to be applied the same way in the Swiss Bank settlement for the Jewish Holocaust concentration camp survivors from all over.

I believe an expeditious court-appointed, qualified, voluntary, independent commission could with certainty serve and arrive to an equitable and just solution in the outstanding finalization of the fund distribution and allocation for the concentration camp survivors.

Make no mistake, the future generations will hold us responsible for dealings with this historic tragedy. They will not forget the magnitude of the tragedy and the loss of the 6

million innocent, Jewish victims and others. In that light, to act with a moral and conscious mission.

Thank you.

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

THE COURT: Mrs. Weisshaus?

MS. WEISSHAUS: Judge Korman, the representatives here, I'm really not a speaker, but I'm going to speak from my heart what's going on in this settlement and in with this case.

VOICE: Speak louder.

MS. WEISSHAUS: Louder, all right, I can do it. I'm just not even over - I had a broken arm. I'm still under therapy, and not long ago I lost a son and my husband didn't feel well because what's going on what they doing to me in American court and supposedly now a democrat country.

I'm going to ask some of Mr. Burt Newborne's speech.

First of all, I submit the papers, many documents to this case. I was the first one who work for this case. I worked for Mr. Fagan eight months. I know what happened there. I know who talk evidence against the

#### Proceedings

bank, everything. Everything was destroyed,
nothing was presented. When I find out this and
I sued Mr. Fagan on the grievance committee, I'm
sure Mr. Newborne knows because he has some
connection there, how he bailed out Mr. Fagan
when he should be disbarred. That happened in
May of '98.

So because he - Mr. Fagan received a half million dollars from with a guarantee - I don't know it's a mortgage or a loan, but I guarantee that this is going to be paid back from the Swiss money that he's going to receive, and that's why he pay it out. I had an escrow account by him that he used. It's against the law and everything.

I'm into litigation in this Brooklyn court, state court for 11 years.

THE COURT: Mrs. Weisshaus, the purpose of this is to comment on the plan.

 $$\operatorname{MS}.$$  WEISSHAUS: Yes. No, I'm going to bring it out.

THE COURT: I know, but you only have a short period of time.

MS. WEISSHAUS: Yes, yes, I'll say.
So now, about this distribution, I'm

1 going to go to the distribution of the money 2 because they don't want to hear anything what's happening. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

25

Mr. Judah Gribetz is not an impartial person. First of all, he's getting paid for this thing and this is wrong.

And then also, he was instrumental when Judge Korman was appointed for US Judge. He worked for Senator Moynihan. Moynihan was in charge of appointing the judges. That's one thing.

Besides this, there is a lot of conflict of interest in this case. I'm 13 14 submitting documents. Last year I submitted a 250-page document. I sent one to 15 Judah Gribetz; I sent one to the lawyers for -16 Mr. Witten (phonetic). And all the evidence, 17 they never took the positions. They never 18 presented the documents. I'm asking, why I'm not 19 entitled for a bank account that was held by 20 21 J.P. Morgan and they should give the archives and disclose where that money came from 22 Switzerland. Everything had been denied, 23 destroyed by this court. Judge Korman is denying 24

me without an explanation. The other side I sue

## Proceedings

now.

There is a lawyer from Chicago by the name of Mark Danefsky (phonetic) who sued them all counselor for (unintelligible). There, Judge Korman is behind them and trying to cover up for them. It's a fraudulent organization.

I have a lawsuit and there is a few defendants in my lawsuit who are cosigners for (unintelligible) communities. They know very well. I presented this paper. Why they destroy all my work what I'm doing?

I am asking here - I started this case because people like my father who deposited money in Switzerland for good faith and this money when it (unintelligible) in the right direction we could make a nice deal and have it as taking care.

The first time when their Paul Walker who wasn't appointed by us, I wrote him a letter in '97, get out from our life. He supposedly find thousands of documents. Who benefitted?

Nobody, not even one Holocaust survivor.

(unintelligible) as one of my friend, she find an account of her relative. So the eminent persons in Switzerland claim they lost 17 Swiss

franc in the account, so they were very generous and they give her 170 francs she sign up and she had no (unintelligible).

2.0

How can you fight these people? I mean, there is an eminent group who is deciding how much money our parents had in the bank account.

I have a witness to my father's account. I have documents from other people. Why when they never taken care? Why they deny us everything?

I was a child and I survived 15 years after the war. I was crying, why me. When 55 of my closest relative perished. Why me? They most older than me, more knowledge than me, but I knew I had to - I have family that I have to go and be my father wanted, and that's why I should be here and tell you people what's you doing to us.

Now I submit the papers that the whole settlement was a fraud, a swindle that's unbelievable. Mr. Newborne is destroying my papers and (unintelligible) the court. We have no rights, no survivors win by these negotiations. There is - Mell Urbach is claiming \$2 million for his work. While he spies, he stole my work, what I did. He came to Mr. Fagan,

and I told Mr. Fagan he's a spy. He stole my
work that I was doing there, and then he

3 established an organization. It's a fraudulent

4 organization. I have all the documents. Why? And

5 I submitted these documents last year to Judge

6 Korman. But the same day with do what, he denies

7 | it. He denies and destroy it.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I went to - I paid them for appeal court. They took out three pages from Mell Urbach's organization and submitted that to the appeal court and I was denied again.

This is justice here? This is robbery. Why is are they doing? Because they have a lot of money in the Swiss banks, and they make this with the Swiss banks behind our back. I'm telling you I don't believe I'll get ever justice or one of the Holocaust survivors is going to get justice. But it's unbelievable what's going on in this negotiation. People should just take money and let the Holocaust survivors who are sick and old, they should get nothing?

VOICE: (unintelligible).

MS. WEISSHAUS: And they should get nothing.

1 I have a woman she is 94 years old. She 2 lives in Arizona. She ask last year some - women 3 wrote to the slave labor when they worked in the factories, they each received 10,000 German mark. It came out to \$7,000. I told that 94-year old woman she was there, too. She should submit an application, too. She submit it. She received 7 in the beginning of this year a confirmation 9 letter that she's going to receive the money. All of a sudden the government went in and 10 claiming they're going to take the slave labor 11 money from the claims confidential while 12 surrounding us for 50 years. Now, she doesn't 13 receive anything. She call me up now she needs a 14 15 \$200 injection. She says when she goes to sleep she's begging God she shouldn't wake up because 16 17 she's a burden on her children and she has nothing. And these people are claiming \$250 an 18 19 hour, \$600 an hour.

What I think - I mean, it's very hard for me to say and I know there is no justice. My opponents who took away my property and Korman knows very well what they did, they are claiming, God Bless America justice. You know why they blessing America, because there is such

20

21

22

23

24

a justice prevail here that judges are covering up and they're not taking the documentation.

It's not a fair trial.

1.8

I would say it would be more appropriate to make a number trial and gather all these people who are robbing us and doing an injustice to government and to the Holocaust survivors - this is not a play that they can do what they want.

My parents and my whole family are not represented in the Holocaust memorials. They didn't ask for that museum. They asked that their children should be able to continue. It is a shame. The way they left not (unintelligible) and not getting money.

When all these people came in, the lawyers, I was asking Mr. Fagan, who needs so much lawyers. It's a very important case, everybody wants to be in it. It's a shame what you do here.

THE COURT: All right, Mrs. Weisshaus, you've had your time.

Thank you, very much.

MS. WEISSHAUS: All right. I was (unintelligible). I wrote yesterday a letter to

```
1
   the Supreme Court of the United States. You
 2
   could see it, and I'm going to scream wherever
 3
   it's possible, even you - they make me a victim.
   I'm a good woman. I had something for my
 5
   children. Everything was taken away by the US
 6
   government. They are worse than the Germans.
 7
            THE COURT: All right. Mrs. Beer,
   Greta Beer?
 8
            MS. BEER: It's very emotional.
 9
10
            THE COURT: How are you?
11
            MS. BEER: Your Honor, Judge Korman,
12
   Special Master Gribetz, I just want to say a few
   words.
14
            Ladies and gentlemen, my name is Greta
15
   Beer.
            Many of you know that I've been
16
   involved in the matter of the secret Swiss bank
17
1.8
   accounts since the 1960's and now since 1995.
19
            At the risk of being repetitious, I
20
   would like mention that my father Ziegfried
21
   Lichdish (phonetic), owner of a big textile mill
22
   in Romania, deposited large amounts of money in
   Switzerland in Swiss banks in the fateful pre-
23
24
   war years of the 1930's. Father repeatedly
```

assured my mother, my brother and also myself

### Proceedings

that we were well provided for for life. I searched for the account, the secret account with my mother in Switzerland in the 1960's.

2.2

In 1996 I testified under Senator
D'Amato at the banking hearings at the Senate in
our beloved capitol, Washington, D.C.

There I was invited by the Swiss Bank's representative, Mr. Hans Bayer, to come to Switzerland. May high officials, Swiss officials, I met the (unintelligible) man, Dr. Haney (phonetic). And I have the later here who later wrote to me that nobody will every know the truth at the bottom of the secret accounts affair.

After that, we had the great honor to meet here a young Swiss hero, Mr. Mele (phonetic), who told us about the shreddings in Swiss banks of accounts and papers.

For the first time in my life, I'm at a loss for words. Dear Judge Korman, Special Master Gribetz, the lines behind me are getting shorter by the day. My mother could have had a different life; she died destitute.

24 Please have a heart. We have great trust in you.

25 You have our lives in your hands. Please,

1 gentlemen, every moment counts.

The banks have come forward with money which is now in your hands. Do not procrastinate. Our voices are getting so much fainter. We are the end of our rope. We had, all of us, a very, very hard life. May God bless you and guide you, and happy holidays to everybody.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Raymond Fischer.

MR. FISCHER: Your Honor, distinguished guests.

I'm here as a survivor. I lived through the war, and in 1939 when the war broke out I was 14 years old. I was a member of a family. I had two sisters, four brothers, my parents. When the war was over just my father and I had survived. I lost the rest of the family.

It was difficult. I was in camps. I was in Crocco-Plaszow (phonetic) where I was running a factory. In that factory we were getting in clothing from people that were murdered, clothing full of blood. We were made to tear apart the clothing to look for treasures and we found, lots of diamonds, gold, money hidden in the seams behind in the linings. Germans were

coming in every single day to collect the treasures.

2.4

I'm sure that - I don't know, but I'm sure that some of the money was deposited within the Swiss banks or some place else.

In my hometown my father was a businessman. I don't know if he had accounts in Switzerland. I know of many rich people in my hometown. I know they did deposit money somewhere. I'm sure they didn't keep their monies under their pillows, under mattresses. But I have no idea what happened, if there are any claimers for that money.

making available a certain amount of claimants, only 16,000 or whatever, and there are so many more. I would appreciate to see that the Swiss bank would make gesture, this moral gesture to the survivors and reveal all the claims, all the accounts that are available because I don't think that anyone could understand or realize what it means for a survivor to see, to find a name that is familiar or a relationship that had an account or whatever way.

I was left from my whole family.

Everything was looted. I have nothing left, not
even a picture of anyone. And how welcome it
will be to me to see that anyone in my family or
anyone of my relatives or ancestors had an
account within Switzerland or some place else.
I don't think that anyone could understand this,
the meaning of it, only a survivor could do so.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. James Pellechia.

MR. PELLECHIA: Your Honor, Special Master Gribetz, distinguished colleagues, dear survivors, concerned citizens, I'm honored to speak today on behalf of Jehovah's Witness survivors of Nazi persecution.

Members of this small religious community suffered severely for their beliefs. The losses they suffered were both human and material, children taken away from parents, pensions and insurance policies were cancelled, professional license were withdrawn, business properties were seized, men and women were forced to leave their jobs and live underground and were forced to do slave labor in prisons and concentration camps. Men and women were broken

## Proceedings

down, worked to death, mutilated or executed.

Jehovah's witnesses were among the 3 first targets of Nazi persecution started on April 1, 1933, that's prior to the mass arrest of the Jews in November 1938. Jehovah's 5 Witnesses comprised 5 to 10 percent of the Nazi concentration camp population according to Dr. Detlif Garber (phonetic) of the Neuengamme 8 Memorial Museum. At least 364 were directly executed by shooting or by the guillotine for 10 their conscientious objection to becoming part 11 of the Nazi Army and "killing machine"; thus, 12 comprising the largest single group of 13 conscientious objectors executed by the Nazis. 14

Many of their fellow victims remember the Witnesses for their steadfast refusal to comply with the Nazi ideology of hate and violence. The suffering of this small group, like those of others, have gone unacknowledged and uncompensated for many years. The matter of economic restitution to the Witnesses after the Nazi period has been problematic. The German Federal Republic has given some compensation, but still refuses to recognize the status of those who suffered because they would not serve

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.0

.. 24

in the Nazi Army. And the German Democratic Republic, compensation was made dependent on adherence to communism. Because the Witnesses did not support the communist system, they were removed from the list of victims of fascism, so that thousands of legitimate Nazi victims were deprived of compensation.

Thus, a proposal for a more formal arrangement with IOM, a separate legal entity perhaps designated by a watchtower society to be used to assist survivors with the application process, including individuals who may belong to other class categories and who are now associated with congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses.

And so today to Judge Korman, Judith Gribetz and all those who have worked tirelessly in continuing efforts to balance the scales of justice, I wish to convey thanks from the few remaining Witness survivors who are gratified to know that the blood of their martyred brethren as well as their own painful losses are here recorded as an indelible testimony that they were people during that dark period who, despite the great cost, stood for peace, love of God and

#### Proceedings

love of neighbor.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Norman Rosenbaum.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, Special Master Gribetz, counsel, survivors, ladies and gentlemen.

Your Honor, I thank you for the opportunity to speak this morning to you. I speak and appear here as counsel for the Australian-Asian Pacific Jewish Restitution Committee on behalf of survivors and their heirs who reside in the Australia-Asia Pacific area.

Your Honor, in the time allocated to me
I won't necessarily go over matters already
mentioned this morning, but bring to your
attention certain matters which haven't been
mentioned, at least, to date.

It is true to say that the survivors in the Asia-Australia Pacific area sincerely appreciate the hard work and diligence and to take not only by you, your Honor, Special Master Gribetz, but indeed all counsel and appreciate the daunting task before you.

At the same time I think it's important to appreciate that those "down under" as they

1 say are not always necessarily as well versed in all goings on; notwithstanding, the best efforts 2 and intentions of those here in the United States. Particularly, your Honor, when one hears the overriding principles to be applied in this whole process as being openness and 6 transparency, I feel it appropriate to bring to 7 your attention by way of information only, not 8 9 necessarily as a criticism, the difficulty encountered by people who wanted to obtain the 10 summary from the San Francisco telephone number 11 in regard to the Special Master's allocation. 12

Unfortunately, as we all know,
Holocaust survivors are an aging population. And
instead of complaining necessarily, they just
keep ringing. And unfortunately, as I stand here
today, I think it's worthwhile bringing to your
attention the fact that many f them have not
necessarily received as of today copies of the
summary, at least, from San Francisco. I must
say that I tested it out myself and I'm still to
receive. Although,
Mr. Gribetz' office was very kind to provide
me with the two volume version.

Your Honor, in terms of the actual

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

proposal itself, there is no question that the priority plan given to the deposited assets 2 class is to be wholeheartedly endorsed and that, 3 unfortunately notwithstanding that priority, there is an anticipation that the administration 5 of the process will be hand strung to a significant degree because of the refusal by the Swiss bankers, the defendants in this case to make available all of the names which the Volka (phonetic) Committee identified as being account 10 holders. 11

This is seen as being a reprehensible action on behalf of the defendant banks who seek to take advantage of the releases which is seven and make four, but at the same time place the allocation and distribution process in significant jeopardy at least from the point of view of those seeking to make an application who believe that until now whereas they have not been given that independent recognition that the accounts which they claim do, in fact, exist and now being denied access by those who have at all times in the last 55 years have had this information. Now it's being exposed, and they have the audacity to stand before this Court as

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

part of this process and deny people the opportunity as you've quite rightly identified and as Special Master Gribetz in his judgments and recommendations accessed this crucial information.

Your Honor, part and parcel is Special Master Gribetz' recommendations is the faith that he has, as I believe you have, in the role of the Claims Resolution Tribunal.

Unfortunately, many people in Australia can't share that faith.

I draw to your attention but one example of the difficulties which have been encountered with the Claims Resolution Tribunal. It involves the case of Mr. Andrew Roma. Mr. Roma's grandfather, after whom he is named, also

Andrew Roma, held an account and was one of the original 1800 names published. Mr. Andrew Roma, the grandson, made application by Ernest & Young and started communications with the Claims
Resolution Tribunal in Zurich.

I have here correspondence of late which demonstrates clearly that, although he made every effort to try and get information from the tribunal in regard to the accounts so

#### Proceedings

he could share with his relatives who are equally entitled to share in that claim, not only was it denied and never processed, but correspondence from Mr. Roma was never acknowledge. And the options which the tribunal had put to him in terms of if the claim is to be pursued and what would happen if he chose not to accept a settlement came to an abrupt end on the 2nd of November this year when unceremoniously after denying any correspondence having ever been received from Mr. Roma, they sent a letter saying your file is not closed and your claim will not be processed further.

Again, unfortunately, this has been provided and I will hand up to you four letters which demonstrate correspondence from Mr. Roma to the Claims Resolution Tribunal that, unfortunately, the faith which you have placed in the Claims Resolution Tribunal should be qualified and every effort should be made to ensure that the administrative processes be maintained to match the intention, because clearly that's not so.

In terms of the looted asset class, it's respectfully put that the concept of the

cypres principle being applied at this point in time is premature. I endorse the earlier comments that even it was to be applied, that a shorter time period should be adopted.

1

2

3

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are many people who feel that 5 6 while they accept the general propositions in 7 regard to how difficult it would be to pursue a looted assets claim, that they themselves hold evidence which places them different from the 9 rest of the people. While it's difficult for me 10 11 in this time to put to you how that would 12 necessarily play out, I believe it's equally important that these people be given an 13 opportunity at least to present their cases and 14 15 re-evaluate if necessary whether the fact the 16 looted assets class should be made available on a per-person basis, notwithstanding the earlier 17 18 comments that to do so across the board would 19 exhaust the \$1.25 billion settlement sum.

In terms of the administration of the allocation of funds, people in Australia have a fond memory of the contribution and the assistance they received from the joint.

Unfortunately, the same cannot be said in terms of the claims conference. People in Australia

are very concerned that the claims conference, notwithstanding their 50 years of participation in the administration of restitution matters, that they're performance during that time has been less than satisfactory.

1.3

In terms of openness, transparency and equity, they believe that the Claims Tribunal, at least in terms of those in Australia, has achieved none of those objectives in their past and put by pointedly they have significant reservations if they could do it in the future.

The complaints received of the lack of openness, no transparency, lack of communication, no representation, lack of sensitivity have been borne over time and time again. Suggestions which have been made only too frequently is to invite claimants to nominate whether, in fact, the Claims Conference or any other organization or whether a new structure should be put in place when a claims process is actually formed and claims are allowed to be made for the first time.

The concern held here is that in order to expedite matters, in order to ensure that the money gets to those who deserve to get the money

as quickly as possible, that the past
performance of the Claims Conference not be
allowed to interfere with that.

VOICE: That is right.

MR. ROSENBAUM: While I can't speak on behalf of anybody in the United States, these are concerns which have been raise significantly and seriously by many hundreds of people in Australia. And, if nothing else, the concern is that even the local representatives of the Claims Conference in Australia are ill-equipped to handle the administration in any way of the allocation plan.

Your Honor, I thank you for the opportunity again to appear before you.

THE COURT: It's always a pleasure to see you.

MR. ROSENBAUM: And it's a pleasure being here. I wish it was under different circumstances. And as always, if we can be of any assistance to either the Court or Special Master Gribetz, his availability to us has been greatly appreciated at all hours of time and day, which when one takes into account the 16-hour time difference from Melbourne to

## Proceedings

New York has been much appreciated. And also your staff and the staff of Mr. Gribetz.

A lot of people here, they take things for granted. This has been a very hard and difficult run, particularly when you're doing it by long distance.

Thank you, very much.

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Schonbrun.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Good morning, your Honor, Special Master Gribetz, counsel and members of the class.

Your Honor, my name is

Lawrence Schonbrun. I'm appearing on behalf of

Steven Zuber and Henry Smith.

Your Honor, my expertise is in the field of class actions where things go wrong and, unfortunately -

THE COURT: Are there any ones where they go right?

MR. SCHONBRUN: The problem is they make such glaring - there are such glaring problems that I think they need to be addressed, and I've read through these papers. What I'm hoping to do is to bring your attention to my experience in scores of these cases to highlight where I

think you need to put your attention.

1.

And I would say, this particular case is a case where the utmost protection is needed because of the claims that have been resolved in the process.

The first point I would make is lack of a timetable. Your Honor, this is a case that was described: "Time is of the essence." Survivors are old and they're dying, yet there is no expectation, no hint, of when, for example, these claims are going to be resolved. The bank claims are going to be resolved. It's just left vague.

My suggestion to you, in light of the fact that the phrase was used, "Class members are dying by the month." I remember every month X number. I would ask you to have a report, demand that a report be issued to you by who is ever taking care of these claims stating to you what the process of this claims procedure is, how many claims have been processed, how many claims are yet to be processed, and what is the time expectation.

THE COURT: Mr. Schonbrun, I could start the claims distribution process while there's an

1 appeal pending from the fairness order? Is that 2 your view?

MR. SCHONBRUN: The fairness, yes. The fairness of the allocation?

THE COURT: No, of the settlement agreement. There's an appeal pending right now.

Are you aware of that?

MR. SCHONBRUN: That's a separate issue.

THE COURT: I know it's a separate issue, but it's a big impediment to start distributing money right now.

MR. SCHONBRUN: No, I'm not talking about starting to - wait a second. I am not talking about starting to distribute money. What I'm saying is that you should be getting a report from whoever it is on a monthly basis about what's going on in terms of the allocation process. If, indeed, the report comes to you nothing is being done because a claim is - because an appeal is pending, so be it. But I think that this is something that should be included in what you do.

Point number two, that money being spent there is a lot of, I believe, sincere

concerns that money is going to be gobbled up in the administration process. And I would ask you along with that monthly report that there is a monthly report filed from whatever fund that presently exists and that the Swiss have made so that it's both public knowledge and your knowledge of what money is going out to whom for what during this period.

The second point, your Honor, is the no cap on administrative expenses. As I say, one of the problems have been raised in class action generals, particularly in this case, is that overhead eats up a class action settlement fund. Now, I believe nothing has been in this report by the Special Master to give any kind of hope or any kind of protection that that isn't going to happen in this case.

My two suggestions are to you, number one, that you tell the Special Master he's got a wealth of class action expertise in the lawyers that are here, that you want to see in that report something that's written that can assure you and assure class members that settlement administrative costs are not going to eat up this settlement.

I would also suggest to you as an alternative an ombudsman that you reach out to a responsible critic. And as I say, it seems to be this class action falls into those people who want money to go to organizations and those people who want to see individual distributions. And there are many prominent people here on both sides of it. I would suggest that you reach out and appoint an ombudsman who would be able to look over these funds to make sure that they're being spent properly.

Next point -

THE COURT: I thought that's what I'm supposed to be.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Well, it seems to me that there's too much detail involved for a busy federal judge to get into that kind of detail, but if you're going to do it, fine. If you want to become the ombudsman or if you're going to do that, it's acceptable as long as it's done by someone.

Next, your Honor, is no record of distributions. I see nothing in the special master's report that indicates that there's going to be any record available to the public

1 and to class members about how money is being 2 spent in this case.

THE COURT: You know, you're getting involved in nitty-gritty. The special master's report is a general plan of allocation which has specifics, but what you're getting down to is minor detail, but it's going to of course be done.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Well, I'm -

THE COURT: I mean, you think that this thing is going to be administered, money is going to be spent, nobody is going to know about it without controls? I don't know what you're talking about.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Well, I already - I already know in -

THE COURT: There's a plan of allocation here that I'm ready to hear comment on. That plan, for example, doesn't -

THE COURT: That plan -

VOICE: The money is stolen is the nitty-gritty. It's the details, that's the problem.

,#

```
THE COURT: Yes, I know. And it's easy
 1
 2
   to make charges and it's hard to prove.
 3
            MR. SCHONBRUN: I'm not making any
 4
   charges.
 5
            VOICE: (unintelligible) to demonstrate
   that.
 6
 7
            MR. SCHONBRUN: Your Honor, let's - to
   the extent that it's going to be done, that's
 8
   fine.
            The next point, your Honor, is the
10
11
   distribution of the residue, and the
   $800 million figure is not a concrete figure. So
12
   there are - and I think the special master's
13
   report acknowledges there are perhaps hundreds
14
   of millions of dollars that right now aren't
15
   going to be assigned - aren't being given to
16
17
   anyone.
18
            THE COURT: There may or may not be.
            MR. SCHONBRUN: Right, and I -
19
            THE COURT: When we know what the
20
   residue is then we can make a rational judgment
21
   about how it should be spent. There's a big
22
   difference if there's going to 5 million or
23
```

200 million or 300 million or 25 million.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Your Honor -

24

# Proceedings

THE COURT: There's no point - you can't make a rationale judgment about residues until you know what the residue is.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Let me - if I would just - I appreciate that, but I have to say on the other hand, we have a dying population of class members.

THE COURT: I know that.

MR. SCHONBRUN: This is a situation where you're saying we're going to have to wait until we find out when the wait is totally unspecified in the agreement.

THE COURT: It's not unspecified.

There's going to be period of time in which people are going to have an opportunity to file claims for the Swiss bank accounts. When those claims are filed, then we'll have a better idea, for example, of how many claims we're going to be dealing with when the actual claim is met and the we can proceed from there.

Look, this is the nature of a class action lawsuit. In the best of all possible worlds, this case wouldn't have been settled in the context of a class action lawsuit and maybe things could have moved faster. But we're

operating under, as you know, rules and restrictions that delay and that make for a less desirable plan than if we were sitting around in the best of all possible worlds constructing a plan for distribution.

In any event, I haven't heard any complaint yet about the plan, other than we haven't made provision for - the special master hasn't recommended what to do with the residue when we don't know how large the residue is.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Denial of any recovery to the looted assets class, your Honor, as I see it that the organizations have the least legal - they have the weakest legal claim.

THE COURT: Who is recommending to give money to organizations for their own use?

MR. SCHONBRUN: Well - I'm not saying for their own use. But, again, the -

THE COURT: Well, you know, there has to be some mechanism to distribute money.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Correct.

THE COURT: I can't set up that mechanism, as you well understand, here in this court. And there are agencies and organizations who are already in place distributing money. And

# Proceedings

without any additional cost we could take
advantage of that distribution mechanism. You
know, this constant harping that money is being
given for organizations is not true. There isn't
a recommendation in the special master's report
to give money for organizations for their own
use.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Okay, money through organizations is perhaps -

THE COURT: For the use of people for survivors, yes.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Yes, but your Honor if I can speak to that.

Number one, I'm surprised when we're talking about potentially, you know, tens, hundreds of millions of dollars, I didn't see anything in the special master's report about the organizations that are going to be getting this money. It seems to me -

THE COURT: That's not true.

MR. SCHONBRUN: The other point that I would make is that we have a horrible record in terms of money. Our own government is on record as having given billions of dollars to Russia, perhaps to central Europe, that has been -

VOICE: Six billion dollars for Russia.

MR. Schonbrun: - with the best of

intentions that wound up, you know, ironically

in Swiss bank accounts. And I just don't see in

this proposal, I don't see any kind of

protections that someone who was sensitive to

this potential has enough information and

there's enough oversight.

I mean, now you're suggesting the Court is going to - and I've raised this point - what jurisdiction do you have? Are you going to be able to oversee tens of millions of dollars being given away in Belorussia or some republic on the parameter of Russia. I just don't realistically see that. And to me that's a reason why there has to be an extra -

THE COURT: The money is not going to the Russian government, and the money is not going to the Belorussian government. It's going to be going to responsible organizations who are going to operate under the control of the Court and it will be obligated to make reports.

I mean, these charges you're making are totally irresponsible.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Your Honor, I'm not

## Proceedings

making any - I'm not making any charges.

THE COURT: You are, you are. You're saying \$66 billion went to Russian and it went -

MR. SCHONBRUN: I never said that.

THE COURT: - down some black hole.

MR. SCHONBRUN: I didn't say that.

All I'm saying is that there is - I have appeared in scores of class actions, your Honor, I see what goes wrong in class actions.

I see great problems, potential problems in this proposal for things to go wrong.

the kinds of things to go wrong, if you put it to devil in the details, so that you will be aware of what I think you need to do to insure and protect this class, to insure indeed that there is transparency, that there's a public record, that everyone can rest assured that this money is going to get in the hands of proper people.

I don't see this document as containing those protections.

Your Honor, I am not accusing anyone of anything. I just have appeared in the past ten

years over these cases and I know how things can go wrong. And in this particular case, I want to try to insure that that doesn't happen.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SCHONBRUN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Ernest Lobett.

VOICE: Thank you very much for having us here. I wish you well and peace, peace to all of you.

And your Honor I hope you make the right decision for our people.

THE COURT: Thank you.

VOICE: Thank you.

2.2

MR. LOBETT: Your Honor, Special Master, I have appeared here before and I'm not trying to outguess the report that was made by the law firm of Richard & O'Neil on behalf of the master. I'm sure they have worked very, very hard in trying to come to a solution of how to distribute the monies that are available, and I really don't think that there is a solution. It is an enormously difficult subject. There are literally hundreds of claims of competing interests here, and I do not think your Honor would be able to satisfy all these claims,

### Proceedings

regardless what decision you finally make. It will be an absolute impossibility. One has to do the very best that can be done under the circumstances.

1

3

16

17

18

19

20

25

And as far as the individual classes are concerned, I'm not to outquess the special 7 master with respect to the monies that were deposited in Switzerland. It is at best a guess, but it has been studied by the Volka Commission. They have come up with guesses based - not 10 uneducated guess, but based on records that they 11 12 have examined. And they feel this \$800 million should be set aside, and who am I having not 13 made any individual searches of my own to say 14 15 no, that shouldn't be so.

So I think at least initially the \$800 million should be allocated because I think everybody at least agrees on one thing, that those people that can demonstrate a nexus to these monies that were deposited in Switzerland, be it by themselves or more likely by their relatives and next of kin, should be the very first ones to be compensated because that, after all, was the nature of this lawsuit. And, of course, that should also be true then for those

people that tried to get them to Switzerland that were sent away by the Swiss and obviously found their death.

With respect to the slave labor class that worked for German companies, \$100 million has been set aside. It has been said many times before that the sum is totally inadequate, but this is water over the bridge.

THE COURT: I know, but you understand that this is in addition to what these people will be getting under the German settlement.

MR. LOBETT: Right, but -

THE COURT: So it has to -

MR. LOBETT: - this is not the issue here.

THE COURT: I'm not saying that even that's enough, but we're dealing with a finite amount of fairness.

MR. LOBETT: We're dealing with a finite thing and we're dealing with primarily with money that was contributed by the Swiss banks, \$1,250,000,000 and my understanding is that this is the issue here today, what to do with that particular money.

And so we have the slave laborers,

#### Proceedings

myself included who worked for German companies
who had some nexus with Switzerland and
presumably the result of my labor produced some
benefit, whoever knows, to Switzerland.

2.5

And we have a class, the looted asset class, and I belong to that class, too. And that by far should, by all rights, be far the largest class because everybody that was arrested by the Nazis and spent time whether in concentration camps or in prison or what have you was deprived of his assets that ultimately found their way to the Wrights Bank, presumably, what wasn't stolen by DSS to the Wrights Bank in Berlin.

And with respect to the gold and silver, et cetera, it was melted down into ingots and went, and I am sure and I can't prove that, in large part to pay for the German war effort during the Second World War because Germany at the outbreak of the Second World War had practically no foreign currency at their disposal and they had to pay for a great number of war material that they did not produce, including gasoline and iron and what have you. And a lot of that has been demonstrated - went to Switzerland either to be used by them

1 directly or sent further to Sweden, Portugal and 2 what have you.

So this is by far the largest class, 3 and this is the class that of course is going to 4 bring here the greatest controversy because 5 there are literally hundreds of proposals out, 7 how to spend the money that's been allocated, \$100 million to the looted asset class, and there is no solution to that, absolutely none. 9 10 And the special master has made the recommendation of setting aside \$67 million, I 11 12 think it is, for needy Jews in the Soviet Union 13 or Eastern Europe and deposit -

THE COURT: And elsewhere.

MR. LOBETT: - the remainder of it -

THE COURT: And elsewhere.

MR. LOBETT: - in various - elsewhere.

THE COURT: And elsewhere, too.

MR. LOBETT: And elsewhere, yes.

One cannot argue of making money available to needy people, whether they're Jews or not, out of these monies that are being deposited there. Still, it is going to be an extremely difficult task for these organizations

25 that are to distribute it.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And considering the competing claims and what I can foresee tremendous amount of litigation and disagreement and appeals and hearings, I do not know whether this, your Honor, even if it is under the supervision of the Court, can be effectively effectuated. I really don't know, but I have grave doubts.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I have doubts about some of the claims that I have seen that go to very religious organizations that would want to rebuild Yeshivas and synagogues and establish additional memorials, which I myself - and I'm only speaking for myself - have grave doubts as what these - and I'm not claiming to speak for the dead - would have wanted. But I would like to point out and it might not be politically correct to say that here, but the vast majority of these people that we hurted in the gas chambers of Auschwitz, Birkenau, from 1941 through 1945 or the end of '44 were undoubtedly eastern Jews and probably most of them highly religious. And it is only in the last five minutes of their lives, herded naked into these gas chambers without knowing their fate and only after the cyanide being administered through the

```
roof and it took five minutes to die, and we
 1
   have this Auschwitz process in Frankfurt
 2
 3
   testimony both by the perpetrators and by the
   very few victims, that it took five minutes to
 5
   die. And only in those last five minutes, your
   Honor, do I think that the vast majority of
 6
 7
   these people realize what their fate was when
   they died. And as they were gasping for air and
 8
   as they were suffocating and trampling over
 9
   their weaker brethren and the children at the
10
   bottom, I myself believe, your Honor, that maybe
11
   they might have had some doubt about a God that
12
   had forsaken them.
13
```

And I believe, your Honor, that these people probably would not want Yeshivas and other memorials established in their name.

VOICE: How do you know that.

MR. LOBETT: Thank you.

I don't know that.

VOICE: So say you don't know.

MR. LOBETT: I don't know that. That is

22 my own opinion.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

24

25

VOICE: (unintelligible)

MR. LOBETT: You're entitled to yours.

THE COURT: Please.

MR. LOBETT: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

R

MR. LOBETT: That's all I have to say.

THE COURT: Rabbi Morris Schmidman.

RABBI SCHMIDMAN: Thank you. I will try to set an example of the five minute limit that was supposed to be set for all of the speakers and perhaps leave over a half minute or so to another distinguished colleague.

Let me first express my personal appreciation to your Honor, to this Court, for getting us to reach this day finally and our tribute to Special Master Judah Gribetz and his staff for a very thorough and very informative presentation, some of which I think can be improved upon.

And last, but certainly not least, it is for the prior distinguished panel of attorneys who made all of this possible, and I think that we owe them a great deal of gratitude and appreciation for what they have done for the sake of so many Holocaust survivors.

Much of what we raise regarding the special master's report is contained in our official objections which has been filed with

the court. And I don't want to impose upon this
Court or this audience to recite that once
again. Some of the important (unintelligible)
aspects of it have been addressed by our
distinguished counsel, Mr. Steve Winston and
some will be addressed yet by our attorney,
Mr. Mell Urbach.

I only want to raise and call your attention to two aspects, but I don't want to let this moment pass by without expressing my sorrow at some of the comments which were made just a moment ago regarding the religious life of the millions of Jews that perished in the Holocaust and what they really felt and believed or to demean the millions who adhere to a very rigorous religious lifestyle and who would love to see that perpetuated.

Throughout all of this process, we have been guided one fundamental principle, and that is that all of the funds, all of the funds, should go to survivors and to survivors only.

And by "survivors" we place this into categories. The survivor individuals or whatever category they fit into this class action and survivor communities and congregations.

1 And the Court will note that in the 2 official initial questionnaire that was 3 distributed and made the basis for some of the future claims that this Court and the attorneys involved approved of the category which was in 5 Category 3 on page 2, "If the subject is 6 7 business organization, congregation, community or other entity, please supply the following 8 information." This indicates there was a 9 specific purpose or desire that congregations or 10 11 community organizations have an opportunity to 12 submit a claim and could rightfully anticipate 13 that if there was a distribution, that they 14 could participate in it.

I think that this applies with greater force today that this be implemented and this group be included in the process. The only way in which they fit in is under the category of looted assets, and difficulties regarding that have been raised here. But some of the answers to that problem have also been set forth in terms of the reallocation of some of the funds, and if additional funds were made available there could be a significant amount attached for looted assets for individuals.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 And just as there's been no difficulty 2 in solving the slave labor problem, at least slave labor Category 1 in terms of potential 3 distribution to them, whether it be \$500 or 4 \$1,000, that something be distributed to them, I 5 think this could equally be done in connection 6 with looted assets. And even if it's the most 8 minimal amount, it is there money and they deserve to receive it as being part of this 9 litigation and fitting into the class 10 categories. 11

But supposing even that that could not be done thoroughly, we would recommend that the Court set aside a subclass which is community organizations and congregations, that this subclass be entitled to funds from the looted assets category. This is an identifiable and extremely small group, but they can be identified and they could be recipients without a problem of there being no way of tracking them or knowing where they are or what the distribution should be. And we would recommend that the Court set aside subfund and cap the fund that between \$50 and \$60 million which would be reserved for the purpose of

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 distribution to organizations and community organizations and congregations, so that they 2 3 can pool out the successors to that which has been destroyed, that they just like individuals 4 who lost these assets can retrieve them through this restitution process.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And, finally, your Honor, I want to comment also on our behalf in connection with the proposal that the special master sets aside for creating a victims list and for which \$10 million is set aside. It is in our opinion that the proposed expenditure of \$10 million to create such a victims list does not comport with the legal principles which would permit a cypres distribution, and these funds belong to the class and should be allocated to the class. The creation of a victims list, while perhaps a laudable enterprise, is unnecessary. It exists in so many formats. ISEP has used lists created by Yad Vashem and for other institutions that are already available, and to take \$10 million and spend it on that is in, our opinion, not the best choice in itself.

> And even though this \$10 million -THE COURT: But those lists are

incomplete.

1

2

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RABBI SCHMIDMAN: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Those lists are not complete.

5 RABBI SCHMIDMAN: I understand that. I understand that, your Honor.

But despite that fact, the mere fact 7 that that amount of money is being spent for 8 that is not the most worthwhile purpose and does not fit into all of the principles. And even 10 though it's \$10 million only, which seems like a 11 12 very, very small amount, if that amount were 13 placed in the looted asset category, it could be 14 a far more beneficial and purposeful use of these restitution funds. 15

I want to just conclude also by indicating that in the category of the congregational community purposes, our organization which represents many of them is in a position to assist and see that the distribution as so presented be carried out in a very, very effective and informal fashion.

I want to thank you once again for this opportunity of presenting our objections and call your attention of those that are interested

to the detailed information contained in our formal objections filed with the court, as well as a copy of our original distribution plan. I think that if adopted it improves that which the special master has so effectively done and would present a fairer and more equitable process for it.

Thank you.

THE COURT: At the very least, your plan is on the Internet. It's on our Internet site, your plan, in case anybody wants to find it.

RABBI SCHMIDMAN: I didn't hear that.

THE COURT: I said your plan is on our Internet site.

RABBI SCHMIDMAN: Oh, thank you, very much.

THE COURT: If anybody wants to read it.

Dr. Norbert Beckales.

MR. BECKALES: My name is Norbert

Beckales. I live in McLean, Virginia, and I'm

the secretary of the Federation of Jewish Child

Survivors of the Holocaust. We have 43 groups

and in 16 countries. And as a result of our

international presence, we've recently renamed

ourselves the World Federation of Jewish Child Survivors of the Holocaust.

2.2

I'm going to be very brief and not go over the points. I was here a year ago and I presented, I think, our point view rather clearly. But I would like to reiterate it because it has come out - it may come up in connection with the deposited assets claim and also with the looted claims, any residual funds that may be remaining there.

We are of a very firm and strong opinion that all money should go to survivors, all money should go to survivors and none to organizations. And by that, let me be specific.

I did not bring a clock here. I'm sorry. I apologize, your Honor.

None should go to organizations, and by that I mean the following:

It should not be to organizations that are created for purposes that are other than social welfare of survivors. It is only for social welfare, for medical welfare. It is for the direct use for the benefit of survivors that organizations should be receiving any money, including any residual monies.

So those were the two points that I made last time. I would like to add a point that has not been made by anyone who spoke before me.

I would like to see personally that when the money finally does get distributed that a letter apology goes with it, a personal letter addressed to an individual, saying that a wrong was done to you with a name, and this is a small measure of compensation for it.

Finally - and I see I am not using up my time and that's just fine with me - we ask for an expeditious conclusion of this litigation. Our people are getting older; they need the money now. And so we ask that you please take every step to do this as expeditiously as possible.

Thank you, very much.

THE COURT: Leo Rechter.

MR. RECHTER: My name is Leo Rechter, executive director of the National Association of Jewish Child Holocaust Survivors. But today I'm also representing survivors from all over the country, grassroots, grassroots of the survivors, and not some selected individuals

that we have put forward in order to speak on
behalf of the survivors when they actually were
never elected.

Last year when I was here I stated we survivors are sick and tire of all the shenanigans and that is repeated in the newspapers all over.

I just came back from Chicago where I met with representatives of 14 survivor organizations in Florida, 12 survivor organizations in Chicago, a California association and a number of other associations, and I have forwarded their demands also to your Honor.

We also mentioned at that time that there were (unintelligible) with the permission of the Court, I would like to add authorization of the Chicago group. Would you please accept it.

## (Handing)

MR. RECHTER: Thank you.

The feeling is almost unanimous all over the United States when it comes to (unintelligible) survivor organizations. They are angry, they are frustrated as you well

92

noticed over here, and they're frustrated mainly 1 2 because wounds were reopened, expectations were 3 (unintelligible) and their expectations are not being fulfilled. Many told me we would have 5 (unintelligible) that this would have never happened to begin with. We were never consult -7 the elected representatives of survivor organizations were never consulted and decisions 9 were made by well-meaning people who thought 10 that they knew better what was needed for the 11 survivors.

I concur with Professor Newborne that there I not enough on the table. There's not enough to satisfy everybody who wants a chunk of that money. You have religious organizations. They might as well claim what about the (unintelligible) also eliminated. What about Yiddish newspapers, they also have a claim. There is not enough money.

Now, money for those causes should be raised in other matters. The money was raised for survivors and for survivors only, and only survivors should be entitled. And all the other causes, no matter how worthwhile, whether it be for monuments or for supporting destitute Jewish

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

individuals who are not survivors, should be taken care of with a large (unintelligible) organization like the UGA, who bragged about having received \$143 million last year and (unintelligible). Don't take it off the back of the survivors.

I was interview for the Shoaha Visual 7 History Foundation, and I have interviewed many 8 destitute survivors here in New York itself. 9 There are people in Washington Heights and 10 people in Brooklyn, even in Rego Park, some 11 woman - one woman I remember she was on dialysis 12 three times a week and the \$1,500 she was 13 getting from the Claims Conference to the 14 (unintelligible) organization is insufficient. 15 We have plenty of destitute survivors here in 16 17 the states and no money should be diverted from their pro rata share to other causes, no 18 19 portions whatsoever.

I recall another case - and I'm trying to be very brief - of young French boy who learned how to make false I.D.'s and with a false I.D. and (unintelligible) to the Germans that sponsored another to get more -- more rations, and while he was in (unintelligible)

20

21

22

23

24

the Germans came in and he was blonde and was
blue eyed and so they made him a poster boy and
they put his picture all over Paris to show
here, volunteer for this project. And of course
when once his picture was posted, he was hunted
by (unintelligible) with the Germans. He was
reported by his former classmates. He was hunted
like an animal. He had to eat garbage from all
over.

And yet, according to the plan, with all due respect to Master Gribetz, he will not be entitled to anything, no looted assets are available practically in this category. And was not in a camp and he was not in the ghetto. And there are many cases like that. Of course, I cannot take all the time over here.

We have the greatest respect for Master Gribetz for his efforts and the efforts of his law firm. And as Mr. Roberts said at a previous occasion, even the law firm of Solomon (unintelligible) could not have solved the problem. But we have to let justice prevail to a certain extent. When you recognize the rights, and they should be recognized, of the depositors in the Swiss banks, you recognize the property

and rights of the very wealthy. Then you must recognize the property and rights of those that were only middle class. Those that lost only their apartments and only lost their businesses and only lost a few jewelry that they had, they should also some sort of compensation.

But you see, practically all the survivors we spoke to agree on one thing, that rather than the few measly dollars that they probably could get would have wanted to live out their life in dignity. They would have wanted to get some sort of help, catastrophic health care insurance in their declining years.

Many of those survivors retired some of them to Miami, husbands died, widows never expected to live as long as they did and now they're running out of money. They overestimated their financial resources. And we have needy cases all over the country, especially when it comes to health care.

When this idea was initially proposed to the people that negotiated on our behalf, the people that negotiated and said they knew better what was good for us, they said there is not enough money for that. And, yet, now we hear

2.2

that there might be money left over from all those administered. There might be hundreds of millions of dollars. There might be billions of dollars left over. All of sudden, there might be money for all kind of pet projects, but not enough to give dignity to survivors in their last final years.

All the organizations that we asked, as I said before, are resentful that they are not being represented directly, that organizations have been negotiating on their behalf which are not composed of elected representatives. And we are firmly opposed to any finite amount under the deposited asset class. I mean, you can understand a cap, but not a finite amount.

Because if you have a finite amount, then there is the potential for residuals, and then there's already people lining up, organizations lining up all over that are trying to claim part or portions of those residuals.

Professor Newborne said that perhaps those residuals will then be allocated to the deposited - to the - sorry, to the looted asset class. That perhaps it's not good enough for us really. We, the elected survivors, really want

to have control over it. We want to see what is going on. We want to see how the monies are distributed. We want to see what is left over, and we want to make our own decisions of how the money should be applied.

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We realize that there might be not enough, but we have seen many projects started with just \$20 or \$30 million and later on they've been building upon. If we have a project of that sort, then we know we might be able to attract (unintelligible) organizations to contribute to that. There's all kinds of (unintelligible) organizations that in the last - even in the last few months that recreated the accounting on additional money coming in, the same that should have been created for the survivors. First, you take care of the most needy, the most destitute for their health care and then build upon it until all of us survivors can live out their life in dignity and not have to face an uncertain future because there might white cloud for medical costs.

Thank you for listening.

THE COURT: Josh Konecky

MR. KONECKY: Good afternoon. My name

1 is Josh Konecky and I'm with Disability Rights
2 Advocates in Oakland, California. We represent
3 an international coalition of disability
4 organizations and individuals with disabilities
5 who have urged us to carry the message to this
6 court that meaningful reparations from the
7 settlement fund are imperative to begin enabling
8 us to address the horrors that were inflicted
9 against people with disabilities during the
10 Holocaust.

All the unspeakable atrocities of the Holocaust were committed against people with disabilities as well. Looting, horrific medical experiments, 400,000 people with disabilities forcible sterilized with radiation or painful vasectomies without anesthesia, 275,000 individuals with disabilities murdered in the official killing programs, and that doesn't include other atrocities occurring in forced labor camps, concentration camps or killings and sterilizations outside of Germany itself.

Yes, 50 years later the world is still generally silent and ignorant about the persecution of people with disabilities during the Holocaust. People with disabilities were

2.0

2.2

precluded from the compensation in the German courts, shut out of negotiations between the United States and German Governments. And to this day, not a single memorial or museum has been dedicated solely to the remembrance of individuals with disabilities during the Holocaust.

This tragic omission is particularly alarming to people with disabilities across the world because even today they face many of the same forms of discrimination and dehumanization that were the cause of the atrocities during the Holocaust. There's still mass segregation of people with disabilities, amazingly awful bias citizen falsehoods against them, poverty, unemployment and still widespread institutionalization.

So, your Honor, by insuring that these proceedings do not forget the persecution of people with disabilities during the Holocaust, this Court will make a long awaited and historical pronouncement that could transform the status of people with disabilities in Europe and beyond.

The international community of people

with disabilities asks this Court to reserve one percent of the settlement fund to establish a disability benefits fund. This is a quite modest proposal considering that people with disabilities are very likely the second victim group, the second largest victim group, and considering the gravity of the atrocities that they have suffered.

The disabilities benefit fund that we 10 propose would be both symbolic, but it would 11 also have far reaching practical benefits to helping bring justice to the disability 12 13 community and those in the greatest need in the 14 disability community. It would address directly 15 the unacceptable conditions which still exist in eastern and central Europe and which are 16 17 directly linked to what occurred during the 18 Holocaust. It would be supervised by the Court, 19 and it would it contribute to the empowerment of 20 people with disabilities so we also can say, "Never again." 21

The proposal has the support of class counsel, Professor Burt Newborne and Morris Ratner and is simply in our view the right thing to do.

22

23

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Fira Stukelman.

MS. STUKELMAN: My name is Fira

4 Stukelman, and I represent the Association of

5 Holocaust Survivors from former Soviet Union.

6 Our people -- they are present here

7 (unintelligible) and they -- why we were the

8 star because we don't have the members of

9 (unintelligible). We were -- during in the war

10 we were the star. All children, we belong not

11 to the -- not to the concentrate camp. Most of

12 us belong to the ghetto in the Ukraine and Bela-

13 Russia.

14

15

16

17

18

20

23

1

2

3

In 1941 when the war begin, I saw today the Nazis who came to City Venyetza (phonetic) in the Ukraine in black suits with dogs. And my mother was pick up in the (unintelligible) and she was killed and I survived by myself. I was only eight years. I was (unintelligible). I live a year in the basement because nobody -- I

couldn't be in -- during the day, nobody have to see me because I was be killed.

I will not say about my life. My life was so hard and strong. And not only about me.

25 | I want to talk today about all Holocaust

survivors who had the hard life during in the war, who was guide in the wood, who children who was working together with older people. They work together. It wasn't different between age. We survive and every day it was why, questions, why to us, why to me, why it coming to us. We children. We didn't understand. We are Jewish. Why? And today we are here.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

After the war in 1945 it wasn't for us then of the war because we lived in communist country. We was -- our life was very strong and hard. We was persecute by Russian communists and Ukraine Nazis and we couldn't have this opportunity to go to Israel or to America before We are late today in this country. of our people we have an (unintelligible) thousand Holocaust survivors. And these people who survive and live today, our life very hard. Because we are poor, receive only \$600 in a months. We appreciate America for this, only it's hard for us. We pay this money in more than \$600 for our rent. We cannot afford this. This money, what we receive \$200 in a months from Germany we pay for our bills. Where we have money for food? Only a hundred food

stamps. And this money we try to survive, to eat, to spend for our food.

1

2

21

22

23

24

25

What's go on today? Our people old.

They are sick. They cannot buy some medicine.

They cannot afford their rent. They need help.

Could you believe that it was in two months ago

it was in Seattle Holocaust Survival Conference.

We cannot go because you don't have money to pay

to go for the conference.

10 Last year, four people was present in Washington in conference. We were the second 11 12 Why? Because we couldn't afford this class. 13 conference. We couldn't pay \$650. All people 14 left for dinner, we stay in the room. What we 15 eat, sandwich. Why we have to be the second 16 We deserve to be like all Holocaust class. 17 survivors. Our decision, all our people, money 18 belong only to the Holocaust survivors. 19 Everyday people died. Every day we left -- we 20 leave our people.

Please, your Honor, take decision for Holocaust survivors. If we survive we need this help. This money will never make us happy, never. The justice have to be. Thank you.

THE COURT: Yakov Poleshuck.

## Proceedings

THE CLERK: May we have the Russian interpreter, please.

MR. POLESHUCK: Honorable Judge, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Poleshuck, Yakov. I'm a survivor of the concentration camp, and over there I lived under a different identity. I had a different name and I had a different nationality.

It is written in this document that people have to remember everybody who perished in concentration camps. And those certify that any forms of (unintelligible) in different corners of the whole world. And now a very important questions are trying to be settled and these are about the money which are now in Switzerland banks. And this money belong to people who were killed during the Second World War. They were killed by German fascists.

And though these events took place on occupied territory of partially Europe,
Bela-Russia and Ukraine. On occupied territories innocent children were killed also innocent old aged people and women in places like Biberya (phonetic) and everything was done by fascists and people who assisted them.

### Proceedings

Four million Jews perished or were killed in concentration camps of Majdanek, Kaswenson (phonetic), Treblinka. And the fascists before sending people to gas chambers they looted everything which people had, their personal assets and belongings and everything they had.

. 1

Six million Jews died during the Second World War. That's how Hitler and people who worked for him tried to settle the Jewish question.

On occupied territories of former

Soviet Union fascists looted everything, looted

treasures and other valuable things, stuff,

before sending people to gas chambers.

I didn't hear it from somebody. I was a witness myself how people were killed in concentration camps. In Birkenau, Dachau, Bergen-Belsen, Mauthausen, Nordheimer (phonetic) Ravensbruck and other camps of death. People over there died because of hard slave labor and also because different experiments were carried out on them. I was 18 years old when after one of the meetings with people who were in charge, they beat me up, they battered me, they

1 assaulted me, and then after that I was thrown 2 to a concentration camp of Dachau.

On my head they cut out a sign and that was a sign which made me a part of the concentration camp. They gave me a number. The number was 59998. And from that time I stopped being a human being; I was just a number.

Anybody could kill me, could assault me, could beat me up and just destroy me.

And I was at one of the military factories of Germany and a group of people from SS they guarding us. People weighed 35, 36 kilograms. And that camp was surrounded by a wire and in that wire there was an electrical current. That camp was liberated by American and other troops in 1945, May 5. And then I went to the east.

Then I found myself in Vienna, and then they shipped me back to my mother land. And then I worked at the miners of Donbass. That means that I got from one concentration camp into another one. And for two years I worked under the ground in the mines of Donbass.

That's how our motherland aware that (unintelligible). We were treated like animals

there. We were considered to be animals there.

Every person who survived Holocaust has a life of his own and our fortune was to survive.

Dear Judge, we Holocaust survivors ask you sincerely just to figure out those numbers. Currently, the rent is very expensive and also we're in a bad health (unintelligible). We hope in your justice and in your sincerity.

Thank you for your attention.

THE COURT: Rabbi Chaiem Stauber.

RABBI STAUBER: Your Honor, thank you for allowing me to address you, this Court, in the name of Nepesh Ahor, an organization dedicated to address some of the most urgent and unmet needs of Holocaust survivors.

The crucial issue of the day at these hearings is to find a fair and just way for the distribution of the Swiss banks settlement funds.

Your Honor, I will be brief with my remarks, and written statement has already been submitted. But I think it is appropriate at this time to offer a prayer that your Honor be bestowed with heavenly wisdom to speedy conclude

the process with an equitable distribution plan.
I pray that our merciful father, the ultimate
judge of all mankind, guide this court to do the
right thing for all and particularly for the
frail, elderly survivors who are distraught and
anguished to properly appreciate what you're all
doing for them.

May all might God repay you all for your kindness.

Your Honor, we all know the saying,
"Justice delayed is justice denied." In this
case, however, the longer it takes to help the
frail, elderly survivors, it's not just an
axiom, but unfortunately a sad reality.

The plan of the special master,

Mr. Judah Gribetz, who we all admire and thank

for his tireless efforts, calls for setting

aside the bulk of the money to satisfy any and

all claims by the first class; namely, the

banking depositors of their legitimate heirs.

This, however, as we all know will take still

more time to sort out the lists of tens of

thousands of names. Many impoverished survivors

of the worst calamity known to mankind must not

be allowed to struggle anymore waiting years to

survive with their daily living.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Nepesh Ahor has the names right here and some pictures and some personal data of scores of lonely survivors who are destitute and will be happy to turn their names over to the Court, your Honor, that desperately need being placed in an assist of living facility as we have described in fuller detail in our written statement.

10 We believe that overworked with Holocaust survivors deserve the Court's kind 11 12 consideration, including, of course, of our own Nepesh Ahor. Yet, we believe the highest 13 14 priority and the Court's most urgent attention 15 must be given to helping those individual, frail 16 survivors whose needs for assistance with their 17 daily lives cannot be put off any longer. the Court's kind consideration of the 18 19 humanitarian side and all the respect of 20 Professor Burt Newborne, I don't think that justice and humanitarian causes cannot go hand 21 I think the humanitarian side of the 22 in hand. 23 truly, needy elderly survivors first and foremost can helps the cause of elderly, 24 impoverished survivors who can, in fact, be 25

accommodated almost immediately to better their
lives a lot and by paying or subsidizing the
cost to move in to assisted living. We're
talking about the most frail who cannot make it
on their own.

Some of this population who currently live alone are either too weak - and they were too weak to be here - so grief stricken from 8 losing a spouse that they cannot even go out of 10 the house to buy food or to cash their Social Security and SSI check, and there is very little 11 a case manager or social worker can do to 12 13 ameliorate their problem. As a result, a special collaborative effort has been undertaken 14 by Nepesh Ahor with a reputable state-of-the-art 15 16 assisted living housing complex in Brooklyn, 17 named (unintelligible) of Midwood in the heart 18 of Brooklyn.

The frail survivors in whose name we speak are financially poor and physically frail, yet not sick enough to qualify for a skilled nursing facility nor poor enough to be eligible for Medicaid. We kindly request an allocation from the settlement fund to address this urgent, humanitarian need immediately.

19

20

21

22

23

24

In our written statement, your Honor, 1 we proposed the Court the setting up of a fund 2 in the sum of \$60 million. Some have said the 3 amount should be at least double that. However, 5 we respectfully submit to the Court on behalf of the neediest of the Holocaust survivors - I'll be finished in a minute - to allocate 7 8 expeditiously without any further delay any sum the Court deems reasonable to be paid out 9 directly to the frail elderly individual 10 11 survivors or the legal representatives whose financially need has been fully verified coupled 12 with a stipulation that the money be used 14 exclusively to defray the cost for assisted 15 living.

will prove to any and all detractors that this entire process was permeated by a sincere desire to help the survivors on truly humanitarian grounds. It may also be the impetus for similar allocations by governmental organs and agencies to assure basic assisted living needs for all needy, frail Holocaust survivors. Thus, the Court's favorable disposition towards this urgent request with its immediate allocation of

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

such funds may be the catalyst to ensure that these who have suffered so much in their lifetime will suffer no more.

Thank you and God bless you all.
THE COURT: John Nikles.

MR. NIKLES: The documented history of the century's old persecution of Romani people is a sad and painful one for many of the general public. Romani is the proper term for it, but much more commonly known as "gypsies" or Seghani (phonetic).

The centuries of slavery, brutality, oppressive laws and people degrees calling for the merciless treatment of Roma has produced an ingrained and acceptable patter of discrimination against my people in the present day which excludes us even from the simplest forms of recognition.

Our greatest tragedy was that over one million Romanis were murdered by the Nazis. The fact that no mention of this was ever made on their behalf during the numerous cases examined at the Nuremberg trials is a glaring example of the lack of recognition I refer to.

What is equally incredible is that

after the war, our government here in the United 1 States distributed \$100 million as an emergency 2 relief fund for Holocaust survivors, but left 3 Romanis in the camps, unacknowledged without so much as a dollar's worth of bread. 5 charge of that fund new that Romanis were The decision at that victims of the Holocaust. time not to exceed assistance could only have been a deliberate one. I'm hoping that history 10 will record that this Court marked the turning 11 point of those previous injustices.

I respectfully come before the Court, your Honor, to attempt to provide some knowledge of the Romanis people's plight. It is apparent by the special master's proposed plan, which virtually ignores Romani rights and claims and at best is only a token of their actual loss of life and assets, those being looted assets primarily gold coins, jewelry, teeth, which was melted in bullion and deposited in a Swiss bank.

It appears the special master has fallen short of the great honor bestowed up him to produce an equitable distribution plan. He has systematically ignored the input we

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

have occasionally been insensitive and racially.
discriminating. The circumstances that alleged
representatives of the Romanis have come to hold
positions on lawyers committees, coupled with
the favored attention he has given to them,
makes it difficult to understand to us the vast
majority of Romanis who find those proposals
totally foreign to what they believe is in the
best interest of the Romani race.

Over a half a century ago, the Nazis targeted Jews and Romanis for precisely the same reason: both are people who were classified as non-Arian and thus targeted for extermination. There was a final solution of the gypsy question, just as there was a final solution of the Jewish question. Only Jews and Romanis were murdered in the gas chambers. To be practically dismissed by Mr. Gribetz, the special master, and assigned to the category of "others," only gives support to the myth with perpetuates our exclusion from a fair consideration for our suffering.

THE COURT: Well, that's not true.

That's not true. No one is precluded from every victim of Nazi persecution, whether

- they're Jews or Romani as they're defined in the
  agreement could apply for deposited assets.
  They're eligible for the slave labor
- distribution.
- MR. NIKLES: I may be incorrect, your
  Honor, but I think we're classified under
  thers."
- 8 THE COURT: They're the potential
  9 beneficiary of the cypres distribution for
  10 looted assets. It's simply not so that they're
  11 not being treated equally. In fact, as you
  12 yourself acknowledges it's probably the first
  13 proceeding in which their plight has been
  14 recognized.
  - MR. NIKLES: That is absolutely correct.
- THE COURT: And I bent over backwards
  including putting a representative of the
  Romanis on the plaintiff's executive committee.
  Now, I can't help it if there is no unity in the
  Romani community and one says that the other
  doesn't represent me. But to suggest that the
  special master's report is racist or to suggest
- 23 that the Romanis are not being treated equally
- 24 is simply a remark that I can't let pass.
- MR. NIKLES: However, your Honor, I sent

1 you a detailed letter explaining how that 2 committee was formed.

THE COURT: I understand, but there are detailed letters - there's a letter that I got today faxed to me on Friday from another Romani group saying that you don't represent them. So, I mean, -

MR. NIKLES: Well -

THE COURT: Look, -

MR. NIKLES: I make no argument that the Romani are a weak and fractionalized people.

THE COURT: As you could see from sitting here, the Jewish community isn't exactly united either, but that's a different story from some of the more inflammatory charges that you've made here.

MR. NIKLES: I'm not a lawyer, but if we are classified as a race like the Jewish race is, then I stand corrected. But I believe we're in the category of Jehovah's Witnesses, homosexuals and disabled.

THE COURT: Everybody can apply, every member of those groups as Jews can apply for benefits in every category. They could make claims if they had bank accounts in Swiss banks.

They could apply for slave labor distribution as it's provided for in the allocation. They're provided for in the cypres, in terms of looted assets. And if they were excluded from Switzerland, they could apply for benefits as refugees.

7 It's simply not true that there's any 8 form of discrimination.

2.1

MR. NIKLES: Well, maybe my referral of discrimination was not exactly what I was trying to express.

A suffering which did not end with the Holocaust as it dramatically did for our Jewish brothers and sisters. Even a cursory examination of the respective conditions of our two peoples in the world today makes that abundantly clear.

THE COURT: Well, again, you keep pitting one against the other. You could argue, and I think it's documented in the special master's report, that survivors of the Holocaust who lived in eastern Europe, the horror didn't end for them. They suffered twice; first, under the Nazis and then under the communists and without any form of restitution.

# Proceedings

```
1
            So I just don't think it's useful to
 2
   get involved into a kind of who suffered more.
   Everybody suffered; they suffered unjustly.
 3
   we're involved in the settlement here of a
   lawsuit that is designed to compensate people in
 6
   some way or another for acts that have some
   relationship to Swiss defendants. It's not to
 7
   rectify all of the injustices that occurred
 8
   during that period of time, and ultimate
 9
10
   distribution has to bear a significant
   relationship to the underlying basis for this
11
12
   lawsuit.
            Go ahead.
13
            MR. NIKLES: I understand what
14
15
   you're -
16
            THE COURT: I mean I try not to
17
   interrupt because I think everybody -
18
            MR. NIKLES: I understand.
            THE COURT: - everybody wants to speak,
19
20
   but there are times when I just have to.
21
   go ahead.
22
```

23

24

1 where I'm a Romani who's been actively concerned 2 about my people's plight for many, many years. This is one of the first opportunities in 3 history for us to get justice. And I come here in the hopes that I believe in this country that 5 I love very much that the pinnacle of justice is 6 7 in the United States. And I come to plead 8 before you for my people who have been totally ignored by this government, the Nazi government 9 and every other government for many, many 10 centuries. 11

Hopefully, you will take some of these things in consideration and understand the different circumstances of Romanis versus the other. I make no say that we suffered more. That's not important to me. Everybody suffered hideously during the Holocaust. I don't attempt to say that the Romani suffered more. What I attempt to portray to you is that the suffering of the Romanis has been ignored, totally ignored.

THE COURT: I understand that, but - MR. NIKLES: And I think it's been ignored by the special master, and that's my opinion. I could be wrong, but that's the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

impression I get from the actions - interactions with him that I've had.

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Although our pleas for fair and reasonable consideration are justifiable, we do not wish to cause other claimants any delay or inconvenience. We're asking that 20 percent of the total settlement be set aside for Romani claims and the remainder be free to distribute at the Court's discretion.

If we cannot prove our claim to the Court's satisfaction, then whatever funds is agreed upon can go back to the original pool. Today for the first time in our history, we have a Romani leader who has earned the recognition of his people and the acceptance of governments all over the world. He has the ability to pull together the Romani activists and organizations into a working group to address the need of Holocaust survivors and even more importantly to create and implement programs that will unite and protect a people who are desperately in need of this leadership. Dr. Hancock does not have the resources to begin this goal today. the Court grants our plea, I believe that a true and fair justice will be achieved.

In conclusion, may I say that I am 1 2 honored by the privilege I have today to present this plea and to appear with the Honorable .3 Ramsey Clark who has graciously joined out efforts to be heard. In 1964, he had the 5 compassion and foresight to include Romanis when 6 he authored the Civil Rights Act, a gesture that 7 has produced many positive effects for our I'm hoping that today represents another milestone in our history by giving your Honor - giving serious consideration to Dr. 11 Hancock's vision for bringing his people out of 12 the dark ages. 13

Thank you, sir.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Kamilla -

VOICE: I just want to say one word.

Why the Holocaust survivors receive \$500

(unintelligible) organizations and have

(unintelligible). The Romanis and gentleman have received over \$1,400, so they have

(unintelligible).

THE COURT: Ms. Kamilla Prigova.

MS. PRIGOVA: Your Honor, I am speaking of American Association of Veterans and Invalids of World War II of the former Soviet Union who

has no voice at that moment except for right own.

Our association counts more than 3,000

members, the youngest of them are 72 and the

oldest are over 90. All of them can related to

the D category because the Nazis looted their

assets illegally and they had never received any

compensation. They left their homes, their

assets and they fled in the face of death. The

majority of the veterans was called up to the

army and their families, woman, old parents and

children were evacuated or killed.

While fleeing they could not even think about the documents and estimated monetary value of the assets they left. They were thinking only about the life of their children and their own. Many of the present heirs were little children at that time.

How was it possible for them to estimate the monetary value?

In many cases, those little children are the only descendants of the perished. The veterans who survived in the war are old, frail and sick people now. They need help especially in the (unintelligible) of the former Soviet

Union.

We ask you to pay special attention to those people who can't prove their claims by documents and eyewitness. And we also ask you not to deprive the rest of the people belonging to this category. Their right to receive compensation. Their wrote their preliminary claims in the Swiss banks, even if they have no substantial documents and they were ask. They spent money for filling out this applications because they could not do it without professional assistance.

We ask you to take our opinion into consideration when adopting the Judah Gribetz plan and give the hundreds of thousands of Jews their right to present their claims and participate in this plan. As we understand it is possible to save great sum of money (unintelligible) do some kinds of hard and important job. The rest of the sum can be distributed fairly in little parts between all the people who lost their property in this war.

I wish you not only to listen to me, but to hear me. I want my words to reach your heart and to help these old, poor people who

```
have not left much time for them and need your help. Well, they have no time to wait. They didn't receive any compensation because some compensation which was given was given for moral and physical sufferings and for looting assets, they didn't receive anything.
```

And the (unintelligible) God bless you in this difficult job, but do it fairly.

Thank you, very much.

important job.

THE COURT: Eliazar Bloshteyn.

MR. BLOSHTEYN: Honorable Judge Mr. Korman, Honorable Special Master Judah Gribetz, I (unintelligible) for your hard and very

My name is Eliazar Bloshteyn. I came from Ukraine from City Odessa. I'm a Ukranian Jew. My grandfather and grandmother were died from hunger. My uncle and my aunt were shot in Odessa. And I live here in America it is not my fault.

I hear a lot of opinions of Jews. They are very different and I know that you cannot do everything because you are not Jesus Christ.

You are only a Judge.

How many peoples, so many opinions.

But I think as you are a Judge, you have to

decide a legal decision. In my opinion all Jews

who suffered, who died, who live right now who

haven't their property, former property, they

are right, all peoples, all Jewish people, all

Romania because they were person persecuted by

German, Austrian, Romanian fascists.

VOICE: Ukrainians.

MR. BLOSHTEYN: Yes, true.

And I think that our right is equal.

And I understand that \$1 million for only \$500 is so little that you cannot give everybody. I understand. But I think that it is a mistake,

Mr. Gribetz - your mistake, excuse me - that you give us a chance to plea to give you our documents about our property.

We submit that this proposal is not only inhumanate (sic) and discriminatory but also legally unsound an effort to prevent the Court from allowing this unprecedented legal mistake and to avoid an appeal by newly robbed Jews at the highest official levels.

. We propose a choice that all that who can't provide documents or eyewitness testimony to control their claims without exception be

allowed to participate in this suit, including jews of the former USR, regardless of whether or not they appear to have been prisoners of the ghetto and witnesses are participants in the war. One minute.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

.1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLOSHTEYN: The principle of the commentary (sic) evidence and eyewitness testimony should be applied by the judge throughout the examination of the claims of all four categories: A, B, C, D. All participants in the collective action to retrace the past of one's property directly through the Swiss banks and not the German banks as Mr. Judah Gribetz suggested will be near impossible not only for the (unintelligible), but also for the prisoners of the ghetto and the slave labors Categories B and C. That in the interest of sensible allocation (sic) of the limited sum which in the fund those who have the culmentary evidence supporting their right to share in the Swiss Bank deposits receive compensation without it's tenth (sic) hold increase, without this increase for inflation. Inflation has not effected by the Swiss Bank deposit over 60 years interest has

#### Proceedings

- also grown on the durations for stolen property.

  That the Jewish Immigrant Community Organization representing jews from former USR, Eastern

  European jews in (unintelligible) of the second world war, prisoners of the ghettos and concentration camp, et cetera. And not only the giant and claims conference be granted control
- That the judge recommended to all
  lawyers in the collective suit for the most part
  jews, that is a voluntary mistake -- excuse me,
  -- mitzvah (sic). They refuse their
  22-and-half-million dollars fee in order to lift
  the burden from this elderly, poor and sick jews
  provided they have produced evidence to justify
  their claims.

over the distribution of the funds.

In the interest of saving a considerable amount of money that after the distribution of compensation to all legitimate claims claimants the remain of fund settlement be distributed in equal parts, in equal parts, no matter what their size, no matter --

THE COURT: No matter.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. BLOSHTEYN: No matter, excuse me.

THE COURT: Even a dollar a person?

```
MR. BLOSHTEYN: Okay. But I want that
 1
   you write me that I receive one dollar for the
 3
   property of my grandfather, of my grandmother,
   of my father -- excuse me, this is my opinion.
 4
 5
            THE COURT: I understand, I'm listening.
            MR. BLOSHTEYN: We ask that preceding
 6
   propositions be added to our case file.
 7
                                             And our
   opinions was published in most Russian
 8
   newspapers in New York. I have to translate my
   opinion in English, excuse me for my little
10
11
   English. And I receive a letter from Israelian
   jews who live now in Israel, they cannot come to
12
   you because she is blind, she is 82 years old
13
   and she ask you to --
14
            THE COURT: I'll consider it, I'll
15
16
   consider it, just hand it up.
17
            MR. BLOSHTEYN: Consider, yes.
18
            THE COURT: Thank you very much.
19
            MR. BLOSHTEYN: Thank you very much.
            THE CLERK: You left your glasses here.
20
            MR. BLOSHTEYN: Excuse me - thank you.
21
            THE COURT: Adam Smith.
22
            THE CLERK: May we have a Polish
23
   interpreter, please.
24
```

MR. SCHMYT: Good morning, your Honor,

Transcription Plus II

1 good morning everybody.

2

3

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I arrived from the Polish city

Auschwitz, Oswiecim. I representing Romanique
group from Poland. I also apologize that

Mr. Roman Kratkowski (phonetic) was not able to
come.

I would like to remind you about the history of our Rome ethnic group during the second world war.

The Bill signed on December 16, 1937 by Mr. Heimlich Helmer talking about total extermination of the Rome nationality. During the second world war more than 50 percent of Romani ethnic group was exterminated; children, women, elderly people in ghettos, concentration camps, prisons, shot in the woods. Roma nation who are mainly wanders but they had also had their earthly possessions. At the time when the Nazi army was meeting them underways, usually they were shot in the spot and their possessions confiscated. I believe that this is the kind of historic occasion to put down a history of our Romani nation and to memorize the history of our nation.

Fifty-five years has passed since the

```
World War II, but very few people know what was
the real story of Romani nation during the
second world war. They were dying just because
they were Romani, the same like jews.
```

Because of that, Judge Korman, we ask
you to increase the funds to be provided for
that group.

I'd like to tell you the story of my 8 The concentration camp number 150321. 9 He only survived because he was not included in 10 11 the Romani group but he saw to the razor wire 12 (sic) when in August 2, 1944, almost entire population of Romani nation was being sent to 13 gas chambers because he had his sign with letter 14 "P." 15

Judge Korman, Mr. Gribetz, we are asking you to increase our funds allotment from 10 to 20 percent.

Thank you very much.

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

A VOICE: I wonder whether I could have a few moments. I have to read --

THE COURT: You have to sign-up. If I
have time at the end of the day I'll --

A VOICE: I'm on the list.

THE COURT: Oh, you're on the list.

All right. Next is Vincent Gerardi.
MR.GERARDI: Good afternoon,

2.4

Judge Korman, Special Master Gribetz, Learned counsel and survivors. I'm here on behalf of the estate of Nathan Katz.

I'm here today to object to the special master's plan of allocation as it relates to looted asset -- deluded assets class and especially as it relates to the looted fine artworks.

The special master has proposed to invoke the principle of cypres in allocating the funds to be appropriated for the looted assets class. Because of the difficulty and expense of establishing a link for each survivor's and claimant's stolen assets.

My clients have within the last few weeks uncovered secret classified documents in the federal archives in Washington, which they have succeeded in having declassified that show a direct link between the passage of valuable fine art from my client's family through Switzerland and then into the hands of the Nazis.

Based upon this newly discovered

### Proceedings

evidence we would ask this Court and the special 1 master to fashion a looted assets claim process within the allocation plan. To not allow my 3 clients to present evidence that has remained hidden and under an official veil of secrecy and confidentiality would be to continue an already longstanding injustice. As this Court is 7 well-aware the principle of cypres is an 8 equitable concept which will be invoked only 9 10 when the purpose for which a fund was established cannot be carried out. 11

In my client's case the federal government has retained documents in secret which will prove my client's claim if this Court will make a provision for their claim to be processed. To exercise the doctrine of cypres in my client's case would be to use an equitable principle to achieve an inequitable result.

I respectfully submit to this Court that it has the golden opportunity to start this century righting the wrongs of the last century. And I would like to thank learned counsel, Judge Korman and Special Master Gribetz for the herculean task that they've undertaken in this case.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: By the way, you should talk to Mr. Newbaum, there may be a system set up in the German Foundation case where your particular claim can be addressed.

MR.GERARDI: Thank you very much, your Honor.

THE COURT: Talk to him afterwards.

MR.GERARDI: Sure. Thank you,

10 your Honor.

THE COURT: David Tannenbaum.

MR.TANNENBAUM: Your Honor, first I want to thank you for giving me opportunity to really to stand before high tribunal (unintelligible).

I was prosecuted (sic) since I was a child but I never had opportunity in court of justice. And all hearing all this spectacular which I can -- Judge, I have never heard anybody mention anything about those which they laid on the ground dying and they ask us next "don't forget if you survive, don't forget us."

I've been in an instant -- I speak for them because it's the first time which I stood in front of a military tribunal which I caught the perpetrator which executed people the day

after we were already liberated somehow. And I happened to be lucky enough to catch this guy and he was -- he was hanged, tried and hanged, according to my -- the reason what I want to say is according to me we are denying the Holocaust and we are paying those perpetrators and those killers.

I was just past February gave a deposition in a German consulate for a guy which I know which he was caught, tried and he receives -- he applied for restitution because he claimed that he was forced to do the killing. I happen to know those instances. Here is from the US Justice Department to give deposition on another guy which I know personally, which I had incident with in the camp.

Everybody's talking about money. I'm not here to ask for more or less, I just want a little bit justice, justice for the survivors and for those which they died or the Holocaust -- there was no Holocaust for no other nationality but for the jews. There was no final solution for nobody but the jews. We couldn't even -- even in a concentration camp that I was at the last camp, I was in a cage behind

bobbed-wires in a barrack because I was Jewish.

And we know because this is what we have to

have, plus the number, the yellow stripe. I was

behind we didn't have enough room to sleep. We

slept like the chickens sitting down.

these people for the survivors, everybody for organizations the survivors, which they are, we were given the last struck before in the eleventh hour, the last blow, and that's what I'm here for to see that if there is anything to do, your Honor, whoever decided all this here to a little bit more justice. And whenever I sat in a cage, I was in a cage. I was ten years old. The reason in which I know that's (unintelligible) because I happen to be working for a guy, for a big official in the security department in an airplane plant. I worked in a plant at that time that I was making the (unintelligible) which they fought our planes.

But anyway, this is what I wanted to bring out that all the people -- everybody knows that the Holocaust like it was a spectacle, there was a Holocaust, we know that, everything was taken away from us. I was ten years old, I

was chased out of my house with my family and I never saw it again. I came back to Poland to my house and I still found a few relics which I was really like to play with as a kid, I had to pay for it to get it back.

As a matter of fact, I show it to you, your Honor, and I show it to the Court when I was here last year. I found it and I had to pay for it, my name was engraved, my father and my mother's name -- to given to them by their wedding anniversary -- I mean, to -- (unintelligible) they call it and their wedding.

So this is what I wanted to express.

And I wanted the people to know, we talking about a Holocaust like nothing happened. We hold all kinds of stories. The Holocaust happened and the final solution was for the Jewish people.

And that's what happened, that was taken away until this day.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. TANNENBAUM: Thank you for listening.

THE COURT: Nathan Sobel.

MR. SOBEL: Honorable Judge Korman,

Honorable Master Gribetz, present survivors and

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

guests. I stand here before you as Holocaust child survivor. The sole survivor of 50 some-odd people. Then they killed my mother and brother, there was the last killing, the last (unintelligible) blow was just before the end of the war in 1944. And it was a burning house, and I was burning in it and I jumped out and they ran and chased me after that. And I survived in spite of everything else.

Since then all survivors, especially the child survivors have been a mental miserable, physical mess, in our body, in our souls, in our living. Go ask our wives, go ask our children. You'll come to one of our meetings you'll hear the stories, that's all the years the stories. It's 55 years later after the war we are a total mental mess.

And now at the dusk of our life what we are asking is not for good, you're not going to change our life, you're not going to change our mode of living. What we ask is just for a little dignified respect of having maybe a dignified health plan for survivors. Two years ago I was for a trip in Europe and I took sick all of sudden, artro-fibulation (sic), a heart attack,

in the few days \$10,000 cash I have to pay out and I've been in physical ill health since then. And we cannot cope with all this. Why couldn't we have pooled the couple of dollars which will not change our lives, just into a plan that we should be able to live in dignity for the couple of years that we got left. Some kind of (unintelligible) plan. I don't want no good, I don't want no nothing. 

My father was a master mechanic, he had machines and motors and equipment, he was the checks to Germany into Italy and Switzerland for parts and machine parts and motors. I don't have papers, I cannot show, I just know that he send it. And somehow we survived, we fell the cracks, some of us.

The other day, two days ago a man told me four survivors died one day, we are dying, we are dying like flies. Our days are numbered. We are so much older, we were young when we started, now we are old and we know where we are heading. So that's all we are asking, some kind of dignified amount of health plan, we should be able -- we should not be as beggars or poor people that we cannot pay for our medicines and

1 other things.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Inna Arolovich.

MS.AROLOVICH: Hello Dear Judge, hello everybody.

I represent American Association of
Jews from the former USSR. The American
Association of Jews from the former USSR is a
(unintelligible) organization which represent
interests not only jews from the former USSR who
are residing in the United States but also
interests of jews who are still residing in the
former Soviet Union.

We would like to express our protest against of the plan of distribution presented by Mr. Gribetz.

First of all, we consider the amount of so-called Swiss compensation equal of to one-billion-two-hundred-fifty million as not substantiated and not adequate. It has been estimated that this amount is absolutely insufficient to compensate everybody who belongs to the five classes of victims defined at the moment of negotiations with Swiss banks. The

amount of \$800 million to compensate has of 1 2 those who had accounts with Swiss Bank has to be reduced at least half this amount because 3 currently only 26,000 accounts are considered probable and for rest of it should be additional 5 negotiations. Other 400 should be added to 7 one-hundred million which is supposed to be paid 8 to the Holocaust survivors. Even if to exclude those who lost their property during World War 10 II, at least 500,000 people. We consider the 11 amount 100 million for payment compensation only 12 to Holocaust survivors is not only insufficient but so scanty that sounds humiliating. 13 Whatever's dignity to Holocaust survivors. These 14 15 people suffered not only the lost of their property but health also. Their moral suffering 16 scarred their soles for life. You heard here 17 many stories. Payments to the Holocaust 18 survivors residing in different countries, 19 20 including those residing on the territory of the former USSR should be according to their real 21 number in each country which is known to the 22 23 organizations of Holocaust survivors. This Holocaust survivors should be named. We know 24 25 that there are over 100,000 Holocaust survivors

## Proceedings

```
residing on the territory of the former USSR and
 1
   it is necessary to consult with such
   organizations the way of payments to their
   members registered in this organizations. They
   are ready to cooperate with the Court and
   Mr.Gribetz and to give addresses and telephones
 6
 7
   of such organizations in the Ukraine, Russia,
   Delaruse (sic), Moldova, Lattvia, Lithuania and
 8
   Estonia.
             We believe it would be not right to
   deny them individual payments because of their
10
11
   economic hardships. They are the poorest people
   among the poor Holocaust survivors. And to rob
12
   them it will be injustice. We believe that
13
   payments to the Holocaust survivors should be no
14
15
   less than those who were forced to work as
   slaves for Germany and Switzerland. And as it is
16
   defined it should be about $1,000 or no less
17
   than $500. It should be equal defined within all
18
   Holocaust survivors, including jews, Roman
19
20
   Gypsies and others.
21
            THE COURT: Thank you.
            MS. AROLOVICH: Thank you for your
22
```

MS. AROLOVICH: Thank you for your attention.

THE COURT: Rose Newman.

A VOICE: (Inaudible).

24

### Proceedings

THE COURT: Okay.

Isaiha Katsap.

1

2

3

5

25

MR. KATSAP: Your Honor, Mr. Gribetz, I am Isaiah Katsap, the president of the Association for Holocaust Survivor from the former Soviet Union. I was the last here with a suitcase with letters and I have today also letters from the whole country.

You know, the last time, the last year Master Gribetz he called me to send him the 10 original of my letter. I send it not to this I 12 find this in the internet. I was happy, yes, 13 because, you know a lot of things but I really think maybe it's thanks to my members, to my 14 15 Holocaust survivors, I won't tell you that I am 16 a survivor from (unintelligible), all my family was killed there and I am with myself. But I 17 started, I learn, I was working, and I until 18 this day I am doctor and I am working. I am not 19 20 talking here for me, I don't need the money, never I didn't -- never I didn't complain 21 something about this. The problem is what I 22 want, I want justice for my members of whom the 23 Holocaust survivors because -- I'm sorry, 24 because they are old, sick, and they are good

1 people, lower from the bottom line of poor because the old money was there to exercise an expense for apartment, for the rent. And it's a 3 very big problem for them. And I would like also 4 to say like I wrote in my letter about this that 5 it's necessary to do everything to save -- to, 6 you know, medical care for the survivors to say 7 the history of the Holocaust about a lot of 8 things that is necessary to do. Always I am also 9 10 a member of the literacy of the Holocaust. And I was in Washington many times and I was here and 11 the only things in everything what it was said 12 13 in the time of the negotiations it was for the Holocaust survivors. 14

We hear also today a lot of things about Holocaust survivors, Holocaust survivors, but when we check the distribution plan, I am very sorry but it's not for the Holocaust survivors, nothing, you know, I think -- not I, our other board and other members that I had received a letter from California that is to 300 people, we have more than 1,000 people, we have in other states a lot of them, and that everything -- everybody say that it's necessary to exact this plan.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE CLERK: Make it shorter, please. 1 2 MR. KATSAP: I am very sorry, but I 3 want to say we have to do everything for the Holocaust survivors. And that, you know, I want 4 5 to say that our organization is, you know, the American organizations, they didn't pay 6 attention to our organization. And it's 7 necessary now not to ignore, but to accept what 8 we are looking for in the -- and we won't -- we 10 are looking only for justice, justice. And I am to talk here a lot, you will understand me and 11 12 you know what is necessary to do better than I. And I thank you very much for this what you need 13 14 until this time. And we are looking for the justice. Thank you very much. 15

THE COURT: Ruth Horak.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HORAK: I will make it very fast, I mean, I'm sorry -- I was born in Prague, survivor three-and-a-half years. Theresi Eizanstadt, Auschwitz, Hombourg, (phonetic), Baden Bousen (phonetic), three-and-a-half years that 150,000 children and young teenager at that time Czechoslovakia only 100 survived, I'm one of the 100. And that's the end of it, we all know that Baden Bousen, Auschwitz is attacked

(unintelligible).

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 However, some very disturbing things have come to my mind. Up to a few weeks ago I 3 did not want to participate in it. It was too 4 upsetting to me. Here Gizella Weishaus, who was 5 very upset, she happens to be a brilliant woman 6 7 who single-handedly in the last two-and-a-half how long years, has fought, and she is the first 8 one, I think, who uncovered the Swiss, you know, 9 the Swiss account. And whatever she said about 10 this gentleman Mr. Fadden is the truth, I was a 11 12 witness, I was in his office. And I'm deeply disturbed that he's permitted to sit at this 13 14 table, at this table that represents some kind of justice. Sometimes I'm really wondering that 15 it does, because I do have the proof, but a 16 very, very fraudulent man that is. 17

I have also heard terrible stories about -- what is your name, sir? What is your name, sir? No, this gentleman here?

A VOICE: You're talking about Professor Newcorner (phonetic).

MS. HORAK: I don't know you seem to speak so eloquently. However, I didn't see very much passion or truly feelings in your voice or

1 in your facial expression.

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 However, let's come to the point. I am 3 not going to talk for hours here.

Number one, this was given to me. There 5 is -- there are counsel members, there is a Mr. Israih Singer, secretary; general of Jewish 6 7 Congress; Rabbi, when I -- I mean, I very devout Jew, I mean I hear about Rabbi I cringe, because 8 the more Orthodox they are the more they steal our money from the nursing homes, from 10 everything. But now, of course, but now, of 11 course, they want to participate, they don't 12 13 want to give it. They say they want to give it to Holocaust survivors, but they feel they are 14 partners with you on probably every one of them. 15

So then I hear an Orthodox Rabbi as the devout of a Jew I am a reformed Jew I cringe really because there were no Jewish organizations who give any money --

THE COURT: This is not the place for this, these comments are not appropriate.

MS. HORAK: One moment, all right, let me come to the point.

Now, first of all, I understand that there is only one man that a Mr. Meade who is

the president of the American Gathering of Federation of Jewish Holocaust Survivors.

Now, it has come to my attention and this has been proven, that Mr. Meade is not a concentration camp survivor. He was hidden during the war. He escaped from Israel -- it was bad enough, however, please, there should be at least three or four people on the board --

THE COURT: I don't know what the point of this is. We're here to talk about the special master's plan of allocation --

MS. HORAK: What is it?

THE COURT: -- we're here to talk about the recommendation that the special master has made, not to engage --

MS. HORAK: I don't hear so good, could you please say --

THE COURT: I said we're here to talk about the plan of allocation of the special master, not to attack other people.

MS. HORAK: But this is important, this is a court. What are we going to do? Can I have a private audition with you, Judge?

THE COURT: If you want you can.

MS. HORAK: I would like to, please.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HORAK: You give me an appointment and I will come. As you see I'm quite eloquent, I'm not exactly an idiot. I also studied law for a year but that's beside the point.

THE COURT: I know, but this is not the purpose of this --

MS. HORAK: However, I am greatly -THE COURT: -- this is not the purpose

of this meeting.

MS. HORAK: One moment, Judge, one moment, please.

Now, I am here to fight for the truly needy Holocaust survivors. My ex-husband who is the father of my daughter was yesterday -- he came yesterday with a special transport from Corpus Cristi to the Hebrew Home for the Aging. Now, unlike this German -- this gentleman over there who claimed that many of the people in his home cannot be on Medicaid. He is on Medicaid. Medicaid doesn't have a penny of money, everything was spent in the last five years of

Now, what we would -- what my daughter needs now for him is two hours of spec -- you

his illness due to the concentration camp.

```
1
  seem to be very bored, sir, is two hours of
  special nursing care.
```

```
Now, these other people -- I'm divorced
from him for 35 years, who need immediate
attention, not next week, not in a year, not
here, not there. I was last week -- two weeks
ago at the claim conference. Now, I don't know
whether they are being paid by our monies, I
have no idea. But there are people who are
sitting there drinking coffee, I think
50 percent of that staff could be reduced, there
is no doubt about it. And I was in charge of a
large office, I know what can be done. And there
is a Rabbi Miller who is the president, who I
was told, comes once a month. I wonder what his
salary is. I would like to know what his salary
is, sir.
        THE COURT: This is not the place to
```

18 find out. 19

20 MS. HORAK: Pardon?

21 THE COURT: This is not the place to

22 find out.

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23 MS. HORAK: Well, all right. If you give

24 me a special audition, if you --

25 THE COURT: I will give you, talk --

MS. HORAK: I would love to meet with 1 2 you any time you have the time.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

THE COURT: I'll be happy to meet you privately but there are people who are waiting to speak more to the subject.

MS. HORAK: Well, I didn't speak as long as most of the others who keep on telling you about the concentration camp --

THE COURT: I know, but you're --

MS. HORAK: You know all of the stories about the concentration camps. I was there for three-and-a-half years, I don't want to bore you with it.

> THE COURT: Thank you.

> Okay. Ivar Segalowitz.

MR. SEGALOWITZ: Your Honor,

Master Gribetz, my name is Ivar Segalowitz, I am 17 a member of the Board of Directors of NACOS and

I'm also on the Board of Directors of 19

20 Margret Teitz (phonetic) which is a nursing home

21 for Holocaust survivors. So I have two interests

22 here, that of the -- as a survivor, child

survivors as well as my interests in the nursing 23

home which accommodates at this point something 24

25 like 50 bona fide Holocaust survivors. And I was

- not at all involved in all of this for years.

  And what really got me is when I read two years ago that the Nazi DSS got social security in Germany and some of us got nothing. And I think that people speak of money grabbing, that's a money grabbing for the Nazis in Germany currently get the money and those people here
  - I am a survivor, I was a little -- I have a little history of me which I call "Falling through the loophole," it's a case history, and I'll read it quickly.

that deserve it don't get it.

- Born August 17, 1930 in Klabedal in Lithuania, to parents that are of German citizens, under European law I was a German citizen. I was an only child; and therefore heir in the family consisting of two aunts and uncle, a grandmother and a great aunt. The Nazis killed all.
- In 1939 Germany annex is namely to beat my who is a (unintelligible) put business to the Nazis in the town that through annexation became Germany. My family escaped through Lithuania. I received no compensation for his business because now (unintelligible) is in Lithuania.

1 1939 my grandmother is forced to flee from
2 Tulza (sic), Germany to Holland then turn over
3 her shoe business to a German. I again, have
4 received no compensation even though the
5 business was in Germany proper. But today is
6 Prussia (sic) of that part of it is a Russian
7 (unintelligible), Tulza is not called
8 (unintelligible) is (unintelligible).

2.0

1941 to 1945 my family: father, mother, two aunts and an uncle went to Kubner ghetto.

The Nazis killed all in Laasberg, Schutorff and Schutorff concentration camps. My grandmother and great aunt were killed in Sobipor. I survived the Kubner ghetto, Dachau, Auschwitz Bohambau. I was liberated from Bohambau when I was 14 years old. I survived because I worked in the ghetto and the camps.

In the 1950s I received restitution of about \$8,000 for loss of liberty, education and loss of my parents. Since then I had received no further compensation. I opted not to make claims of physiological or physical damages. I wanted to start a new life (unintelligible) as a stigma of mental problems. Recently, though, I have been diagnosed as having borderline

post-traumatic stress syndrome that has severely affected my whole family. In other words, these things are coming back 50 years later.

According to the claims conference once one opts not to make claims, the claim cannot be reversed. Because of my decision not to claim for physical or mental damages I was drafted into the American army during the Korean conflict. I reached the rank of corporal and was honorably discharged after two years of service. I'm proud to have served in the American army.

I have a couple of additional notes here. I wrote a letter to Dr. Israel Singer on September 15 to which I've received no response, and I'll read that into the record also.

"As a child survivor Kubner, Dachau,
Auschwitz and Bohambau, liberated at 14. And a
member of NAOCS, National Association of Child
Survivors. Many of our group have fallen into
legal and bureaucratic loopholes. This has
prevented us from obtaining compensation for our
parents, our family businesses and ourselves.
This fact is substantiated by a study bank done
by Dr. Sarah Moskovitz and Robert Quill. We feel
that no monies from the Swiss Bank, German

| 1   | companies or humanitarian funds should be        |
|-----|--|
| 2   | dispensed until further impartial review of our  |
| 3   | claims have been completed and injustice is      |
| 4   | corrected. That if we were hidden on             |
| 5   | concentration camps our suffering certainly      |
| 6   | merits compensation same as all the survivors.   |
| 7   | Due to our age during the Holocaust memories are |
| 8   | frequently unsubstantiated as proof because we   |
| 9   | were children we lacked documentation of our     |
| L O | claims that are we lack documentation of our     |
| L1  | claims. That, however, should not disqualify us  |
| L 2 | from us deciding the distribution of these       |
| L 3 | funds. In other words, we want to be represented |
| L 4 | when the decision of how the funds will be made. |
| L 5 | Whether we are presently successful or need the  |
| L 6 | distribution of these funds should be based on   |
| L 7 | our own and our family businesses, Holocaust     |
| L 8 | experiences and not only on the present          |
| L 9 | financial status. Rather, what I am saying,      |
| 2 0 | whether we need the money or not, some of that   |
| 1   | money is ours and nobody else's. It's my         |
| 2.2 | father's, my aunt's, my grandmother's; that      |
| 2.3 | money belongs to us.                             |
| 2.4 | However, should that decision cannot be          |

made, I fully concur with Leo Reisthem, chief

24

executive of our organization that the money should be used solely for Holocaust survivors for health care in their remaining days.

1

2

3

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4 I am now 70, and I'm starting to feel it. I'm seeing doctors more and more frequently. 5 My doctor bills are going up. I still can afford 7 them. But I can see it coming in five, six years, when I will really need care like the people in the nursing home that I do volunteer work for. And I really hope that you carefully 10 consider that the monies first go to those cases 11 12 where some injustices were done due improper administration of the rules and -- or improperly 13 written laws; and secondly, for health care of 14 15 the survivors. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: David Handwahl.

MR. HANDWAHL: Your Honor,

Mr. Gribetz, my name is David Handwahl. I want to thank you for listening to me last time, last year. And I'm thanking you for listening to me today.

As I've stated to you last time that I was captured by the Nazi dogs when I was 14-and-a-half years old. I spent in a lot of death camps, much worse than concentration

camps, as you well know the concentration camps were quite bad. I've survived by luck.

I want to be a little more specific,
your Honor. I know your ears must be ringing by
now what all the people have been talking about,
Holocaust survivors, Holocaust survivors. It is
true that the people in the name of Holocaust
survivors became filthy rich without giving the
concentration camp survivors a dime. I happen to
know a man who's very conning and a liar. He
became very big by lying, his name is Benjamin
Meade.

THE COURT: Listen, I don't want to -this is not the place for attacking other
people.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$  HANDWAHL: No, I was going to say something, your Honor -

THE COURT: We're here for a purpose, the purpose is to talk about how the plan of allocation, not to attack other people. This is not the place for it, it does --

MR. HANDWAHL: So I'm going to skip that, your Honor.

They were talking about us, we are old, we are illiterate, we don't need the money, this

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 is what they said. And on that behalf they also -- of course, we couldn't have money, we couldn't work, we came over here to the United States, nobody could help me, I had to go 4 5 to work. I slept in the basement by somebody who was nice enough. I worked myself up. I got married I raised a nice family, pro-American 7 family. Your Honor, and this came all with 8 9 sweat. I couldn't get no education like other people can, they had fathers and mothers, they 10 got their educations. 11

How could I get an education if I had to work 10, 12 hours a day to come to myself?

So they tell Holocaust that we are illiterate and we are stupid. But we somehow would learn how to read and write without going to school. So we are not that stupid. We are not illiterate.

What I'm trying to say, your Honor, we came to America we didn't get a nickel from nobody. We worked hard and worked ourselves up, not like the people today, they get a Form A, subsidized housing, they get (unintelligible), they get food stamps and they're getting subsidized housing. We didn't get a dime,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 nothing. We had to put ourselves --

THE COURT: You sound like my father.

MR. HANDWAHL: Exactly.

THE COURT: He came here in 1923 and he used to say --

MR. HANDWAHL: Exactly. Your father talks like this and I'd be talking now how I talking to my children like this, which is a fact of life, this is what we went through.

Your Honor, so for the sake of justice, we are sick, we are all sick. There isn't one concentration camp survivor who is in good health. We can't even afford to pay for medication, your Honor. Have mercy on us and have justice, please let justice prevail. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Carl Rheins.

MR. RHEINS: Your Honor, my name is
Dr. Carl Rheins. I'm the executive director of
the EVO Institute for Jewish Research located in
New York City. Founded in Vilna, Lithuania in
August 1925. EVO was able to transfer its
admission into the United States in 1940. Today
EVO stands as the world's largest center for the

1 study for eastern European Jewish history and 2 culture.

3 By way of introduction, your Honor, permit me to point out that in a typical year 4 EVO's archives and libraries receives almost 5 4,000 visitors annually, including historians, 6 political scientists, sociologists, attorneys, 7 family members searching for lost relatives, as well as countless students of Yiddish language and literature. The institute currently 10 possesses over 23 million original documents 11 related to eastern European Jewish life, both in 12 Europe and in the Americas and a library of 13 350,000 volumes in 12 major languages, including 14 Yiddish, German, Russian, Polish, French, 15 16 Lithuanian, Latian, Spanish, English, Ladino, Ukranian and Hebrew. Each year the institute 17 18 publishes between a half a dozen and dozen major 19 monographs in the field of eastern European Jewish history and in the Yiddish language. And 20 these monographs range from scholarly monographs 21 22 published in cooperation with major university presses such as the University of California, or the Indiana University, for example, to award-winning books such as our New Poland book, 25

which is recently on the best sellers list.

2

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our presence, your Honor, in court 3 today is to seek funds from the proceeds of the 4 \$1.25 billion Swiss banking settlement for three major educational projects. As we indicated in our detailed presentation to the court on September 5, for losses which the Jewish people suffered during the Holocaust transcend the 6 million martyrs as well as the suffering of several million other jews who survived Nazi-occupied Europe. Not only did we lose 11 almost 40 percent of all jews on the face of the earth in 1939, but there was a second Holocaust, a concerted effort by the Nazis and their allies to destroy all aspects of eastern European Jewish history, culture and the Yiddish 17 language.

During the war over 750 Jewish academic institution in Europe were destroyed. In fact, EVO is the only major prewar European Jewish academic institution which has been able to succeed in transforming its admission into the United States. Even here I need to point out, your Honor, that we suffered grievously as an institute during the war; two of our three

co-directors were murdered in 1941, in fact,
hundreds of our staff and volunteers were
destroyed, thousands of our books in Vilna were
sent to the German paper mills and an
incalculable valuable art collection of over 120
major works, including works by Chagall,
Reibech, Mingcatz and others were stolen and
presumably were taken to Frankfurt, Germany.

On September 12, the special master, the Honorable Judah Gribetz recommended in allocation plan to the court that the proceeds from this settlement should be given first consideration to Holocaust victims and their heirs. We fully support the recommendation of the special master.

What we would like to draw the Court's attention to is the reference in the special master's report on pages 19 and 20 where he recommends to The Court that following the distribution of proceeds to worthy individuals and survivors that if remaining funds exists that they be possibly distributed to major educational institutions for projects worthy of commemorating the Holocaust.

I would like to use the rest of my

remaining time, your Honor, to address three of these projects very quickly.

1

21

22

23

24

25

The first project of highest priority, 4 in our opinion, is the development of the EVO encyclopedia of the history and culture of jews 5 in eastern Europe to be published by Indiana 6 7 University press in the year 2004. The encyclopedia will be a standard book of 8 reference on Jewish life and culture in eastern 9 10 Europe before, during and after the Holocaust. 11 They are describing the way of life of the lost 12 communities and people, the compendium will, in a sense, serve the document and memorialize the 13 complexity and richness of that life and culture 14 15 and the individuals who labored on behalf of the greater Jewish community, including those who 16 17 participated in political and religious 18 movements, trade union movements, the scholars, 19 artists, musicians, actors, writers and others 20 of note.

The encyclopedia is planned as a two-volume work of 1.2 million words, to consist also of 1,000 illustrations and over 100 maps.

The cost of such a work is estimated at \$750,000 of which \$250,000 has already been secured by

the institute for this project.

Very briefly, your Honor, the other two projects consists of the creation of five endowed (sic) fellowships or grants in aid to be offered to young promising and emerging scholars, including Ph.D. candidates working in the field of eastern European Jewish studies. Each fellowship would require an endowment of \$100,000, thereby yielding an annual grift of between eight and \$10,000.

With the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991, for the first time American, European, Israeli and other scholars have now been able to gain free access to archives and libraries in the former Soviet Union. Here the former government repositories, private libraries, former Jewish community centers are now open to scholars to recover the history and culture of eastern European Jewish life. And it absolutely essential that we seize this moment in time to permit the free exchange of scholarship and the development of a new body of literature which restores eastern European Jewish life to its proper place.

Finally, the third project we seek

support for is the development of our archives
and libraries through the funding of new
microfilm projects that will permit EVO staffers
to enter into bilateral agreements with
institutions ranging from the New Republic of
Lithuania to the Ukranian Republic, materials
which can be brought to the United States for
scholars to study them.

I might point out, your Honor, just last month I visited Vilna, Lithuania and met with officials of the Lithuanian State Central archives in Vilna. We have reached a critical point, they have no one left on the their staff who reads or speaks or writes Yiddish. They have thousands and thousands of frames of microfilm. They have thousands of documents in the original and no one in this country can use them. We would seek to enter into a kind of a bilateral arrangement in which the originals could remain in that country and copies could be brought from the United States were our trained staff at EVO could work with scholars and others for their full utilization.

Your Honor, permit to close by quoting the distinguished Dutch/Jewish historian Jacob

1.5

Presser who wrote in 1972, "Like history, life continues, but sometimes there must be one who remembers." These are the challenges that we face daily, your Honor, and I ask that you would consider EVO's request if funds become available. Thank you.

THE COURT: Clara Swhartz.

MS. SWHARTZ: Hello everybody. I am -- excuse my English is not so perfect. But I want to ask a few questions.

I am a survivor of the Holocaust, I was a young girl. I come from my wealthy family. My father has insurance and Swiss money. And my husband he died a few years ago, he never even get nothing. And he came -- and I don't speak perfect English because the other day when I came in America in '56 I was two times homeless, one time in '44 and the second time in '56, because we came after the revolution. We can't come from Hungaria because it don't let to come over, I have two little children, my husband was (unintelligible) a very bad concentration camp, he got sick with the kidney and he suffered the whole life. I was working alone, I don't have time to go to school because I have to work, we

don't have food stamps, we don't go -- I ashamed 1 to go to ask if Welfare would help with (unintelligible) be hungry, you know, the German take away from my family everything -- we don't 4 -- have only one dress, and we don't have what 5 6 to eat. I was four times to survive from Mengele 7 -- he was the doctor, a Jewish doctor was (unintelligible), still I demand that she -- how 8 you say, she survive -- she save me because she 9 10 knows the family, my family came from -- my 11 grandfather was a big rabbi, and he helps people (unintelligible) and we don't got everything for 12 ourselves, we share with people. And I don't 13 14 know that people came here, everybody is a 15 survivor. I have been (unintelligible) and I 16 felt like a dog, I was sick and I'm still -- my 17 head is shaking from them, I came back from the concentration camp and I have every month \$400 18 19 medication. And thank you very much you listen 20 to me and all how long it going to take to get 21 this money but they give for the German, I got only a few years from them claim conference and 22 23 all the years I don't have nothing. My husband 24 he don't have nothing because we come late. I 25 was in German consulate, they tell me I should

1 -- I am not -- you know, they don't give us because we come late in this country. And after a few years -- how many years, 54 years, 52 years I don't got nothing from the Germans. I came (unintelligible) he speak Hungarian, we go to him and we got a few dollars from Germany, a few dollars. And after nothing. And later the claim conference, my husband was sick, 20 -- he was all the life sick, I have witnesses, I have papers, we have four -- like a farm -- you know, 10 11 like farm and we have so many things and the 12 German take everything, I have never nothing. And people want to share the money who, you 13 14 know, Switzerland, you know, everybody is no way survivor. Everybody wants the money who came 15 here, they never was in concentration camps and 16 they want to share equally. I think it's not 17 18 fair to get equally because who was in 19 concentration camp we lost all the family, everybody, and they kill and they took away 20 everything from us, we was homeless, we lost 2.1 clothing, nothing, only one dress we has, and we 22 don't have food, what to eat, nothing. 23 So please, Judge Korman, take care of 24

this case because by children are getting older

1 and how long it going to take to grant this 2 money, because you know everything, people dying, we're not young anymore and we need the 3 money and everybody said organizations and here 5 and there. I think the money, the Holocaust 6 survivors and every time this poor people and 7 rich people, every country, right. And why we have to share who has to share with everybody 8 and equally to get a few dollars, you know. And 9 10 I don't got nothing, only every three months I 11 get \$650. Thank you very much for listening to me and excuse me for my bad English. 12

THE COURT: It's perfectly all right. Judy Hager.

MS. HAGER: First I want to thank you, your Honor, Mr. Gribetz. It's a very hard and complicated job that have been put on your shoulders. And I pray, as I hope others, that God should direct you to the right way of judgment of justice.

Now, we are here the second time. At the first meeting there were people asking where was God. And with your Honor's permission I want to say a few words.

This question is bothering a lot. We

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

just finished reading the Five Books of Torah, which was witnessed by our ancestors, given to Moses (sic) (unintelligible) directly from God. This Torah was from then on the inspiration and guideness for the nation of Israel and almost to all the human race.

Recently, we began again to read the Torah and in Genesis it's written the spirit of God was hovering over the water (sic), that's being the first element of the world.

Then God saw the light is good, and divided between the darkness and the light. The light and the darkness; light from one side, darkness from the other side. We cannot function they cannot function simultaneously; the darkness and the light.

The spirit of God was not divided, was not taken away, it is still hovering. It did not disappear. Of course, there is nothing more to talk about it with anybody who is sure that God doesn't exist.

None of us have all the answer, nor are we likely to have. We are too small to judge God. Like Professor Einschten said in his autobiography to there is the human mind, from

\* . 7 thereon is God.

2.1

2.3

2.5

The survival of the Jewish people in their glory and the key to our survival look like it's directed by the hands of providence. We got the mission to imprecate the world with God's spirit hovering like said in the beginning, hovering over the world.

Why the darkness is still existing, the jews from the beginnings, through all the generations of exile bearing witness to God's moral law on earth (sic). We are most not racist, we are not racist. The truce of God is open, it's hovering, (unintelligible). You are a jew either by decent or by face. That created darkness and light, holiness and profanity, sanctification of life or profanity that encompasses every part of human existence.

The Germans, in my eyes, in my research, have the highest satanic intelligent of misleading and of cruelty. They observed and saw the Jewish beautiful, clean and pure society. It was contradict to their declaration (unintelligible), Germany over everyone. They could not hear that God himself declared in Exodus 19 and you shall be for me a nation of

priests and of holy good people. That is what (unintelligible) is all about. The decedents of cruel, barbarous who flooded Europe spent 3 millions to portray us to the world as sub-human. As an English lord said "They choose 5 6 a Jewish God but spurn the jews." This element 7 of holiness against profanity and incestry (phonetic) is ascribed by Professor Goldhagger from Harvard University in the book"The Simple German and the Holocaust." And he writes: 10 "The Germans performed a show of a 11 12

Jewish wedding in order to make a joke of the holiness of Jewish family life."

This Germans --

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right, your time is up, thank you very much.

MS. HAGER: Yes, what I wanted yet to tell a few two words, Judge.

THE COURT: You haven't spoken one word to the main issue that we're here for. I've given you your five minutes but this is -- we're not here to -- for the purpose of that you're speaking to.

MS. HAGER: Well, then I want to tell you that I spoke with someone --

1 THE CLERK: Ma'am, your time is up, 2 please.

3

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2.5

MS. HAGER: Yes, that the value of the property that was told -- taken from all those places off -- for the sharing and she told me that this amount that is asked is even less than its value.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

MS. HAGER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Chaya Hoffman.

MS. HOFFMAN: Highly respected Judge Korman, my name is Chaya Hoffman, born Reice. I am from Romanian (unintelligible). My parents, we lost 10 children. The Germans come in they send everybody to the ghetto. My father he was very rich, we have big store, furs, coats, materials. We have (unintelligible), means the train uniform from the Romania. The (unintelligible) he was for my father was the (unintelligible). We was very rich because three employers go (unintelligible), how my father travel a lot, put a lot of money in Swiss bank. The Germans come in they took him away to the Gestapo. They leave him -- they -- how do I say

1 -- they beat him to bleeding. She tell there she
2 put the money, where she put everything. We was
3 hiding in the basement, three big rooms,
4 everything was there from the store, things.

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

We was four girls, our -- the (unintelligible) on everything was unfinished, my mother always before. We was very rich and very big. They was beating him, she was telling the truth, there is everything.

In the meantime, when come home, tell us, bleeding very bad, this (unintelligible), then the girls (unintelligible) take it from the front, from the German soldiers. Then my father made a bunker in the rooms under the coach with my brothers and we hiding there the girls. One daughter was married, my sister, and three girls share -- the Germans (unintelligible). And then when they come, we have a big house, we have two streets, the garden is there, then the Germans come to take to the ghetto, my parents, we ran out in the other side. We was hiding by Christian. My parents was taken away. From there we went to Budapest hiding with Christian papers. My mother put us some buttons, in the buttons was diamonds and this was covered. That

1 in Budapest we can send us -- we had what to eat. After (unintelligible) that we can go, you 2 know, we going to have to go. He was hiding a 3 little by the two days, but the Swiss consulate it was so many people we can't be there. I ran 5 through the streets, they catch me. They put me 7 in the police, they take from there, they take me in the camp, concentration near Vianna (unintelligible). I was there till the end. I 10 was a young girl. My sister hiding in Budapest. 11 This was (unintelligible), my brother was in 12 Romania (unintelligible). We have three from the 10 children. And I know this, we had my father 13 14 put a lot of money in the Swiss bank, send it 15 every Friday come the broker who took the money 16 in the bank, and from there it was going. 17 father was every year there.

I ask, Judge Korman, please, how can I retrieve the money from the Swiss bank, I don't know who, I don't know where this is. I'm working in a nursing home. I am a 74 year-old. I have four children, many grandchildren, thank God. My husband is 82, sitting here (unintelligible). Just I support the house. I working like a horse in the nursing home, come

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- home, the housework, dishes, cooking, baking,
  cleaning, so I am out. I would like very much to
  try to retrieve the money from the Swiss bank.
  I write there a few times, no answer.
  - I ask, Judge Korman, please take in consideration I need this very badly this money, our money. I don't bring charity, I never use to be charity, I'm working for the money.

9 Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Laurence Cohen.

11 Jerome Shestak.

2.4

MR. SHESTAK: May it please the Court, I represent the Agunda Chesedir Chabad. The Court is used to having witnesses but the extraordinary number of witnesses is certainly moving to any spectators it must be to the Court. I think Justice Bradise once said that it was presumptuous to praise a court for what its done, although one could be free to criticize it. At the risk of being presumptuous I'd like to thank the court and the special master for the extraordinary work that was done in this case and for the Court so efficiently and promptly bringing together this hearing and

undertaking this formidable task.

1 The Agusdis Chesidir Chabad is the largest or certainly one of the largest single international Jewish outreach organizations in 3 the world. It was founded in Russia during the 4 period of the Napoleanic wars. It continued 5 6 during the Tsarist regimes, during all the (unintelligible) and the terrines of that 7 regime. It continued during the horrible of the Shoah. It continued during the post-war 10 communist regimes. And today wherever there is a sizeable Jewish community in the former Soviet 12 Union the Chabad provides services, soup kitchens, camps, schools and other services for 13 14 the Jewish community; and in particular the survivors. And it, therefore, has a great 15 16 interest in what was done.

We have really just four small points, your Honor. And I'll make them very briefly.

First, with respect to the cypres doctrine, we are concerned that the funds that are distributed be in addition to existing funds so that they are not merely a substitute for existing funds that are distributed. And that is something The Court within its jurisdiction I think can address.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: I think it is intended to be in addition; isn't that true, Judah? It is.

MR. SHESTAK: We didn't get that as clearly as perhaps it should be annunciated as a condition of the distribution.

THE COURT: It is, it's intended to be in addition, absolutely.

MR. SHESTAK: All right, well that's fine, your Honor. That takes care of one of our concerns.

We believe that there shouldn't be any delay, your Honor. I know a ten year's period is a period with a purpose to it, but the extent that any parts of the distribution can be expedited we strongly favor no delay.

And then we have a number of concerns which I shall briefly address with respect to the distribution.

We want to make sure that the distribution by the agencies be represented in each community in the former Soviet Union, that they operate effectively and that they are representational in what they do. That may not be the case in every community, so what we suggest is that The Court continue hearings, and

```
after say a six-month period it have hearings
. 1
2
   through the special master to see how the
 3
   process is going; whether there are complaints,
   what are their nature, evaluate them, make sure
   that the distribution process is effective. And
   those hearings could take place under the
 6
 7
   special master. And since The Court has
   continuing jurisdiction it should be no problem.
8
            So those are basically our
9
   recommendations, your Honor.
10
11
            THE COURT:
                         I think if you take a look
   at page 136 to 137 of the Volume 1 of the
12
   Special Master's report, much of what you're
13
14
   concerned about is addressed there. And I think
15
   that would --
            MR. SHESTAK: All right, your Honor.
16
   Does your Honor in its continuing jurisdiction
17
   plan to have hearings say six months from now as
18
   to how the cypres process has worked, its
19
   effectiveness?
20
            THE COURT: I'm going to consider it.
21
   It's certainly a reasonable proposal.
22
            MR. SHESTAK: Thank you, your Honor.
23
            THE COURT: I'd like the six month to
24
```

25

start already. I'd like to start doing it.

Ephram Savit.

2.5

MR. SAVIT: May it please your Honor, my name is Ephram Savit and first I'd like to thank everybody for all the work that you've all done on behalf of Holocaust survivors.

I'll be brief, your Honor. I've heard some of the comments made here today in open court, and I agree with most of them, and disagree with some of them. But certainly the notion that the survivors are the ones who are entitled to the lion's share of the recovery here is something that I agree with as the child of Holocaust survivors. But there is another group, and it is a small group, a finite group that perhaps has been somewhat overlooked here and I'd like to focus some attention on that group.

And that group is what I would like to term and institutional survivor. And I'm specifically referring to a small group of Yeshivas who are in existence in this country who are not institutions that were erected to commemorate the Holocaust or in remembrance of its victims or to promote a Holocaust memorial, all of which are aims that are extremely

(unintelligible). But these are the surviving 1 2 Yeshivas of eastern Europe, yeshivas that existed in eastern Europe in some cases for more 3 than 100 years and whose students all of whom were learning the Talmud and were doing so in the most -- the highest fashion of religious observants were decimated by the Nazi butchers during the Holocaust. I myself am affiliated with one such institution because I know the family that runs this institution, but that's 10 11 not the only institution that is in this class. 12 The institution that I am speaking of is the Yeshiva Chofetz Chaim located presently in 13 14 Sufferin, New York, but which was established by 15 the sainted rabbi known as the Chofetz Chaim, he chooses life in 1864. And this yeshiva had 500 16 17 students at the outset of the Holocaust. Ninety percent approximately of these students perished 18 19 in the Holocaust. The head of the yeshiva 20 Rabbi Mendel Sachs managed to survive the war 21 with a handful of surviving students of the 22 yeshiva, and many of them were able to survive the war because they were able to travel through 23 China in order to avoid the Holocaust. 24 Your Honor, the yeshiva presently among 25

1 its programs also supports both financially, 2 economically and emotionally Holocaust survivors that were some of those yeshiva students who 3 managed to survive the war with Rabbi Mendel Sachs. Rabbi Sachs' grandsons are both in the audience today. Rabbi Israel Sachs is named for the Chofetz Chaim, Rabbi Ari Sachs is his 7 brother and they did submit a detailed letter to the Honorable Judah Gribetz, the Special Master, 10 suggesting that there should be some focus on 11 this type of an institution that is not just an 12 institution in terms of handling monies that are 13 the subject of this litigation, your Honor, or 14 that commemorate the Holocaust, but an institution that actually survived the 1.5 16 Holocaust. And can document that it is the same 17 institution that suffered through the Holocaust 18 and was decimated as a result of the Holocaust. 19

We don't mean to suggest that any money be taken from any individual survivors because they are the priority here. There's no question about that and I'm sure nobody will disagree with that. But if I may, your Honor, suggest, if it please The Court, that I suggest that perhaps some focus should also be made for this type of

20

21

22

23

24

25

an institution and perhaps to create a subset
within the survivor class for institutions such
as the Yeshiva Chofetz Chiam there's the Telzi
Yeshiva in Ohio and several others that are in
the same genre.

Your Honor, I thank you once again for allowing me to address The Court.

THE COURT: Eva Lerner.

MS. LERNER: Honorable Judge Korman,
Counsel and (unintelligible) honorable survivors
which are left, I mean.

First of all, I would like to say that as it stands -- I'm sure well-intentioned -- as it stands the proposed plan of this additional funds from the Swiss settlement as well as the German compensations for slave labor I believe is extremely unfair.

And now, your Honor, if I may, with your permission, I would like to begin my statement with a question because I would like to know if there is any justice at all for a former slave laborer who performed slave labor during World War II in fascist Romania who became disabled for life as a result of massive persecution as a slave work, rendering him

unable to earn a living after the war for his children and wife, and who died waiting for a token of justice at a very old age; ironically just five months before the February 1999 cut-off date.

And what about his children, is there any justice for his three heirs, two of them survivors children during the war with an extremely difficult (unintelligible) situation?

When asked claim conference agrees to the fact that Romanian jews were not spared from genocide by the hand of the German SS present in Romania from 1940 to 1945 as well as the Romanian Fascist government who in perfect harmony engaged in the destruction of the jews.

Therefore, instead of them telling us this victimized heirs to apply for charity I strongly urge claim conference and the other powerful Jewish organizations such as World Jewish Congress to take more seriously the cause of these forgotten Romanian Jewish victims and to plea their cause by leading (unintelligible) more serious (unintelligible) of the German government so that victims of the Nazi persecutions and slave laborers from Romania

```
will no longer be excluded from the compensation
 1
 2
   that they deserve. The German government is
   responsible for compensating Romanian jews even
 3
   though the Germans were not invited -- weren't
 4
 5
   invited, I'm sorry, in Romania during World
   War II by General Una Tenesco. Hitler's
 7
   strongest ally in eastern Europe, the German SS
   present in Romania all throughout the war years
   there were strongly present of Romania all
10
   throughout the war years, tens of thousands were
11
   deported to Auschwitz in all the notorious death
   camps throughout Europe. Many have been
12
   reported, of course, (unintelligible) the
13
   Russian camps but a great majority escaped from
14
15
   certain death and the importation, like my
   father who is no longer alive who were forced to
16
   work in slave labor camps as slave laborers. In
17
18
   these camps were starvation, typhoid, inhuman
   conditions, torture and random executions were
19
   daily routine. It took 55 years for Germany, for
20
   the German government to pass this law whereby
21
   slave laborers would finally receive
22
   compensations. Given that a great number of
23
24
   former slave laborers like my father have
25
   already died, the descendants should be entitled
```

to compensations. What must one conclude regarding this 55-year-old procrastination on the part of the German government otherwise, surely they did not believe that many survivors would still be alive to collect any compensations at all. The same justice delayed is justice denied (unintelligible).

Therefore, the descendants of all those through their death organized justice should be entitled to compensation claims. The cutoff date for compensations for slave laborer for February of 1999 must be extended because for tens of thousands of former slave laborers who died it creates a historic injustice; historic because these victims died waiting for the moment to see any form of justice rendered. And if they, the victims who died could speak they would want their children to be compensated in their absence. Who could ever doubt that?

So let us not add insult to injury by referring their heirs to charity programs. If organizations such as Claim Conference or World Jewish Congress are not able to pay enough money to adequately compensate all the victims, including the heirs -- I'm finishing -- of those

2

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who like my father have passed on then let a 1 token of justice be given even if it's maybe one 3 dollar to all the victims of Nazi persecution and to their heirs, especially (unintelligible). 5 No money in the world could adequately compensate any victim for their suffering 6 endured under the Nazi terror surely moral injustice takes precedent, therefore, especially because of insufficient funds necessary to compensate adequately all the victims and tokens 10 11 of justice as opposed to compensations must be (unintelligible) form a new (unintelligible) vocabulary (sic) (unintelligible) for distribution.

In any case we must not tolerate that any victims of Nazi persecutions dead or alive hide themselves on the wrong side of the arbitrary date of February 1999. To do so it is immoral enough and needless to say unjust, only when all victims and their heirs will have been compensated however small the compensation might be and when we treat all victims with due respect regardless as to where they suffered only then will I be able to say that the Jewish organizations involved have made important

enough effort in the pursuit of justice by giving equal justice to all victims. Anything short of this constitutes a violation of human rights in my opinion. Let us not dissolve this for the victims that's why I appeal to the Jewish organizations, to this Honorable Court, Judge Korman, to take all necessary steps to ensure that equal justice be given to all the survivors. Let the descendants of all those who died and who are denied justice be entitled to compensation claims.

I thank you very much.

THE COURT: Rabbi Oswald Besser.

RABBI BESSER: Honorable Judge, let me first thank you and Mr. Gribetz for all the work done here in this respect. I must say that I'm listening here for the last hour through some very moving statements and I wish perhaps some journalists or European should be -- and listen to it and hear the stories come from the victims or the descendant of the victim's mouth, perhaps they would think differently on many of their politics considering.

Anyway, let me introduce myself, my name is Rabbi Besser and I represent Agudas

Israel, which was a founding member of WJRO, and together with Mr. Zembloc (phonetic) I chaired the Commission which had the obligation to divide -- we got \$200 million from Switzerland which was not a payment to anything or a settlement, they gave it -- for the first money they gave with a kind of good will gesture and we were sitting to do what -- deliberating what to do and how to do with the money. I got only five minutes, I can't give you a long lecture about it.

We came to conclusions, two main conclusions; one was that you have to act as speedily as possible because time is of the essence, especially we know that most of the people who look to get the money are at an age where they can count. And I must say, I thank God, I'm very proud that our commission which only took care of the part which is designated for the United States. And we were the first from all over the world to conclude our mission, our work; very well-done. We distributed 61,000 checks because we were very eager, we got so many, like we had here today, people said only money nothing else, we have to have checks and

only to survivors. I, myself had reservations to that, but I agreed to my colleagues and we all did it. And I'll say to the first part I'm proud that we did it fast, speedily.

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second part I regret; which is that we divided the whole thing in checks because we divided 62,000 checks, \$502 each check. The money, when it's divided in the former Soviet Union or (unintelligible), Poland, Romania, Hungary, et cetera, a check for \$500 or a \$1,000 still represents something which is valuable. I would say that here in New York and in Europe a check of \$500 doesn't go very far. And I say from the 62,000 checks which was sent out I didn't hear one word of appreciation, say thank you, you did the right thing. On the contrary, on the contrary, I, at that time had an idea that instead of giving each one a check we should create housing for the elderly, to create some old age homes, create some insurance policy to cover, to help the survivors, but not in the And I think it was a form only of a check. mistake, we shouldn't have done it because now nobody remembers it. The Swiss government didn't get any thank you, nobody remembers it. Our

commission didn't care to get thank you, but at least people are more emotionally angry than appreciative.

I heard it today also, and I think I regret to say it that people don't care so much who will get than the other one should not get. It's more a question of animosity here, a question of emotional feeling; whether they are right or wrong. We should not let emotions get hold of our common sense and fairness. You should be, first of all, fair and then hate (sic) people.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Rabbi, for your very thoughtful comments.

David Moskovits.

We're running a little ahead of schedule because I didn't break for lunch, he's scheduled for 3 o'clock. So why don't we just take -- and then some of the lawyers have reserved time, they may want to speak. So why don't we take a break until 3 o'clock.

(Recess taken until 3 o'clock)

(Open court)

THE COURT: Let's begin.

All right, David Moskovits.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOSKOVITS: Your Honor, my name is David Moskovits, I'm president of the Endowment of North Eastern Europe. We have major institutions namely in Hungary and Romania.

The special master clearly addresses and mentions a (unintelligible) method of allocation and distribution on page 19. The special master does not overlook the immeasurable losses sustained by education, religious and other communal institution at the hand of the Nazis; and therefore, on page 20 recognizes that the education institution should be part of the allocation formula. I believe that further clarification historical prospective would have to play in a major role. While six million jews victim lost everything and their lives, the survivor lost everything but their lives. But two classes developed; one group was lucky to be alive and was lucky for the second time to be able to live at the land of their work and go to the western democracies would also a program, refugee board and the (unintelligible) centers were provided by the freedom-loving nation, which facilitated that

those people were able to reestablish their
lives and live in relatively comfort and peace.

And they were lucky the third time and they are
alive today and will be able to be in a position
to receive some compensation for their misery
and losses.

A second group of survivors were robbed of their belongings and families through the Nazis. They lost everything but their lives. And the second time under communist suppression regime; and nevertheless, secretly established Jewish infrastructures by opening yeshivas, synagogues, (unintelligible) --

THE COURT: You're talking too fast, stop, stop, you're talking too fast I can't listen. Talk a little slower.

MR. MOSKOVITS: Okay, I'm trying to squeeze it into five minutes.

THE COURT: You're not Davening (sic).

MR. MOSKOVITS: Afer this life of misery under communism, unfortunately most of them gave back their lives to their makers before February 15, 1999; therefore, they are not even eligible for any compensation. The only compensation is that their spiritual commitments to Judaism

2.0

should be continued for generations forever.

The allocation portion the special master does not take into account the historical injustice. He addresses the social needs for the survivors. I believe the fifth category should be established for the compensation of spiritual needs through education, and funds should be made for that.

I was made clear that this process is not about money, it's about principle.

Nevertheless, for the last 55 years it was not the decision of the individuals how the social (unintelligible) and German distribution monies should be allocated and to whom. It was the political and labor leaders invest in the worlds who decided that we jews who were unfortunate and lived under a captivity in communist countries should suffer for the cause of humanity in order to achieve a world of democracy and freedom. And we suffered indeed.

For instance, from my family of 540 people only six return after the Holocaust. On top of that my father who was sentenced with 25 years of imprisonment, I as a child of nine years old was declared an enemy of the people.

The World Jewish Leader, the Jewish agency of 1. 2 the State of Israel the joint (unintelligible) and tried to (unintelligible) through 3 clandestine way. The told us that the centrality of Jewish people is Israel. And since there was 5 no opportunity for immigration, those agencies 7 supplied us with books and material and Jewish subjects so that we maintain our Jewishness through taught (unintelligible). This is how the 9 10 refustic movement was created, this is how more misery was brought upon every single family who 11 was encouraged to practice, advocate and teach 12 religion, but we were proud of it then and we 13 14 are proud of it today.

We understand that it is not about money, which we never had. It is about principle.

How often were we assured that the world Jewry would never let us down?

How often were we assured that no jew will ever be left behind?

How often were we shown secretly the heros of Jewish people in episodes such that took place in (unintelligible). And now after the suffering in maintaining Jewish identity and

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the unbelievable circumstances you have in 1 central eastern Europe and Soviet 2 (unintelligible), a third generation that did 3 not have the opportunity to be educated of our heritage, culture, tradition and Jewish laws. 5 And are we to believe today that the World Jewry is going to turn their backs on our brothers, 7 sisters and children and will not let a large portion of those monies go to educate the worlds who were forced to become non-believers? 10

It is important to know that prior to the Nazi regime every jew identified with the Jewish (unintelligible). It is economically impossible today -- on page 24 of the master's report, it is important to note that democracy is based on universal accepted human behavior, such that -- which is based on Judea Christian doctrine. Are we jews going to deny our brothers to know what is Jewish in the Judea Christian doctrine and force them out of the Jewishness because we will not allocate significant fault for education in the countries in the former communist (unintelligible).

I appeal to you that in the first round, as well as the original (unintelligible)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

emphases and priorities should be placed on Jewish education, especially for the people who have been under soviet domination.

But please understand how brokenhearted I am today when I see that people of Vietnam are giving respect to America by giving back the remains of our fallen comrades. We jews cannot find the remnants of our ancestors. Is history going to remember as it even throwing away the hard fought battle for spiritual existence of our people of Israel.

Thank you.

2.2

THE COURT: Is Harry Reicher here?

MR. REICHER: Thank you, your Honor.

My name is Harry Reicher, and I respect The Good of Israel Organization.

Let me at the outset very happily join the chorus of praise that is being heaped on and very deservedly so on Special Master Gribetz for the masterly document that he has produced. And every more importantly, perhaps, for the way in which he went about his work in listening sensitively, patiently and intently to anyone who wanted to make contributions and submissions to him. His work is greatly respected, as is

that of his staff, and I single out particularly Ms. Shari Reich who's been of wonderful assistance to us during the course of our work over the months.

Let me also mention that we're being greatly assisted by your Honor's staff, and in particular Paula, who's been extremely helpful and acquitted herself in difficult circumstances with grace and with sensitivity --

THE COURT: I wish she would treat me that way.

MR. REICHER: If your Honor likes I'll have a word to her about that.

You'r Honor, The Good of Israel is an organization which appears before your Honor, but seeks nothing for itself, not one single cent. And naturally we support all efforts to minimize the administrative costs associated with disbursing the \$1.25 billion and would happily support any moves by your Honor to retain oversight, either directly or indirectly in the way the monies are spent.

Your Honor, we have put a detailed submission before the special master and a brief submission to your Honor, and all of those

1 papers are before The Court and I won't rehearse 2 those here but let me -- the terms of those 3 here, but let me just focus on the underlying historical fact which lies at the half of our submission; and that is that just as the Nazi sought to eradicate all jews in Europe and 7 tragically succeeded to the extent of six 8 million, so to did they also seek to destroy a 9 cultural way of life. And that cultural way of life was represented by a vast array of communal 10 11 organizations, congregations and institutions. 12 That supplies both an historical and moral 13 dimension to a particular claim but it doesn't 14 rest there because those historical and moral 15 dimensions are in turn represented by the legal 16 dimension, because the definition of "victim" or "target" of Nazi persecution which is quite 17 18 essential to the settlement agreement goes on to add that in addition to individuals it includes 19 communal organizations, institutions and 20 21 congregations. And we would respectfully suggest that that is a legal recognition of the 2.2 underlying historical and moral facts that I've 2.3 put to your Honor. 24

25

we would respectfully submit is that account ought to be taken of that in two respects, if I may put it this way "fine tuning" the special master's recommendations.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, in relation to the looted assets class we would respectfully submit that express recognition ought to be granted to communal institutions and organizations which are victims and targets of Nazi persecution within the legal definition, and specifically when it comes to applying monies to the former Soviet Union we would suggest that it's appropriate to take account of this aspect of the definition of victim or targets of Nazi persecution. That would be a recognition of the fact that people were targeted not only physically but also spiritually, they were attacked in terms of their physical being as well as in terms of their spiritual lives. And both of them, both aspects, both dimensions to the persons attacked ought to be catered to.

Furthermore, when it comes to a residual our respectful submission is that the same sort of principles apply. In picking up something that your Honor said this morning,

- your Honor made a comment about the 1 inappropriateness perhaps is a way of 2 3 summarizing of talking too much about residual at this stage without knowing how much residual, if any, would be left over. If by that your 5 Honor intends to signal that in due course there will be some further process to consider how the 8 residual ought to be dealt with. And I perceive that Professor Neuborne nodding in that 9 10 direction -THE COURT: Yes, and so did the special 11 master in his report. 12 MR. REICHER: My range of vision doesn't 13 14
  - extend to the special master, but

    Professor Neuborne 
    THE COURT: No, no, I didn't mean he was
  - THE COURT: No, no, I didn't mean he was shaking his head, I mean it's right in his report.
  - MR. REICHER: I understand the comments that he's made there. But if your Honor intends to take that aspect of it and conduct some further process later on which will be open to the public and that part of it I didn't understand the special master to be indicating. But if that's the case then we would reserve any

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

submissions as to the residual for that occasion. But in the meantime we really invite your Honor to take into account these underlying principles in that part of your Honor's consideration of the plan of allocation.

THE COURT: You've spoken very eloquently and when the time comes we'll make a decision. But one of the things I've heard as I've listened both at the fairness hearing which involved a totally different issue, and at this hearing today as you heard, it seems to me this -- among the survivors there seems to be -- at least those who speak -- this extraordinary antipathy towards providing money to anyone other than survivors, to giving money to groups and to an extent towards religious groups. I mean, it's just a constant -- next to the lawyers, which we've actually not put it off for two weeks only because I didn't want to listen to that today -- it seems to be, you know, there's such a strong -- there appears to be such a strong sentiment among the survivor community. I'm just curious to hear -- I have an open mind, I'm just curious to hear your response.

1 MR. REICHER: Your Honor, I am 2 profoundly saddened by what I heard earlier today, as well as what I heard a year ago in 3 this court. Those of us who are of my generation stand in awe of people who went through that 5 hell, experienced it and saw it. And we tip our 7 lids (sic), as it were, to those people who have successfully managed to rebuild their lives. And we stand behind no one in expressing our admiration for them. At the same time we see 11 Jewish history not only in terms of individuals 12 but in terms of organizations, in terms of beliefs, in terms of the Jewish religion, and we 13 14 see the need to buttress those institutions and 15 organizations that are seeking to perpetuate the 16 way of life that was destroyed and sought to be 17 destroyed expressly so by the Nazis because we 18 see in those institutions the promise of Jewish continuity and Jewish eternity. And therefore, 19 20 we look very much to that dimension to see what 21 it is that we can do to ensure the continuity of 22 the Jewish people. If we don't buttress those institutions that direct themselves to that 23 dimension then it may be tragically that we will 24 have lost ultimately. And that is a fear that 25

1.5

2.1

haunts us, and it's for that sort of reason that we stand before your Honor, invite your Honor to take into account Jewish history over the last several thousand years and look to what will ensure its continuity and survival.

THE COURT: But whose responsibility is that? Is that the responsibility of the Jewish community or should it be the responsibility of this fund?

MR. REICHER: Your Honor, the agreement makes it the responsibility of this Court because of the way it defines "victim or target of Nazi persecution." If that were not there we would stand only historical and moral grounds but we don't, we stand also very firmly on legal grounds -- very, very firmly. And there is clearly a misconception among many of the survivors who perhaps had not had explained to them or have not understood fully the ramifications of the legal agreement that your Honor's charged with executing.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. REICHER: If your Honor pleases.

THE COURT: That was the last of the

25 people who are scheduled to speak.

MR. SWIFT: Your Honor, for the record my name is Robert Swift and I'm one of the plaintiffs' counsel.

Listening to the comments today it struck me that in August of 1998 when we reached agreement on the amount we, of course, didn't have the other agreements that have subsequently taken place with Germany and Austria to look at, and the way in which to allocate within the overall funds that were to be made proper monies for different categories. And were I writing on a different mosaic today I might well have urged a different type of allocation within the Swiss bank settlement agreement.

There are two fundamental points I wish to make.

First, I believe there's a serious over-funding, over-valuing of the deposited assets claim. There's a misunderstanding embodied in the special master's report with regard to deposited assets. And I speak as one who both participated in the negotiating the agreement as well as one who also participated

in drafting it. There is a concept of deposited assets which is further subdivided into matched and unmatched accounts. There's also what we spent a great deal of time discussing the "but for accounts." At the time we negotiated the settlement agreement --there was firmly fixed in my mind and I think that of others -- the amount of \$71 million for deposited assets which would be paid for matched accounts principally. But we also allowed, and I did in my own mind, a range of 50 to \$100 million.

Now, subsequently we received the Volper report (sic) which poured a much larger value on that I think to the surprise certainly of the plaintiffs' counsel and probably your Honor. And it might well be appropriate to increase the amount that would be allocated for that category, but not to the extent of \$800 million. The justification that I read in the special master's report in which I heard from your Honor this morning was that the deposited assets claim was the strongest legal claim. That may be, but it was certainly not the only claim that was being negotiated. The looted assets claim, in fact, took most of our attention and

was the largest settlement claim that counsel discussed at page G-2 in the second volume of the special master's report there's a linchpin statement where he says: "There must be a relationship between the looted asset and the Swiss entity." In fact, because of what we knew about the flow of assets from the germs through the Swiss and what we concluded from other historical evidence we had, very few, if any people, would be able to trace assets in that respect. Consequently it was our intention not to have a relationship type of claim with regard to looted assets. In other words, the claim did not have to be able to be traceable to a specific Swiss entity.

The second point I wish to make is that it is not yet an outmoded idea that the recovery of money in a class action should be distributed directly to class numbers. I would like to The Court to reexamine its ability to distribute looted assets portion to individuals. As someone remarked a little earlier, the money goes much further in third world countries. And of course, in other contexts notably --

THE COURT: And that same person Rabbi

Besser gave eloquent testimony to what's wrong with what you're suggesting.

MR. SWIFT: I understand that.

And I also understand that there's really two different schools of thought; one that you articulated just a moment ago that the survivors would like the money to go to survivors.

We can't forget the fact that 564,000 people completed questionnaires and submitted them to this court. I think the Court runs a risk of frustrating a great many of those people if it embarks on a program for benefits for those people which is distinct from direct compensation to them.

I think it would be a mistake to, for example, dole out food over a period of time to those people as opposed to giving them the money that was taken away from them or their relatives at a much earlier point.

However -- and much has been said about the rights of survivors and the desire to get money to them. No one has spoken more eloquently to that than Burt Newborn, Mel Weiss, others, including myself we're very anxious for that.

•

Some of the other settlements, in fact, do accomplish that and hopefully in much direct and fast way.

Heirs have distinct rights under the settlement. And we can't forget those -- nor that we can forget the fact that there are some very aged heirs. And the people who have largely been -- whose rights have been frankly virtually excluded from some of these other settlements have been the heirs of people who have died between 1940 and the year 2000.

THE COURT: I know. But you were a party to the German settlement which does exactly that, as has almost every other form of settlement of claims of this kind. You signed off the German settlement that did exactly that.

2.4

MR. SWIFT: And I was a voice in the wilderness, your Honor.

THE COURT: I said that he signed-off on the German settlement agreement that it did exactly and that there's virtually no comparable agreement that provides for across-the-board payments to heirs because of the problems that have been outlined.

Nevertheless, the one category that deals necessarily with heirs that's set out in the settlement agreement is the deposited assets. That's a necessity a class that's composed of heirs, they haven't been cut off there and you're arguing that I should prematurely cut down the amount of money that's been allotted to them before the claims process is even in place.

MR. SWIFT: No, what I'm saying is that the allocation in that category I think is excessive and that there are heirs also in the looted assets class --

THE COURT: It remains to be seen.

But the problem with the looted assets class is that the class is so large that unless you have some rational basis for distributing money everybody will get nothing or next to it, not quite worst than what Rabbi Besser described occurred with the monies that were distributed in the United States.

The problems is, as I've said before when we've met, I refuse to approve a plan that does not provide a rational method of compensating people. This is not the normal

class action settlement where everybody gets two dollars, I'm not going to have a settlement like that.

2.3

MR. SWIFT: No, and I don't think you would. And if the simple arithmetic is if there was \$600 million for looted assets, which is less, than frankly, class counsel had assumed in the negotiations that that would be \$1,000 perperson. That's assuming that --

THE COURT: Counting how many people?

I don't quite understand, the number of people who have submitted -- are you counting -- just dividing by the number of people who have submitted claims?

MR. SWIFT: Yeah. Well --

THE COURT: Well, that's not the universe. The people who have submitted claims are the tip of the iceberg, possibly. There were not told that you had to submit a questionnaire in order to be a claimant. I think you have to look at the whole universe here of potential claimants.

MR. SWIFT: My point isn't that I can stand here at the lectern and come up with a solution that's going to satisfy your Honor, but

my point --

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

2.2

2.4

25

THE COURT: Look, the problem is is that there's no necessarily correct solution, that's the problem. Reasonable people could argue for days over this. There is no necessarily correct solution, but the one solution that I won't be a party to is one where everybody gets nothing or next to nothing and so that we could say we gave everybody something.

MR. SWIFT: And my point is simply this that I think it needs to be examined a little more whether something can be fashioned.

And last, your Honor, I would just ask if your Honor could give us a half hour of time in chambers, there's a --

THE COURT: Yes, of course.

MR. SWIFT: We need to resolve, I think, some matters on the Swiss insurance.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SWIFT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Weiss.

SPECIAL MASTER: I think Mr. Urbach goes

23 first.

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

MR. URBACH: Good afternoon, your Honor,

for the record my name is Mel Urbach,

plaintiffs' counsel. I'd like to keep my

comments brief. It's been a long day, I've heard

a lot of comments, a lot of information for all

of us to digest.

There is a cause, your Honor, that I would like to add as sort of a footnote to today's proceedings. There's a cause that hasn't been represented here today and one that I think deserves, certainly, a couple of moments of our attention as we draw to a conclusion.

During the Holocaust Nazi murder squads, killing squads stretched out across Europe, Ukraine, Lithuania and other countries creating mass and communal graves in almost every town and village in Schtel (phonetic) that was overrun by the Nazi forces. In many cases those graves have remained a secret or are in unknown locations and have remained as such under communism. With the economic development that we now see in eastern Europe and the possibility of many of those countries joining the European economic community many of those grave sites are not in danger and some, in fact, have already have been excavated and the remains

of Holocaust victims are being exposed.

As a jew and as an orthodox jew that 3 creates a tremendous problem for people like myself who are children of Holocaust survivors. 4 There's a possibility that those remains are our 5 grandparents or members of the community who 6 7 were killed and butchered in the most horrible form that history has ever witnessed. Since this is the first settlement that we have I would 10 like The Court to consider setting aside a 11 relatively small amount of money, perhaps 12 somewhere in the region of \$20 million so that a fund could be established under the guidance of 13 14 this court and under the continuing supervision of the court so when these situations arise in 15 16 the European community countries of central 17 eastern Europe that a team of experts could be immediately dispatched prior to the companies 18 receiving their permits to build shopping 19 centers, schools and other institutions and 20 21 commercial-developed properties on the grave sites. I remind the Court that these ultimate 22 victims, these ultimates victims who never made 23 it beyond 1943 or '44 or '45, these ultimate 24 25 victims had bank accounts, these ultimate

victims had looted assets taken from their houses and their person, these ultimate victims had their houses ransacked and everything taken away, and unfortunately, including their lives.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So as we address this issue and as we decide on compensation and as we decide on the various classes and the double victims, the heirs, let's not forget, your Honor, that Europe is full of these mass graves, every single town. Further research is necessary for us to try to uncover them. We ought to show the highest respect for those victims who cannot come here today and cannot make the presentations on behalf of themselves. So it falls on us as the Hebra Kedisha (sic), as the Holy Burial Society for us to take that responsibility, send out that message. Surely, your Honor, and this is something that every Holocaust survivor, every child of a Holocaust survivor and ever member of the community would appreciate.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Mr. Weiss.

MR. WEISS: Your Honor, I think the way we should at today is the miracle at Cadman Plaza. And for all of the survivors who have

gotten up and spoken and had the ability to speak and to tell their stories, it's because we 3 have a justice system in this country that has permitted it. Fifty-five years have gone by and no other forum has provided that ability to be heard and act sort of a catharsis in some ways for the still living who went through that pain. The job of this court is supervising this case has been a very, very difficult one in so many different ways. For those who think it hasn't 10 11 gone quickly enough I just remind them that this 12 is a case involving a worldwide class of victims 13 whose suffering dates back 55 to 60 years ago. People of all different languages, people who's 14 15 claims have been suppressed with a veil of 16 secrecy of facts that only because of this 17 litigation have now become known to the world. If that is all that this case achieved it would 18 19 have been enough to warrant the bringing of this 20 litigation.

We now have lifted the veil of secrecy concerning some of the most horrible things that nations and the citizens of those nations did.

And for the victims of those crimes and their survivors that is a benefit that a justice

21

22

23

24

system such as we have in this country permitted.

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We made the deliberate decision as lawyers at the end of the negotiate with the Swiss because of what Judge Korman said that there is no one right way to handle the distribution of this fund; indeed, there are thousands of right ways to do it that we would entrust it with a single person, a special master whose judgment we all trust as being fair and objective and independent. And the worse thing that we can do is fight over the results of that recommendation. We should not delay the distribution of these benefits in any way that the special master and the judge ultimately find is fair, reasonable and adequate; even though some of us or most of us would find other ways that we might suggest could be done. That would be the worst result that we could achieve here.

And one last point, and it's one I think that Mr. Swift was addressing. It is very clear to me as one of the chief negotiators that we had to deal with the class definition in this case, which was broader than just the survivors. And there was an intent that there would be some

## Proceedings

cypres funds to be dealt with as a surrogate for the survivors -- for the heirs.

We didn't address how much that should be and we understood very well the point that Judge Korman has made; and that is, that the distribution should be meaningful. So we leave it, really, to the special master and The Court to decide how best to form a cypres fund and the usage for that cypres fund. It could any one of many, many different usages; several of which were recommended today. But it would not damage the intent of the negotiators if some funds ultimately were used for education and for prevention of future horrors which would best memorialize those who are not here today because they were killed and best avenge to some degree what happened to them.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. There were two people who were asked -- who didn't get to speak who asked to speak. And as long as we have time I call first on George Klein.

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, thank you very much for giving me this opportunity even though I registered late.

My name is George Klein. I am at this moment vice-chairman of the New York Holocaust Memorial Commission and the New York Holocaust Memorial Museum where I was cochairman with Bob Morganthou and appointed by Ed Koch 15 years ago to work to create the museum. And Judah Gribetz who has done an extraordinary job as special master sits on the board of that museum.

I also come here today as not as a survivor but someone who was fortunate to arrive to this country in 1938. My father who you may have known Steve Klein, a founder of a company called "Barton's Candy" and was chairman of (unintelligible) the rescue organization during the war and after the war helped bring over close to 18,000 people to the United States after 1945.

I have heard what Rabbi Besser said, it was repeated to me. And I have had countless of hours of discussions with him and Judah Gribetz and many others whether even -- whether the Jewish community to take it all, any reparations that are offered by either Germany or from Switzerland; (a), because there was a question in my mind and of many other people, does that

take away a moral claim that the Jewish people have against Germany; especially in others who participated in the war for the destruction and the murder that they gave.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, a decision was made by many organizations that these funds in some manner, shape or form should be returned to the Jewish people in some way.

The Swiss case is very unique. And the problem with it you have had as judge or the special master has had, has been extraordinary to try to determine how to allocate this \$1.25 billion. There is no question that the dormant accounts need to be dealt with. I had the privilege of owning the Swiss bank. We were the fifth largest bank is Switzerland which I purchased eight years ago and sold it four years ago. There were a number of times where we had discussions because of the size of the bank, with the presidents of the four or seven other large banks in Switzerland about what happened to the money that was deposited by the Nazis in Switzerland and what happened to the Jewish money.

And their response was very interesting

that the German money that was deposited by the Ghastapo and others almost all of them were claimed somehow by survivors. And that the money that was left over by Jewish depositors were settled by an agreement with representatives of the State of Israel in 1950, by the payment of \$12 million to "Mr. X and Y organization, has total settlement of all claims that may be had by the Jewish people against the Swiss banks." I checked out that story and the name of the individual who negotiated on behalf of "The Jewish Agency," and it was true, there was an agreement reached in 1950 between the Jewish Agency and the Swiss Bank Organization in Switzerland.

However the dormant accounts were never really taken care of. And whether it's \$800 million or it's \$1.1 billion or more, that is a financial transaction of trust that was given to these banks that need to be paid back totally; whether it is \$800 million or more I believe The Court must the allocation and the capacity to be able to pay back to anyone who may have a claim or family member vis-a-vis that amount of money that's there.

1

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What we're talking about is sums that may be left over. And what I am trying to speak to today is to ask this Court to help establish a precedent as it relates to where some of the money may go, even if it's a small amount. And that relates to institutions, and to communities that were destroyed by the Nazis.

In 1940 the head of the Gestapo issued an order specifically in Poland that the individuals that should not be allowed to emigrate were mainly the teachers and the rabbis of Poland itself. And they specifically said that we have to be careful that they do not emigrate to the United States because if they go to the United States the Jewish life, Jewish religion, Jewish communities will be rebuilt. The Nazis understood that the backbone and the history of the Jewish people or the survival of the Jewish people was not just the fact that they were born as jews but that they had a history or education and that they belonged to communities were education, Jewish education and ceremonial tradition was part of that life. There were thousands of communities that were destroyed in Europe. There were thousands of

institutions that were destroyed in Europe. Many of those, just like survivors somehow, someway were recreated in the United States, in Israel and in Europe. They, over the history of time, what they represented is how the Jewish people survived. And if there is any answer, and one of the answers to what the Nazis tried to destroy was not just individuals but the entire Jewish history, philosophy, religion that is the backbone of the individual jew that stands here today or that is alive today.

And if this court in some way can give some amounts to these institutions it may give a stronger message to the other three or four or \$5 billion that may be coming from other sources to give an opportunity or at least of a consideration to help those institutions that were recreated that the Nazis tried to destroy.

so I'm not asking for a specific amount, I'm not trying to represent that we can even determine a specific amount but anything that can be given in some form, whether it's then divided per capita based on the number of the children that are studying both here and in Israel or on any other matter may be an answer

## Proceedings

can in some way to what the Nazis tried to destroy. Survivors come first, basically. And we have dealt with survivors in trying to recreate this museum and to give a message. And I still don't and many of us don't understand how a survivor rebuilt their life. There's nothing and 7 there isn't enough money to repay them for the suffering that they went through. But when you 8 hear that people receive \$500, \$300, \$200 it 9 10 will make very little difference in their lives 11 in this country and in western Europe. But a 12 message, a strong message that this Court and 13 our community took into consideration that institutions and others who were the backbone of 14 15 the survival of the jews for 2,000 years and 16 what the Nazis tried to destroy would be a very 17 strong message to help in the future of other 18 funds that may be brought.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Mr. Harold Suretsky.

MR. SURETSKY: Your Honor, thank you for letting me stay despite my very late request. I don't represent anybody except maybe my aunt.

And she wanted me to be here and say something.

She's a survivor who was in the three

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
camps; two labor camps, one concentration camp.
 1
 2
   She was lucky and that's probably why she's
 3
   still alive that in the labor camp she was doing
   work in the office. But in the concentration
   camp she has a spell doing shoveling and
   transporting of coal, wooden shoes worn in the
   winter, toes frozen, circulation pretty much
   caput in one leq. And the result of this was
   broken hips, great pain and she's in a
   wheelchair. Also one time she went out to go to
10
11
   the bathroom at night and she forgot to put the
   star on her jacket and the guard hit her in the
12
   right ear with a rifle butt and she doesn't hear
13
   there any longer.
14
15
```

She's 93 years old now, she's going to have to go into a nursing home soon.

Now, I think from what I understand on the Swiss thing the most she'd get would be about a thousand dollars, and that wouldn't do much for her.

THE COURT: Are you talking about under the slave labor as a slave laborer?

MR. SURETSKY: She was a slave laborer.

THE COURT: Yeah, well that's in addition to what she would get under the German

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## Proceedings

1 | settlement.

MR. SURETSKY: I know, I know, but you're dealing with the Swiss, I thought.

THE COURT: Well, we're looking at a single group that's benefitting potentially from two separate sources of money. We really have to look at it as one. In fact, this was -- I'm convinced that the settlement in this case was really the catalyst for the German settlement ultimately. And so in trying to make an allocation I think the special master was looking to the fact that there were basically this particular group was beneficiary of two separate classes; and therefore, was trying to take into account that this was not the only source of money for this particular group.

MR. SURETSKY: In any case, I just wanted to make the one point that she pointed out to me that she's worried about going to a nursing home, what that would cost. After the war she worked as seamstress in the ILGWU. And has very little savings.

Now, I don't know about the total of money, but certainly the Swiss of \$1,000 will make very little difference. But if some kind of

funds could be set up for nursing homes to make sure -- or for medical care, especially in this country where it's not free to everybody, medical care to be sure that these people are taken care of. Some kind of fund -- that's a suggestion for medical care for people who can't afford it.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. SURETSKY: All right.

THE COURT: All right, we stand adjourned.

(Matter concluded)

- 000 -

.

## CERTIFICATE

I, ROSALIE LOMBARDI, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting by me in the above-entitled matter.

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this matter.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this and day of chruny, 2001.

2 0

Posalie Londondi

Rosalie Lombardi Transcription Plus II