# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----X

Civil Docket#

IN RE:

96-cv-4849

HOLOCAUST VICTIMS ASSETS : ALLOCATION

U.S. Courthouse Brooklyn, New York

April 29, 2004

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD R. KORMAN UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE

Official Transcriber:

Rosalie Lombardi L.F.

Transcription Service:

Transcription Plus II

823 Whittier Avenue

11040 New Hyde Park, N.Y.

(516) 358-7352

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

•
Speakers:
Diana Taylor 6
Thomas Molnar 19
Greta Beer 26
Leonard Cole
Steven Schwager
Asher Ostrin 39
Sofia Abramova
Herbert Block 45
Zuzanna Justman
Delbert Field 60
Kent Yalowitz 76, 91
Minister Natan Sharansky 77
Israel Singer 81
Michael Sarel 86
Sergio Della Pergola 98
Zevv Factor112
Ruth Brand117
Paul Berger124
Leonard Saxe128
Robert Swift
Thane Posenhaum

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

# Speakers: David Schaecter..... Raisa Horowitz......184 Helen Rosenberg.....185 Marta Maskowitz.....190 Alex Moskovic.....193 Ira Sheskin......205 Hanka Hirshaut...........280 Ramsey Clarke......290 Eli Zborowski.....301 Avraham Berkowitz...........308 Susan Sommer.....322 David Zwiebel.....329 James Pallachia.....342 Alice Fischer.....344

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

# Speakers: Jack Biegelman.....371 Regina Belashkar.....388 Veronica Rendon.....391 Bill Swartz.....397 Iza Katza.....402 Rosa Spitz.....406 Moses Sharp......408 Sylvia Richstein.....414 Eliazer Bloshteyn.....428 Mr. Weissman.....431 Yakov Goodman.....435 Barry Friedman.....438 Rabbi Goldstein.....442

Judith Hager.....446

#### Proceedings

THE COURT: Good morning.

Our first speaker on the list is Diana Taylor, the New York State Banking.
Superintendent.

Good morning.

MR. SWIFT: Your Honor, if I may,
Robert Swift. I, as being counsel in the case,
I had asked your deputy clerk whether I may
speak a little earlier in the case. She had
said I might not get on until very late in the
day. There was a federal judge in Detroit who
canceled a hearing for me out there today, so I

THE COURT: I'll try and work you in.

You should have called before and I would have
worked you in. But I will try and work you in.

HOLOCAUST SURVIVOR: Excuse me,
your Honor, last week the Honorable Governor of
the State of New York George Pataki just picked
remembrance of 6 million Jews. We are here not
for a traffic violation or something like a
parking ticket, therefore I would like you to
ask everybody here for a minute of silence.

Go ahead.

remembering the people who died in the speaking not by silence.

Go ahead.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you, Judge.

Good morning, Judge. I want to thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the recommendations that parties received from Special Master Judah Gribetz and Deputy Special Master Sherry Reed for the --

THE COURT: Please be quiet.

MS. TAYLOR: -- allocation of excess and possible unclaimed residual funds. Over the past months, you have received a plethora of proposals, many of them commendable for their efforts to provide humanitarian assistance programs serving needy Nazi victims in the (unintelligible).

Having said that, I feel it appropriate to take a step back to recount the reason I am here and to sketch our involvement in these issues since 1996 when the Court finally began to pay attention to the fate of the assets deposited in Swiss financial institutions.

Governor Pataki, at the urging of then Superindent Neil Levin (phonetic) encouraged the

Banking Department to use its influence, expertise and reach to help rationally resolve these emotionally charged and tangled mistakes.

We have been actively committed ever since. First with our investigation in to the war time activities of the Swiss Bank's New York agencies and then by establishing the Holocaust Claims Processing Office as part of the Banking Department.

Our involvement has extended further still with the establishment of the international commission on Holocaust era and insurance claims, also a legacy of the late Neil Levin. The so-called Swiss dormant account issue was a catalyst for a number of other Holocaust era looted asset questions including lost accounts, insurance claims and missing art work. The Banking Department has been intricately bound to resolve many of these issues in the intervening years through the steady, solid work done by the Holocaust Claim's Processing Office.

Since we opened our doors to claimants in 1997, we have received a total of 4,754 claims from 45 states and 37 different countries

regarding bank accounts, insurance policies or lost or stolen cards. Some have been resolved, others are still under investigation.

As important as these other issues are, it was clearly the fate of assets deposited in Swiss financial institutions by individuals who were persecuted by the Nazis and their henchmen in their sweep across Europe that brought us all here in the first place.

In 1998, a sizeable settlement with the defendant banks was achieved with the Claims Resolution Tribunal in Switzerland. CRT was established to review and resolve claims for Swiss bank accounts.

By early 2001, the names of 21,000 account holders were published, out of a total of 365,000 accounts identified by the Volcker audit as possibly or probably having belonged to Holocaust victims. The publication prompted more than 33,000 claims from around the world.

I do not intend to speak for the CRT.

I am sure you will hear from them today regarding the difficulties they have faced and the challenges that still await. What I will say is that to date, \$139 million has been

returned to bank account owners or their heirs in connection with 1145 awards. Contrast with 200 million in pay outs, due former slave laborers and surviving refugees who have been paid almost in entirety and more than 200 million already distributed and/or allocated to humanitarian assistance programs serving 100,000 of the neediest survivors worldwide, I would say restitution to the account claim is lacking and lagging.

Let me be clear, I am not disputing the worthiness or necessity of any of these other payments. They are essential humanitarian reparations. But another way, virtually all of these allocation and distribution programs are now nearly finished, except for the deposited assets class that deals specifically with funds deposited by former bank customers. That is, the very group of individuals whose claims promoted our involvement some eight years ago.

Based on our experience, the Banking

Department is aware of the difficulties

encountered by those trying to research such

claims and that's what information has survived

in the banks through often fragmentary

1 information claimants can provide.

2.2

We alone have received nearly 1,700 claims from 33 different countries seeking to recover assets still believed to be held by banks in Switzerland. These claims reference more then 2,312 individuals who lived in pre-war Europe and are believed to have had a customer relationship with a Swiss financial institution.

Therefore, the Banking Department's
Holocaust claims processing office has been
working closely with the CRT office in an effort
to expedite those claims to Swiss bank accounts.
While only five percent of the CRT claims
originated with our department, more than ten
percent of the CRT's payments to date have been
made to claimants who have worked with us.

I say this to illustrate that I know whereof I speak. This is hard, exhausting and exhaustive work, as you know. We are clearly faced with a Herculean task. The overwhelming majority of claims remain unresolved. This is the main reason I would respectfully submit to you, Judge Korman, that before this court determines how to allocate any so-called residual funds, the CRT be given an opportunity

to complete its work on the claims it has received.

Until this has been achieved, there is no accurate means of determining just what may be left at so called residual funds. Moreover, I must confess that from where I sit, as difficult as it may be for those representing so many commendable projects here today, I rather hope that there be no funds left. That is, I sincerely hope that we can identify as many rightful owners of bank accounts as possible and extend awards to their heirs as quickly as possible. That is what I have always understood our priority to be.

It was with this in mind that the department had worked hard to have results of our investigation into the Swiss Bank's New York Agency incorporated into the ICEP (phonetic) investigation. Indeed, it is my understanding that a number of accounts that were uncovered as a result of our investigation were slated for publication by ICEP as likely to have belonged to Holocaust victims.

Similarly, the New York State Banking Department has repeatedly stressed the

importance of unfettered access to the total accounts database containing these \$4.1 million Holocaust era bank accounts located during the Volcker investigation. A database, we believe it is important and imperative for those receiving adjudicated claims to have access to.

The Holocaust Claims Processing Office works with Holocaust survivors and the heirs of Holocaust victims and survivors everyday. From this first hand experience, I know only too well how difficult this path has been and how unbearably long the wait for justice.

While some claimants have been able to provide documentation for the accounts they seek, others sadly are dependent on the records still available in the banks to supplement their memories. This is why publication of as many account holder names as possible has always been so important to us. And I note from the comments you have received that we are right on our assessment. Mrs. Dee (phonetic) puts it plainly when she states in her letter to you and I quote, "I know that my mother would not have known of her parents' accounts, if it were not

for the publication of the account owner's list in February 2001. I also know that she abstained from making claims in regards to other relatives because they did not appear in the said list."

I know from the Holocaust Claims

Processing Office's experience, that many others shared Mrs. Dee's mother's reluctance to file additional claims not knowing whether to trust their own memory, not having anyone left with whom to compare their recollection or to ask in details. For some, it must be like attempting to scale the highest mountain alone without a map. Where does one begin? And what will the peak offer?

That is the undeniable reality of the Holocaust, when family and friends and neighbors are murdered, when entire communities perish, there's often no one that one can turn to for documentation or assistance for establishing the facts. Many claimants lost everything and everyone. In those cases, publication can be the only way to connect a claimant with the relatives they had.

It's my understanding that the parties

are currently engaged in an ongoing discussion about what additional accounts can be made public and what can be made available to the CRT for the claimant's related work.

Let me repeat here once again what my predecessor, Superintendent McCall stated in this very court in January 2001, "Unfettered access to the total account database is critical if matches are to be made, accounts identified and awards made to claimants."

It is imperative that those who will be rewarded in adjudicating claims have full access to the \$4.1 million names in the total accounts database. If the CRT is to have credibility with the claimants, if the process is to have any integrity, the facts necessary to make an informed decisions must be readily available.

The data compiled in the Volcker audit is an essential part of any effective case by case adjudication of individual claims.

Otherwise, we risk having the effectiveness, fairness and credibility of the entire claims process called into question.

The special master's report states that recent tests demonstrate there are awardable

accounts in the total accounts database. We always suspected there would be. We now apparently have the technology to find them.

Moreover, unlike three years ago, we now have claim forms from claimants that often provide the additional information needed to help determine whether account closing dates, where they are available, fall into a period of time when the account holder would have had full control of the account. Given this, it would be indefensible to place any obstacles in order to make the best use of this information.

We also have the benefit of the work that HCPO has done with claimants. This includes archival and genealogical research to confirm family relationships, as well as details regarding the fate of many account holders. It also encompasses significant work the HCPO staff has done with archives, financial institutions, trade associations and our colleagues in federal, state and local governments in Europe to locate historical records, identifying prewar assets and ownership for claimants.

I cannot urge all involved strongly enough to make the mst of the CRT's new

1 technology, as well as the information provided
2 by claimants in our Holocaust Claims Processing
3 Office to help settle such a claim:

2.2

2.3

In this context, it is my understanding that some bank secrecy concerns remain. I am confident that the parties would find a way to insure the list of names is searched while still remaining responsive to the needs of our Swiss colleagues and their framework. It would be a tragedy if limitations on access to account ownership documents, the very issue that prevented resolution of claims Holocaust survivors for so many years were once again made their claims process impossible.

We have been able to overcome similar obstacles in the past. We should be able to do so again. The special masters reports states that some work has been relocated to New York from Zurich without objection from the Swiss Federal Banking Commission, that (unintelligible) as well.

I am confident that whatever secrecy issues remain can be resolved promptly and if everyone is going to take the claimant's best interest in mind. I am fully aware that my

suggestions here come close to asking for patience from those for whom the wait has already been too long. Moreover, by finding more matches and potentially more awards we are also generating far more work from the CRT and with that comes the potential for further delay.

I am only too familiar with how cumbersome this process has been to date. The CRT faced many challenges over the past three years. Let us not add further obstacles to their path by denying them access to information that would help to resolve claims, thereby delaying the process further.

While I recognize how difficult the wait for justice has been, let's remember what brought us here in the first place. We need to work hard to expedite the CRT's efforts. I cannot stress that enough. But it is precisely because there is so much work that remains for the CRT in this juncture that we must be cautious so as to not risk expending any of the funds we may need or the positive assets. Based on the CRT's recent analysis, we may well need them to pay for future awards. It is my sincere hope that we will meet them soon.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

23

24

25

In closing, I commend you for your commitment to this issue. Were it not for your work, along with the special masters and the CRT and indeed the dedication of the Department's Holocaust Claims staff, we would not be where we are today with the potential to help so many people.

We all share the profound hope that together we'll be able to push forward and expedite the remaining bank claims.

11 In closing, time is not our friend. The reality is that too many of those that filed 12 13 with the HCPO in the year since 1997 are no longer with us. Claimants have been waiting far 14 too long for resolution of their claims, as well 15 as for closure of this difficult issue, as even 16 17 if you can imagine that is a possibility. 18 is no obstacle here that cannot be revolved. There is no discernible reason why claimants 20 seeking return of assets deposited in Swiss 21 financial institutions should have to wait any 22 longer.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SWIFT: Excuse me, your Honor,

1 | Robert Swift again.

1.4

If your Honor has no questions of counsel, I have a couple of questions?

THE COURT: No, this is a hearing. I'm not conducting examination. Sit down.

Thomas Molnar?

MR. MOLNAR: I'm honored to be invited to testify at your hearing,
Honorable Judge Korman, especially since I feel that the issues addressed here are of great import to current and future Jewish generations.

Many Jews in Germany and Eastern Europe who were afraid that their savings and the results of their hard work would be confiscated by the Germans, found their way to deposit their hard earned funds in the Swiss banks, fully believing in the neutrality of Switzerland and the integrity and the sincerity and secrecy of these banks. We now know better.

Unfortunately, at the end of World War II, many of these Jews perished and those who survived including my father in Budapest, Hungary, were left without being able to recover these funds, the funds they thought they could fall back on when they survived the Holocaust.

We all know that with your efforts,

Judge, the settlement for the class action suit
was addressed in this court and with the efforts
of Master Judah Gribetz, a settlement allocation
was devised which allocated \$800 million to bank
account holders or their heirs.

To date, five years since the 1998
settlement, only less than \$150 million has been disbursed. And we should ask ourselves why it took so long to disburse only a fraction? And the other question we need to ask, if this \$800 million restitution fund created for the depositors and their heirs was for them, what authority does this court have to give away the remaining \$600 million or so to other beneficiary groups before satisfying itself that all claimants of the original group have received compensation? Why?

My father, Mr. Carmen Molnar, a

Hungarian citizen, a Jew, in 1938 entrusted in

great secrecy funds to a friend who was able to

travel to Zurich, Subrosa and open a secret

account for him. He wanted to assure that

before he was called up in the Jewish officer's

labor camp, if he failed to return, his family

would have something to fall back on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Fortunately, he survived, although a broken man psychologically and physically, and while a very successful businessman before the war, he just about made it afterwards, only to suffer further antisemitism and communist persecution in Hungary beyond tolerance.

I am his only heir and I filed a claim in 1999 in December with the HCPO and the CRT took over the claim in March 2001. I've had no communication from the CRT until I started writing several letters to this court to Masters Gribetz and Master Baxfield pointing out that I had a valid and documented claim. This is in spite of the fact that my father's name as a claimant was known to them. With great effort and I need to recognize Ms. Taylor here, because the department of -- the New York State Banking Department Holocaust Claims Processing Office was extremely helpful in pursing my case and they were able to trace back which bank was -my father's account was opened; the date when it was opened and the amount in Swiss francs that was deposited. All of this documentation is in my possession.

Furthermore, it was forwarded to the CRT by the banking claims department and by me to Masters Gribetz and Master Baxfield. The Swiss Bank secrecy argument that Master Gribetz referred to in the -- or quoted by "The New York Times" on February 21, does not apply as a valid argument for rejecting my claim because I have the data.

I'm here today because I am very frustrated and disappointed witnessing this whole process firsthand. I have several letters in my hand from Masters Gribetz and Baxfield informing me that unfortunately, my claim cannot be processed because they have no data from the bank. Each time I respond to them indicating that this is incorrect. I have the documents. I have forwarded these documents to them. No response from them acknowledging that these documents, in fact, would indicate that my father has been a depositor.

Mr. Graverson (phonetic), who reports frequently for "The New York Times" on the proceedings in this court, pointed out that this Court states that the process is bogged down and many obstacles including lack of cooperation by

the banks. But what additional cooperation of the banks does the CRT expect in my claim when, in fact, I have forwarded the documents that I referred to and I have obtained these documents from the bank.

Why am I upset? I am upset not only because I have seemed to have a Mexican stand off with the CRT on whether I have valid claim to enforce my claim, but also because I realize that the CRT has so far only awarded less than 150 million of the claims and this opens up the path for this court to devise other beneficiaries for the unspent funds rather than forcing the CRT to more diligently pursue the claims.

The Swiss restitution was for compensating up to \$800 million, those who lost their funds in the Swiss banks. At the time of the settlement with the commission, there was no discussion of other groups getting these funds. I feel that before awards are made out of the settlement fund to new beneficiaries, this court has the responsibility to find ways to compensate all of those who have claims of having lost money in the Swiss banks.

this planned hearing, there are many depositors like myself who want to challenge the Court on taking the funds away from the valid claims and awarding them to other beneficiaries. And especially people like me who have all of the documentation to prove the validity, I find the argument advanced by the various legal officials spurious to say that my father's name does not appear on the official Swiss Bank -- what's on the documentation is required, actually, that prove of the account, which I have that the account was opened.

awarded to other groups, the Court should make an effort to maybe relax the rigorous terms of qualifications to reach more of those whose families did have actual losses in their deposits, maybe even there's only partial information or not enough data about the amount of loss, the Court should consider making a humanitarian award to depositors before including other groups, not contemplated originally in the settlement.

And finally, I want to thank Judge,

that you have spent so much time on this matter but I would like to ask you and all of the others involved, let us not wait until even more of the claimants pass away.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Let me just say to you that -- well, first of all as I've already written and I don't intend to award any monies from the \$800 million that's been set said to anyone else until we're certain that we've taken all the steps possible to insure that those who are entitled to be paid from the deposited assets class are paid.

I also agree with you and the banking superintendent, ms. Taylor, that there have been in part, unnecessary delays in the awarding of accounts, in part due to the fact that the CRT hasn't functioned as efficiently as I would like it to have functioned. And I'm taking steps and I've taken steps to try and deal with that problem.

I'm not familiar with the facts of your case but I could also tell you we have made awards in cases even where there was no bank record of an account where we've had sufficient

proof to satisfy us that in fact an account was opened, notwithstanding the massive destruction of bank records by the Swiss since the war.

So, you know, it's hard for me to disagree but I don't know the particulars of your case with your overall comments and your criticism, accept responsibility.

Greta Beer.

Mrs. Beer, it's good to see you again.

MS. BEER: It's lovely to see you, your

Honor, Special Master Gribetz, too.

Dearest Judge, I didn't know I was going to be here today. I just want to say my name is Greta Beer; many know me, born Greta Lichdish (phonetic). My father had a textile mill in eastern Europe, one of the biggest textile mills. And he worked constantly, go to Switzerland, deposit money for me, for my mother who is passed since and my brother. We were always told and we always knew there was money for us in Swiss Banks. I was sent to Switzerland to a boarding school and everything was in contained in Switzerland.

What happened was that my father died in 1940 and the concentration, the war,

#### Greta Beer

everything else, we fled. The point is that in 1964 my mother was in Montrose, Switzerland. My brother brought her there. And the Swiss Bank for a time often let people look into the so-called special private secret account. We went from bank to bank with my mother. I said it at the Court, at the Senate in Washington, when I testified under Senator D'Amato, the Banking Commission, we went from bank to bank in Montrose, Geneva, and all over. And then they clamped up. They clamped shut.

In 1995, Peter Gambler (phonetic) was the higher official, manager of overseas -- of the overseas, works with Germany in Europe, contacted me. He heard about my investigation about my search and he contacted me, put me on the first interview, he put me on the first page of "The Wall Street Journal," first and second page. I must say, at the time very few people knew what secret private accounts were. And this made a splash all over. I was invited by Senator D'Amato, as I said, to testify at the Senate and tell my whole life story. And that was painted by Hans Bayer, who was the bank -- Julius Behr and was sent here as a deputy from

#### Greta Beer

1 Switzerland, took me to Switzerland. We went 2 all over investigated and so on.

3

4

5

6

22

23

24

25

Since I had investigated since the 1960s, everything was done away, destroyed with Mr. Mele, the young man who himself destroyed Jewish accounts.

7 In -- from that point on, dearest Judge, what happened, you took over lately and 8 qualified me and so many other people brought 9 10 the billion, \$250 million on the table. 11 million were apportioned just for accounts. And 12 since that time, I hear there are rumors now to 13 do away, fetter away the money. \$800 million is 14 a sacred amount of money, dear Judge Korman. 15 There is a holy file around it. Nobody can 16 touch it. Only you, dear, sir, and 17 Special Master Gribetz, nobody has a right to 18 it. This is sacred money. People -- it has survived the Holocaust. It has survived the 19 20 bank manipulations and has come here to this 21 country.

I've been a citizen since 1954 and I love my country and I trust my country. I trust my, Judge. I trust my Court. Something has to be done. Nobody has the right but you, sir, and

Master Gribetz to touch this money. This belongs to -- it's a sacred money. It belongs to souls who from their grave have made the money come here to the United States and it has to be distributed among us. Those who have money in Swiss Banks (unintelligible).

I trust you here, Judge, and I trust

Master Gribetz. And I know it's going to be

done with the highest -- you are the highest -
the high order, that is God. And on this -- in

this world, it is you and Master Gribetz are the

only ones to touch the money.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Leonard Cole. Mr. Cole?

MR. COLE: Thank you, your Honor.

My name is Leonard Cole and I'm president of the UJA Federation of Bergen County, New Jersey. I'm here on behalf of the United Jewish Communities, the UJC, which is the umbrella organization representing 156 Jewish community federations throughout the United States and Canada.

I also serve as chairman of the UJC's Holocaust Survivors Services Committee which has

sought the perspectives of spokespersons with a variety of news on this matter.

2.5

At the outset, we want to acknowledge the conscientious manner in which this court has deliberated on the complex issues pertaining to the welfare of Nazi victims. Further, we recognize the many and varied submissions to you about the funds under your discretion should be distributed.

While it is impossible to satisfy the full range of these submissions, we are impressed by the beneficent intentions of virtually all of the advocates. Indeed, their sincerity makes your task all the more challenging.

The UJC provided a submission to the Court detailing the needs of Nazi victims in the United States. In view of the fact that almost half of the survivors in this country live in the geographic area served by the UJA Federation of New York City, the New York Federation provided the Court with a separate submission.

However, the UJC and the New York Federation joined today in making this statement

to the Court. We applaud the thoughtfulness of the special masters recommendations for allocation of possible unclaimed residual funds dated April 16 and want to especially underscore the following.

First, we are in agreement on the legal and moral obligation initially to take every step possible to provide for the claims of the deposited assets class prior to the creation of the residual fund.

Second, even while acknowledging and supporting the great need for commemoration, remembrance and research, we are in agreement that the basic human needs of Nazi victims must be taken care of first.

We agree that in the event that there are unclaimed residual funds to distribute, the provision of food, shelter and emergency aid for the desperately needy Nazi victims represents the first priority for humanitarian assistance.

The Federation System of North America fully recognizes that conditions of Jewish survivors in the former Soviet Union are among the most difficult in the world. Indeed, the Federation System itself has long been committed

to helping these populations through regular and special fundraising campaigns.

We recognize, as well, the distressed condition of many survivors in the state of Israel and elsewhere. We also appreciate your recognition of the urgent needs of an extremely vulnerable segment of Nazi victims in the United States, especially in the home health care and medical areas. We welcome your acknowledgement that the safety net system in the US has serious flaws resulting in significant unmet needs.

In conclusion, we anticipate that the Court will continue to address the issue of Nazi victims with utmost care and sensitivity. We trust that if and when residual funds are released, the Court will be in a position to offer enhanced support for the needs in the United States.

And may I say apart from the written script, a message that you've heard already and I'm sure will hear throughout the day and probably throughout the weeks and months ahead, time is of the essence. Many of the people, the rightful claimants of these funds and whatever residual funds would be available after have

limited time left on this earth. The increased and accelerating needs by way of medical and other humanitarian needs as they get older require the most expeditious and quick resolution as possible because this is all of our interests at heart.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Well, I agree with the need for expedition and one of the reasons we're holding this hearing in advance of knowing for certain how much we're going to have left is because when we do know, I want to be able to proceed as quickly as possible and I don't want to start this hearing and comment process at that point. I would rather do it now even before we're certain of how much money we would have left.

MR. COLE: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

Steven Schwager and other speaks from the Joint Distribution Committee.

MR. SCHWAGER: Good morning,

23 | your Honor.

My name is Steve Schwager and I'm the executive vice president of the American Jewish

Joint Distribution Committee. Joint, as it's known to hundreds of thousands of beneficiaries around the world is a 90-year old American Jewish institution dedicated to rescue, relief and reconstruction of Jews and Jewish communities worldwide.

In our history, we have worked in over 85 countries and are currently operating in sixty countries to meet the needs of Jews in distress. The Joint was created in 1914 to assist European Jews displaced by World War I in what was then Turkish Palestine and is today Israel. We have never left the land of Israel in our 90 year history.

In terms of welfare, we are now engaged in the third largest relief program in our history. The largest was in the '20s when JDC was feeding over 600,000 Jews in what was the Great Famine of the Ukraine. In addition, JDC operated over 600 orphanages to care for Jewish children whose parents perished in the famine.

After World War II, the American government requested the Joint to operate the DP, displaced persons camps, which housed over 400,000 Jewish victims of Nazi persecution. JDC

operated these camps until the Jewish Nazi
victims moved to new homes or made Aliyah to the
newly created state of Israel.

Today, in the Former Soviet Union, the JDC using funds from restitution sources, including from this court under the Swiss Bank settlement, as well as the Claims Conference, is caring for over 120,000 Jewish victims of Nazi persecution.

JDC also cares for a similar number of poor elderly Jews in the FSU using charitable donations as you just heard from the American Federation System.

As someone who has observed poverty deprivation around the Jewish world, I can clearly state that these elderly Jews in the FSU and in particular the victims of Nazi persecution are the poorest, neediest Jews on earth.

However, JDC strongly supports the notion that all Holocaust survivors in desperate need wherever they reside in the world deserve help.

The average Nazi victim client in our case load is a 75 year old woman who lives alone

in a four or five story walk-up building. She
exists in a one-room apartment about ten feet by
ten feet and generally never goes outside.

There are almost no personal items left except
for some fading photographs, an old black and
white television and a book or two. Everything
else she owned was sold.

Their pensions are so small that even by today's post-Soviet standards, the pensions are almost meaningless. Generally, after they pay their rent and utilities, there is little left and they have to decide whether to buy food or medicine or cannot pay for both.

Except for the Hesed network, there simply are no other safety nets or government programs available to help them live out their final years in dignity. There are no Jewish old age homes or Jewish hospitals. The funds currently available to us from restitution sources including this court, allow us to spend an average of \$25 per month providing welfare services for each victim.

While we recognize that the funds available to the Court are limited and are primarily for unclaimed bank account holders, I

would be remiss if I did not indicate that in order to bring these Nazi victims living in the FSU closer to the poverty line, we urgently need an additional \$15 million a year above our existing allocation.

The priority for any additional allocation is food programs. For an average of \$39 a year, the Hesed programs could provide each Nazi victim in the FSU who needs a food package with that package once a month instead of only a few times a year and provide each Nazi victim who needs a hot meal with one meal daily instead of just a few times per week and provide each Nazi victim who needs a set of fresh groceries with that aid two and a half times per month.

We would also expand the provision of winter relief and have sufficient funds available for all needed emergency grants.

Currently, due to funding limitations, only half of the Nazi victim clients are able to receive medical services. JDC believes that in 2004, with additional funding, approximately 30,000 additional clients who need some form of medical service from the Hesed centers could be served

1 at an average cost of \$4 per month per client.

Currently, approximately 14 percent of the Hesed clients, about 17,000 people need home care services and receive an average of four hours of such care per week. Four hours per week.

They do not benefit from any state funded home care or have the possibility of any decent institutional care as a last alternative. We would like to be able to provide each client who needs home care with an additional ten hours of service per week, therefore totalling 14 hours per week for an average of two hours a day. This care costs about \$1 per hour.

That said, I wish to offer my thanks and admiration to the Court and Special Master Gribetz and Deputy Special Master Reed for their continued recognition of the needs of Nazi victims in the FSU and to pledge that the JDC will carry out its responsibilities as mandated by the Court to insure that these funds create a better life for the FSU victims of Nazi persecution.

My colleague, Asher Ostrin will shortly provide more details on the Hesed network in the

However, let me close my remarks by 1 2 bringing you the faces and stories of a few Jewish Nazi victims living today in the Former 3 Soviet Union. They are clients of the Hesed 4 5 program who currently receive the minimum welfare services our funding can provide. 7 Hesed clients could not be present today here in Brooklyn. However, I believe it is important 8 9 for your Honor to see and hear from them directly. With your permission, I would like to 10 show their story on a video to the Court. 11

THE COURT: Go ahead.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Video played)

THE COURT: Mr. Ostrin.

MR. OSTRIN: Your Honor, my name is Asher Ostrin. I'm the director of the JDC's program in the Former Soviet Union and I've been so since its inception in 1991. Immediately before, during and after the Holocaust, the JDC sought desperately to reach the millions of Jews living in the Soviet Union who were almost completely cut off from the all Jewry (sic). They remained cut off from the decades that followed.

One of their primarily lifelines to the

Jewish world being the packages and the
materials the JDC and their partners were able
to smuggle in. In 1989, the lowering of the
iron curtain revealed need beyond anything that
JDC had encountered since the end of the Second
World War. And in addition, there was an
opportunity to recapture a lost limb of the
Jewish people.

In working to help the Jews of the Former Soviet Union recreate an authentic Jewish life that meets their own needs, JDC is guided by three principles; inclusivity, we remain impartial and non partisan and we avoid interference in local affairs.

of the sixty countries in which JDC works today, no region's needs are more apparent then the Former Soviet Union. The social safety nets are grossly inadequate. What does it mean to live on a pension of \$29 per month in Ukraine? In the United States, this would translate into \$187 per month according to purchasing power parity which is equivalent to 25 percent of the poverty line in the United States.

Even in the state of Russia, state

2.5

pensions average \$304 in PPP terms, placing the elderly income at 40 percent of the equivalent of the United States poverty line. When viewed in PPP terms, it's evidence that the Nazi victims in the FSU live in dire economic straits with a monthly income that varies between the equivalent of \$155 to \$420 in American purchasing power terms.

When America entitlement like Medicaid and Medicare are included, the FSU pensioner is further comparatively dismantled. We should think for a moment about life anywhere in the United States on \$155 a month. With healthcare services collapsing at an age when people need them most, with growing disabilities and individuals becoming home bound or bedridden, there is no one left to turn to. Their societies have failed them.

Tens of thousands live in conditions that in western terms would simply be considered sub-human. No where else are conditions so bad across the board. No where else can it be said that there's no one to turn to. Even with the help funneled through JDC including restitution sources, we are only bringing Nazi victims in

1 the FSU up to a relative 50 percent of the 2 United States poverty line.

It's principally for this reason that the conditions of Nazi victims among elderly Jews in the FSU are significantly worse than that of Nazi victims elsewhere. And JDC is uniquely positioned to help. Today, local Jewish communities of the FSU operate 177 welfare centers with support from the JDC. These centers are generally known as Hesed centers from the Hebrew term for love and kindness. They combine materially with programs that restore the spirit and strive to assure the client's basic dignity.

These programs are located in the 15 successor states of the USSR and provide services to the needy. JDC has worked closely over the past years with the Hasidic to insure that the programs and the budgets are consistent with local need. Each Hesed has an independent board that is representative of the local Jewish community. It includes representatives from Holocaust survivor organizations, religious leaders and prominent Jewish community leaders.

and services to 126,000 Jewish Nazi victims in 2,749 locales across eleven time zones.

2.0

The main sources of restitution funds for JDC programs are the Claims Conference, the Swiss Bank settlement and Icheck (phonetic). As restitution sources have grown, JDC has established a two tier system. This system which incorporates a separate financial system, as well as programmatic reporting goes to insure that only Nazi victims benefit from restitution funding.

The Court has charged JDC with the management and administration of funds for Jewish victims of Nazi persecution in the FSU under the looted assets class of the Swiss Bank settlement. JDC is able to administer and monitor this grant through its 15 local offices. Each office has an extensive auditing system monitored and supervised by a second layer of auditors in our Jerusalem office. And finally, Ernest & Young offices in each country audit and issue reports on an annual basis for the entire operation.

JDC has developed a client based comprehensive management information system that

includes both personal data and service
received. Each client has a separate record
that tracks services received. Information is
then transferred to JDC's FSU program
headquarters for monitoring and oversight.

From the initial allocations the Court gave JDC which cover the period of July 2001 to December 2002, JDC was able to provide the following services to Jewish Nazi victims. Food packages for 40,352 Nazi victims, Meals on Wheels or canteen meals for 5,558 Nazi victims, home care for 4,258 Nazi victims, winter relief kits for 3,688 Nazi victims, medicines were provided to 19,118 Nazi victims and SOS emergency grants were given on an emergency basis to 60,359 Nazi victims.

These services handled by the Court only provided care to assist 30 percent of the Jewish Nazi victims in the FSU. JDC is currently working on the Swiss settlement programmatic report for the 2003 calendar year.

Even with the influx of the Swiss money to the Nazi victims in the FSU, the need for food, medicine and basic care is great. JDC's goal is to provide additional services to

victims of Nazi persecution in order to enable them to live out their lives in dignity. Those services would include the following.

More food packages, which are the stable of JDC's support for elderly Nazi victims. Today those victims receive a few sporadic food packages per year. Our goal is to be able to provide Nazi victims with one food package per month.

JDC would like to be able to increase the frequency of canteen meals and Meals On Wheels for the homebound, so that all Nazi victims in these programs receive a hot meal once a day.

Budget cuts in recent years have forced the Joint to cut medical programs. We would like to be able to provide more medicines and other medical programs for our clients. As our client population ages, the need for more and increased home care hours is critical. Without it and without alternatives, elderly Nazi victims are left to fend for themselves.

I would like to conclude with an illustration about the need with a the story of one of the 126,000 Nazi victims JDC helps in the

FSU. This is the story of Rosa Zeitzaba (phonetic). She was born in 1914 in Kiev. When the Nazis approached Kiev in 1941, she escaped on foot with her husband. In a forest outside of Kiev she was caught by the Nazis and placed in the Dzierzazna concentration camp. She escaped yet again and was hidden in the forest by a non-Jewish family until the end of the war.

At 89, Rosa survives on a monthly pension of \$28. She is bedridden and suffers from diabetes and heart disease. Rosa receives occasional food packages, medicine for some of her medical conditions, winter relief and sporadic home care from Hesed. With additional funding, we can increase the amount of food, medicine and home care that Rosa receives and able to live out her years without worry and with a modicum of dignity.

With your permission, your Honor, I would like to now introduce Sofia Abramova, the director of Hesed Rachomin in Minsk. Through her knowledge and familiarity of the Jewish community, she played a key role in the creation of Hesed Rachomin in Minsk in 1994. Through her dedication and commitment to the elderly Jews of

#### Sofia Abramova

Minsk, she serves as a model for Jewish welfare providers, not only in the FSU but throughout the world.

Thank you.

MS. ABRAMOVA: Your Honor, thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak here on behalf of more than 11,000 Jews, Holocaust victims who are residing in Belarus. They're living under such terrible conditions that they have to choose between food and medication.

I want to tell you about their problems, their loneliness and how the Jewish community with the help of the funds from the Claims Conference and the Swiss Banks helps these people to survive in these difficult times.

My name is Sofia Abramova. I am the director of the Jewish charitable organization Hesed Rachomin in Minsk, Belarus. Our organization provides lifesaving services to retired and disabled people. It was in 1989 that I, for the first time, came face to face with the problems of the Jews, victims of the Holocaust. I came as a volunteer to a state owned house for the elderly and disabled to wish

1 them a Happy Purim.

terrible. There was no regular staff to care for them. I had to bathe the old men, feed them and mop the floor. Medical care was practically non-existent. I recall one elderly lady, her name was Sarah, who had not eaten for three days. She was unable to feed herself and nobody even made an attempt to feed her. I failed to save her. She died a few days later. I think she died of starvation, not of an illness.

Another elderly woman died of blood sepsis, since no kind of surgical help was ever offered to her. Imagine all of this happening at state owned establishment with doctors there.

Fifteen years have elapsed since that time but practically very little has changed.

There is still no system of social assistance for the population. Social assistance is the norm in all civilized countries of the world.

But in Belarus, there are not enough social workers with funds and experience.

The Jewish community charitable

organization, Hesed Rachomin that was created in 1994 with the help of Joint and the Claims Conference financing is trying to fill in this void for the most needy members of our community.

You cannot even imagine what miserable pensions the old people of Belarus are getting, on an average, between \$57 and \$60 a month.

Part of that pension goes to paying the rent for their apartment and utilities. What remains is one dollar per day or in the best case, a dollar and a half per day for food and medications.

How can one survive on such an income if even a loaf of low quality bread costs half a dollar. And a simple heart medication costs around a dollar? The medication for high blood pressure is between \$4 and \$12.

With the assistance of Joint, my colleagues and I have learned to recognize the needs of the people and care for them. In addition, we have managed to draw into the Hesed a large number of volunteers, over 400 people in Minsk alone who help us in this way.

Thanks to the funds that we're getting from the Claims Conference and Swiss Banks,

#### Sofia Abramova

we're able to improve the conditions of the 1 2 people under our care. We provide food, medications, medical services, home care, 3 heating, warm clothing and whatever they need in ' 5 emergencies. For example, in 2003, the emergency assistant program, SOS, provided its resources to more than 2,000 victims of Nazis. 7 This year, in 2004, we are providing daily food 8 to more than 2,000 people through Meals on 9 Wheels and canteens. 10

The next example pertains to medical care. To replace a broken hip bone costs around \$1,000 and the surgery to remove a cataract around \$600. Who of the people under our care has such money?

During the period of 2003, Hesed
Rachomin helped to pay for the surgeries of 19
people. Without our help, a person is left in
his home absolutely helpless. He is in great
need of our assistance. Our organization brings
these people to good health and gives them an
active life.

You have just seen Basia Vorkina (phonetic) on the screen, a lonely and sick woman, a victim of Nazis. Basia was never

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

married. Her fiance was killed during the war. She had to flee from Minsk and work hard. Basia starved, at times surviving on a meager diet of boiled grass. At present, Basia is disabled. But for Hesed, nobody can help her.

She receives daily meals from Hesed.

Her homecare worker visits her twice a week.

Three times a year before Jewish holidays, she receives food packages. We buy warm clothing for her before winter comes. Social workers and volunteers are in constant contact with her and they can render necessary assistance to her in emergencies.

There are over 100,000 of such victims of the Holocaust under similar conditions all over the Former Soviet Union. Is it possible to imagine that we can allow these victims of the Holocaust to go on suffering from lack of food and medical care, old age and loneliness?

I ask you not to forget about them when you're going to resolve the issue of the distribution of the funds from the Swiss Banks. Your Honor, on behalf of the Jewish community of Belarus, once again I want to thank you for this opportunity given to me to speak here on behalf

# Herbert Block

of the Jews victims of the Holocaust, as well as for the thank you, also, for the financial help that you have already rendered. And I hope will continue to render in the future.

THE COURT: Please be quiet. If you want to remain in here, you have to be quiet.

Let's go.

MR. BLOCK: Your Honor, my name is Herbert Block and I'm an assistant executive vice president of the American Jewish Joint

I want to conclude our presentation by briefly stating for the record the continuing needs for welfare services for the Jewish victims of Nazi persecution in the 12 countries in Central and Eastern Europe, as acknowledged in the recent recommendations of the special master, should any residual funds remain.

Only a fragment of the pre-war Jewish population of Eastern Europe survived the Holocaust. Those that did return to the region were subjected to over 40 years of communist rule, a period in which they were deprived of the right to express their Jewish identity and heritage.

Distribution Committee.

### Herbert Block

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The approximately 33,000 Jewish Nazi victims living today in Central and Eastern Europe like their brother in the FSU are also therefore the double victims. They received no Shoah related compensation until after the fall of the iron curtain. In fact, today, only about half of the Nazi victims in the region received any Holocaust compensation at all.

The other half benefits the welfare services funded by this court, the Claims Conference, the JDC and others through the local Jewish community. My work on Holocaust compensation programs and property restitution brings me to the countries in that region frequently. Most recently, I returned on Monday from Warsaw and Prague. I can tell you that this hearing today is being held in a very key moment for the elderly Jewish Nazi victims in that region. On Saturday, five nations in the region, Poland, the Czech Republic, Slovakia, Slovania and Hungary will join the European While this joining with the west represent many new opportunities for these countries, for the elderly, the prospects are much more bleak.

The prices of medication, homecare and healthcare services are expected to continue to rise to Western European levels while the elderly including the Jewish Nazi victims continue to live on fixed incomes mainly pensions which remain at formerly Eastern European levels. As one community leader told me, pensions are eastern but prices are western.

Additionally, in these countries, the level of state provided care is still no where near western standards. Prior to joining the EU, the governments in these countries where two thirds of the Jewish Nazi victims and the regions live undertook drastic reforms necessary to meet the standards for entering into the European union, including cutting government spending and decreasing debt and as a result of slashed social and health services and pension subsidies.

The combination of insufficient pensions, increasing prices and inadequate health and welfare services means the Nazi victims of these countries still need more help. For the other seven countries in the region which are not being admitted to the EU now,

there remains severe poverty for the elderly.

Nazi victims in these other countries live alone with conditions comparable to those in regions of the Former Soviet Union and without any adequate state social safety networks.

They too need greater humanitarian assistance in order to live out their remaining years with a small measure of greater dignity. Should any residual funds from the Court be available, JDC believes that an additional approximately \$1 million of most critically needed for welfare services for Jewish Nazi victims in Central and Eastern Europe.

As we detailed in our submissions to the special master, we project that these funds could provide food assistance for an additional thousand Nazi victim clients, medicine and medical care for an additional approximately thousand Jewish Nazi victims and home care also for an additional thousand Nazi victims who need it most in this region.

We thank the special master and deputy special master and this Court for its recognition of the needs of the Jewish Nazi victims in Central and Eastern Europe.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Zuzanna Justman.

MS. JUSTMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

My name is Zuzanna Justman and I represent the Prague Jewish Community. We're applying for funds for the Higagor (phonetic) Old Age Home for Holocaust Survivors. I, myself, was born in Czechoslovakia. As a child, I was in the Terezin Concentration Camp. My father perished in Auschwitz.

I'm now a documentary film maker and two of my films deal with the Holocaust. I speak here for my friends and fellow Jews in the Czech Republic because I know that had I not emigrated, I would be one of the people now worrying about money and about a place to spend my final years.

As the gentleman before me said, Jews were double victims; Czech Jews. They were first persecuted by the Nazis and then by the communists. Those that managed to survive and return barely alive and alone, having lost their families to Czechoslovakia, tried to rebuild their lives and in the early '50s, they faced persecution again from the communists.

Czech Jews for 40 years were often subjected to government sponsored antisemitism. The most extreme example and best known was a man called Rudolph Margolis (phonetic), who spent four years in the camps, large Auschwitz and Dachau, and then seven years after the war he was hanged by the communists for crimes he did not commit. He was convicted on the basis of a forced confession in an infamous antisemitic Slantsky (phonetic) show trial. His wife survived. She wrote a book about it.

In contrast to survivors living in the west, Czech survivors received no compensation for forty years. There's one exception in 1967 there was a single payment to people who were subjected to medical experiments. So, aging survivors in Czech Republic certainly are in no position to afford private care in their final years.

Since many of them lost families first through the Holocaust and later through emigration, many live alone. Perhaps 50 percent of Czech survivors have no families.

As was mentioned before, at present in the social net in the Czech Republic is barely

adequate. It's really not adequate. So, it is for these reasons that the Prague Jewish community that their membership feel that the Higagor Retirement Home or old age home is very urgently needed.

There are about 1,550 aging survivors in the Czech Republic. It is -- they make up of one half of the registered members of the community, of the Jewish community. It is a very unusually high number and it puts a great burden on the Jewish community.

Higagor should meet the needs of the survivors under one roof and it will include a day care center and counseling. It will be placed in an existing building which was returned to the Jewish community by the Czech government recently. And its renovation or transformation into an old age home will cost \$6 mallein.

The Prague Jewish Community can provide \$2 million. They can raise another 2. And the rest, \$2 million is needed. The Joint Distribution Committee has sent a special mission to examine this project and approved it and they support it but not financially.

There are two existing facilities in the Czech Republic but they're very tiny and inadequate and yet there's a long waiting list and the need for beds is constantly decreasing - '- increasing.

Placing Holocaust survivors in nonJewish residences would really make it
impossible for the Jewish community to provide
additional care and religious services. And
most of all as survivors age and the trauma of
(unintelligible) becomes much more troubling,
they would really like to spend their final
years in the company of their friends from the
past.

In conclusion, I would like to point out a very important contrast. I knew survivors who lived in the west who have received from the German government a monthly compensation of more than \$1,000, sometimes quite a bit more. During 40 years from the late '50s until 1998, which is the period during which the survivors in the Czech Republic received nothing, some survivors living in the west received as much as half a million dollars per person in total. I know some of them personally.

```
Now the Prague community is request $2

million for a community project which will

benefit 1,550 aging survivors. That's $1,290

per person. That's my final point and thank you'

for listening.
```

THE COURT: Mr. Delbert Field and Stephen Chambers of the International Organization For Migration.

MR. FIELD: Chief Judge Korman,

Special Master Gribetz, Deputy Special Master

Reed, Ladies and gentlemen, good morning.

My name is Delbert Field. I'm deputy director of compensation programs at the International Organization of Migration (IOM) which is based in Geneva, Switzerland. I would like to speak briefly today about the work being done by my organization on behalf of the Court to assist extremely needy elderly Roma, Jehovah's Witness, disabled and homosexual survivors of Nazi persecution.

IOM assistant to Roma victims is co-funded by the German foundation, responsibility, remembrance and future, an arrangement set to end by June 2005. Such assistance has been available to some victims

for just over two years; a shorter period in most locations.

Modes of assistance in line with the plan approved by the Court, may consist of food, 'basic medical and dental care, clothing, coal, firewood, home care, as well as social assistance, legal aid and emergency financial support, for example, to prevent eviction or utility shut off.

IOM has to date contracted to assist of 59,000 survivors in the above mentioned four groups living in Belarus, Croatia, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Macedonia and Moldova, Poland, Romania, The Russian Federation, Serve and Montenegro, Slovakia and Ukraine, as well as a handful of needy homosexual victims in Austria, Germany and France.

The assistance, however modest, is for most survivors the first formal recognition of their suffering in nearly sixty years and comes at a time when by their own account, living conditions haven't been worse since the second World War.

Aid is delivered primarily through over

1 45 local non-governmental organizations, NGOs,
2 large and small, yet all closely monitored,
3 associated with victim communities and monitored
4 by IOM field offices in each country. We are
5 helping far more needy survivors than as
6 recently as three years ago many believed could
7 be found.

I said a few minute ago that I would tell you about what IOM was doing. Enough of that, at least from such a distance because it's more than 5,200 miles from this courtroom to Maximca, a muddy Roma settlement just west of Vulgobride, Stalingrad in Russia. And where survivors now receive monthly food packages.

It's 4,200 miles from here to Opava, a town on the northern border of the Czech Republic where a local convent has sheltered five disabled survivors for most of their lives and can now afford to buy them winter clothes.

And it's just over 4,000 miles from Brooklyn to Shetinek, a town 50 miles from the Baltic coast from which IOM assists over 500 elderly Roma throughout Poland.

You can read more about the program in the special masters interim report released last

October and in IOM's proposal delivered to San Francisco in January.

I would like to use the rest of my time instead to help you cross those miles to take us reven further back in time to introduce you to a few of the people that IOM has had the privilege in these two short years to assistant and for whom we believe resources permitting, we should do more in the few years they have ahead.

Romania - Johanna (phonetic) is 72

years old. She lives in Rumania, a country with
perhaps the largest Roma population anywhere.

Her village, Pietrese, just south of the border
with Moldova is a sprawl of shacks on two dusty
ridges three quarters of a mile uphill from the
nearest public well. Pietrese is a mixed
community where they told me, Gadja (phonetic),
that is non-Roma, make the boundaries in the
Roma Nova. It's a village where life hasn't
changed much since the 1800s with the same
relative hierarchy in place since northeast
Rumania was under Russian rule.

The Rumanians ancestors were serfs.

The Roma's ancestors were slaves. After the

1989 revolution, Rumanians reclaimed their

family farms, the sort of property that Roma never had. 53 beneficiaries lived there. Those lucky enough to have a farm workers, pension received \$5 a month in a country where a decent wage is said to be under \$70. Unlike their younger neighbors, survivors cannot bear more children in order to raise the state subsidy to their households or hitchhike the 25 miles into the city to work dawn to dusk cleaning rail cars.

The school children we met in Pietrese told us how their grandparents deported during the war to forced labor in Fransnistria (phonetic) say they must call themselves Rumanians to curious strangers to avoid the same fate. They told of how when lessons are done, their chores include water carrying, wood cutting and field work.

Four Roma survivors in Pietrese have died since the project began. Four others have been selected to take their place. Wait-listed community members asked us eagerly who would be next. Non-Roma in Pietrese have remarked Roma neighbors no longer come to beg. Projects, even though specifically targeted to certain survivor

groups, have far reaching effects in communities where all are similarly situated.

Johanna came to pick up her assistance package in the village square, more of a sloping 'open space and livestock cross over. She showed off the winter boots she had received from the project last winter which had replaced rags with which even in deep snow some Roma women still wrapped their feet.

I had to insist on carrying Johanna's package for her. She said and may have been right, that she was the stronger of the two. We hiked to her cabin, a collapsing thatch roofed structure made of mud and straw lit by cooking oil received thanks to the settlement.

As this happened several times in the course of this program, I left Johanna with her kind hospitality, her profound thanks and her gentle blessing for my family and my own long life, feeling that I had just met the poorest European I had yet encountered in my 21 year career of international humanitarian assistance.

Toma (phonetic), who is 92 years old lives with his wife in the Roma settlement of

Smirvoska (phonetic), 50 miles outside of
Bucharest. They live in a tent. They always
have, except of course during the deportation
when they spent three years in the open
Intransmistry (phonetic). Then walked the
nearly 400 miles home after the war.

On the day that I visited last month,

Toma received his package of flour, corn meal,

rice, oil, canned meat and fish. He opened the

meat immediately offering to share it.

The Russian Federation - the village of Yiritza (phonetic) and Leningrad Province,
Russia, is still snow bound this time of year,
accessible by horse and wagon. Many cabins are
empty until spring when city dwellers from
St. Petersburg are willing to rough it at least
for short periods in their Dachaus.

Lidia Yavanoka (phonetic), lives in

Yiritza where only Roma spend the winter,

heating and cooking by wood stove. No one has

running water though some hang a bucket of snow

to melt into a wash basin. Pensions of \$18 a

month are spent on tea, cheese or maybe a

sausage to enliven meals of home grown onions

and potatoes.

Lidia, her sister Raisa (phonetic), along with several others from their village benefit from medical assistance at a nearby outpatient clinic. Survivors most common complaints are the heart, respiratory and orthopedic problems.

I spoke with beneficiaries waiting to see the doctor. They told of their experiences in the German occupied country side during the 900 day seize of Leningrad. I heard tales of murder and missing parents, of Roma buried alive.

With ever deeper cuts in post-Soviet state services, healthcare is no longer automatic, even for the neediest. Thanks to the settlement fund, Roma victims receive transportation, basic exams and lab work, medication, dentures, follow up by specialists if needed. Our medical coordinator also visits beneficiaries at home, delivering medications and instructing patients in their use. IOM has been advised that regular medical staff are often afraid to enter Roma communities even when to provide emergency assistance.

Moldova - IOM now assists 2,000

Jehovah's witness survivors in seven countries.

Constantine lives in Edinetz (phonetic), in once Soviet Moldova. When I met him and two other community members in Kisino (phonetic) last year, I heard of how during the war witness parents were arrested and sentenced for their neutrality on account of their faith. Some were deported never to return.

Older children were left to raise the family or sent with younger siblings to orphanages in Romania. Then returning after the war to the burnt ruins of their former homes.

In a country with no national health scheme to speak of, monthly pensions of around \$15 and rural poor substituting on bread and porridge, witness survivors take advantage of medical, food and home heating assistance. One Jehovah's witness survivor in northern Moldova has a smaller house on her premises where she lives during winter as the main dwelling is too expensive to heat during the most bitter cold.

Roma in Moldova, as in other countries, live on land that no one else wants. Their shacks stand on the perilous flood plains around Kuzinia.

Pereskiva (phonetic) lives with her physically handicapped grandson counted lucky by the neighbors as his condition gives him an advantage as a street beggar.

Macedonia - Bakim and Bassim (phonetic) live in the hilltop Roma neighborhood of Bahir in the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia where residences fear skinheads in the lower part of the town and long for the old Yugoslavia.

Survivors grandchildren drop out of school on account of anti-Roma prejudice, lack of supplies and clothing to wear and to help their families through begging, calling and black market trade.

victims to spend less time gathering firewood and to see a doctor in their own part of town.

25 miles to the northeast is Tristamato
(phonetic) a Roma neighborhood nestled in the middle of a modern Macedonia town yet cut off from sanitation. Its streets, alternately clogged with mud or snow. Here Roma live 15 to a room, homes tap into the industria aqueduct.

can make life more comfortable for a few. One last photo from Macedonia on a lighter note.

The good ladies of Esma (phonetic), a Roma women's organization in the capital of Spokan founded some eleven years ago and now IOM's project partner in the delivery of food, winter and medical assistance.

The Czech Republic - at the Mariano
Convent in Opava (phonetic) in the Czech
Republic, the sisters have taught and cared for
the mentally disabled since 1918. Two women and
three men have been there since before the war.
One night during the occupation with 200
children in Reisence, the Germans removed two
busloads they sent to the camps. They were
never heard from again. The convent was bombed.
The Germans mounted a cannon in the entrance in
preparation for the Soviet advance. Meanwhile,
occupants survived on scarce well water,
potatoes and the animals they kept in the
garden.

Herbert, 67 years old, and a Mariano resident since he was two, showed us the underground tunnel where he and his schoolmates slept during those times of uncommon adversity

and affliction.

Poland - the textile miles of Lodz, southwest of Warsaw once employed large numbers of unskilled Roma workers. Now, most of the city is on welfare. Roma families in Lodz are large, the borders cramped. The survivor's monthly welfare payment, \$100, maybe shared with a family of 15. Begging, scavenging for fuel and fortune telling help make up the difference.

Natalia, who supports several grown sons unable to find legal employment in the west insisted on reading my palm and on doing it for free. Several survivors in Lodz said they had been tinkers before under communism, the Tabors (phonetic). Their traveling communities were forced to settle in Polish Roma to take fixed employment. We heard it was better in the woods, which for Roma living in hopeless urban squalor seemed to cry of eloquent despair.

Ukraine - Ushgaro (phonetic) in the remote Transcarpathean (phonetic) region of Ukraine lies at the center of a five country area inhabited by hundreds of thousands of destitute Roma. Emma is 88 years old. She lives alone in Parnosky (phonetic) settlement, a

cluster of shacks on the outskirts of town. She had enough firewood to heat her home last winter thanks to the Court. IOM has been told by survivors that without the provision of wood or coal, they would not have made it through the winter.

Lubal and Lydia (phonetic) made their way along with sixty other survivors through the snow to meet with us at the Zolakinosha (phonetic) town theater. The group spoke emotionally of the Nazi occupation initially thought by the Roma to be their liberation from Stalin. Following the retreating red army, they hid in the forest accused by the Germans of helping Jews and partisans, many were beaten and transported away by train. They spoke of the burning of livestock of hiding in holes, of surviving on a diet of marsh meadows.

Under communism, they labored in the potato fields or dug ditches, gaining the lowest state pensions. Briefly under Gorbachev, for some, things were better. Few homes are heated despite the sub zero cold. Zolakinosha Roma squat in abandoned cabins without running water

#### Delbert Field

or light. They live by collecting and selling scrap iron and glass. One woman we looked for wasn't at home. She had gone for a walk to warm up.

Another keeps her shutters permanently closed, still fearing the Germans return.

Seeing me, one survivor whispered to our local partner, asking, "Why do you bring these strangers here? They could take you away?"

That Roma survivors in Eastern and Central Europe are old and increasingly infirmed, is no surprise. This comes with the passage of time. Yet two other factors set them apart, their numbers and their needs.

As is detailed in our proposal, IOM estimate that almost 145,000 very needy former victims in the region could benefit from its humanitarian assistance. That count is based on multiple sources, the foremost IOM's first hand field experience in building the current program from the ground up.

The persons you've met today are just a few examples, while still proud and worthy representatives of their victim class. Like those many others, they have spent their lives

#### Delbert Field

1 in almost unremitting misery with no 2 acknowledgement nor relief from toil or pain.

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Four years ago, the Court saw fit to hear their cry and to respond to their feeble, unasking patience with a measure of modest, if still life sustaining, recognition. Recognition to which the old Roma respond with tears and disbelief that someone might really wish to help, asking nothing in return.

Chief Judge Korman, without an additional allocation of funds, assistance will cease during 2005. The fate of many extremely needy survivors who rely on this program is precariously fast. IOM's proposal in respect of any unclaimed residual funds sets out four scenarios. The scenario that would help only those survivors already known to us for another three years amounts to \$60 million. Should such additional funding not be made available over 50,000 survivors will stop receiving food assistance, 23,000 survivors will no longer receive winter fuel, 10,000 survivors will have basic medical assistance cut and 7,000 survivors will no longer have access to emergency financial support.

#### Delbert Field

with more resources, the most generous scenario would require \$215 million. IOM estimates that it could meaningfully help up to twice as many very needy survivors over a period, of five years. Some three weeks ago, April 8, in its press statement on the occasion of international Roma day, the United States Department of State reminded us, Roma are the largest minority in Europe where they often face violence, brutality and systematic discrimination in education, employment and housing, particularly in post-communist countries.

I don't know that I've seen that level of concern voiced before by a government outside the region. I would like to think that it marks a turning point in the fortunes of the grandchildren and the great grandchildren of IOM's largest survivor group.

Just as I would like to think that through progressively, fragrant and more trusting cooperation with the Roma on various levels, together we may play a part in insuring the persecution and the neglect of the world's Roma will someday be a thing of the past, so

```
that the respect so important to the Roma people
and for the other survivor groups IOM is
privileged to serve may finally come their way.
```

In that sincere hope and in the words
of Johanna, Toma, Lydia, Raisa, Constantine,
Pariskira, Bakim, Bassim, Herbert, Natalia,
Emma, Lubal and Lydia, thank you.

THE COURT: All right. On behalf of the State of Israel and the World Jewish Restitution Organization, I call on Kent Yalowitz, Paul Berger and others.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor.

conference are Minister Sharansky,

Dr. Israel Singer and Chief Economist of the

Ministry of Financial Michael Sarel. And what

I would like to do is allow those three to give

statements, then I'll return and say a brief few

words.

From Berlin, joining us by video

THE COURT: Okay.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. YALOWITZ: And then I'll return and say a brief few words and then we'll have our witness who are present with your Honor's lead.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you.

THE COURT: Minister Sharansky, can you hear me?

MINISTER SHARANSKY: Yes, I hear you.

THE COURT: I'm ready to hear you.

MINISTER SHARANSKY: Do you hear me?

THE COURT: I hear you. I'm ready to

hear you. Go ahead. Speak.

who didn't even come.

MINISTER SHARANSKY: Thank you.

Your Honor, good morning, good evening.

As you know, I'm the Minister responsible for our relation as per -- in Israel (unintelligible). And because of the (unintelligible), I am dealing all the time with the problems of the Jews who came from more than

one common (unintelligible) to Israel and those

I just want to explain to you why I am now giving this testimony from Berlin and not from New York or Jerusalem. Just now this hour we finished extremely important international conference on antisemitism. The leaders of 65 countries represented by their leading politicians came to Berlin to have a conference which was prepared for more than a year to discuss the new wave of antisemitism, to discuss

the situation of the Holocaust, learning about
the Holocaust and preventing the new wave of
antisemitism. That's why I had to be here.

That is why the leaders of the world
(unintelligible) Jewish organizations are here
today with me in Berlin.

Now, I want to say that the state of Israel agrees with the Court that the first priority is to find the owners of the accounts (unintelligible), owners of the accounts to the Swiss Banks.

opportunity to have additional hearing to come to New York and personally to give the testimony that would explain (unintelligible). Just now was published the report of the special master and we, the (unintelligible) of Israel have strong disagreement with the recommendations of the special master. We feel that the recommendations are in strong contradiction with the just representation of the survivors all over the world and definitely are very unfair to the share of survivors who come with all of the survivors in the world to date in Israel.

enough time to start this, it's an important report, and that's why we want more time to bring our thoughtful suggestions after serious study of this report. At this stage, nonetheless, I feel that I am obliged to say that I am the one who has firsthand lifestyle experience of living in the Soviet Union, together with the survivors, I know very well especially the needs of those who are elder or pensioners who are ill people and who remain until now in the Soviet Union.

At the same time, I know very well, I worked with these people that way. I am with these people (unintelligible) today in Israel. Well, then my involvement with survivors, knows hundreds of thousands who live in Israel and those (unintelligible) who came from the Former Soviet Union and (unintelligible).

I know how we need (unintelligible) of these people who don't travel from America or from other countries from Europe who are coming after they left everything that they had in the Former Soviet Union; their houses, their money that they made all of their life, their medical care and so on. They -- you have to understand

that Israel pays for (unintelligible) for these people and covers almost all of their needs in a very basic (unintelligible). Today, when we are cutting practically the budget which is touching ' the needs of all walks that live in the society, we are making very good efforts to touch minimally if at all, the budget of (unintelligible) Israel to all its responsibilities for them.

And we strongly believe, just from my knowledge of the situation of all of the (unintelligible) most of the situation of the familial survivors in the Former Soviet Union, we strongly believe that the recommendation which is by the special master are (unintelligible) and very unfair with the needs of those survivors who are today in Israel.

I do know very well that all the money which are covered in restitution cannot cover the need of the survivors in Israel and all of the world. But in addition to the assistance for these survivors, which is very important, it's also very important the feeling of the fairness of justice we believe to be unfair. And that's why I believe that this consideration

has to be taken into account. And in order to be able to bring this case and to give much people also who testamations (sic) .I ask that you be able to know this (unintelligible) to tie it to them, and to have an opportunity to come to New York and to give my testimony then.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Who is next?

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, next speaking will be Dr. Israel Singer. Dr. Singer, as your Honor knows is president of the -- is the chairman of the World Jewish Restitution Organization and is also the president of the Claims Conference.

And Dr. Singer, can you hear us?

THE COURT: Dr. Singer, can you hear us

18 Dr. Singer?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

DR. SINGER: I can. Thank you,

20 your Honor.

THE COURT: We're ready to hear you.

DR. SINGER: Thank you very much. I

beg that the Court accepts the few words that I

24 have to say. I thank you very much, your Honor.

25 We've had many opportunities to discuss the

subject of this settlement, particularly as the issue of justice for Holocaust survivors who were harmed during World War II and after World War II.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And particularly as a result of this settlement, as chairman of the World Jewish Restitution Organization and as president of the Claims Conference, I can tell you that I have spent my waking hours the last few years trying to make the lives of Holocaust survivors somewhat more whole than they were. In fact, I might say that when Natan Sharansky was languishing in prison as a prisoner of Zion, I encountered the first Holocaust survivors in the Former Soviet Union who were living without pensions because the Germans who had given over \$80 billion to Holocaust survivors throughout the world in pensions, because of the cold war, chose not to involve themselves by sending hard currency into the Former Soviet Union and I understand very well how you find that the condition of the Jews who live in the Former Soviet Union are different from those who live in other parts of the world.

But since then, I myself have

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

negotiated with the German government since the fall of the wall and although their lives have not been made completely whole nor have the lives of any survivors anywhere, we are here in Berlin for two reasons. The first, because of the antisemitism conference, because of a new outbreak that's taking part of our lives concerns to make the lives of young people and old people in Europe, particularly those who are vulnerable, more secure. But we're also negotiating with the German government to see to it that those who have not yet received pensions somehow become equal and those includes the Jews who live in the Former Soviet Union. We've had much success until now and we hope to have more success.

But the issue before us, your Honor, from the very first day and I spent years on the Balko Commission (phonetic), as you know, doing one thing and one thing first and I know you agree more than anyone else, and that is that we need first to do one thing and that is to pay all people who had accounts back, if possible. You've committed yourself, in effect, to that goal and that's our first responsibility as

1 doing some kind of justice late.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Before we get to any other aspect, that 2 will be our first responsibility. As a 3 volunteer, I came to you to deal with those people whose money was taken away, whose account has not yet been matched because of various 7 kinds of back sliding. And I have no other way but to describe it as such. They are our first 8 9 obligation because that was the first principle 10 obligation of the agreement as you sat and 11 listened to how to make justice. And we thank 12 you, your Honor.

Today, I know that you are as disappointed as I am to watch people unhappy that we have ny money left that we might or you might consider in your wisdom as your master might suggest in his wisdom, to give to people other than those who are account holders. And I hope and I trust that by the time we have completed this task, there won't be a penny that hasn't been given to an account holder.

But if there is, God forbid, and if the Swiss banks don't find a way to give us names that will match up with account holders that demand to have their money back, which is the

first obligation that we spent years sitting and negotiating with them on, it is then that I believe that you should give us the opportunity one more time of trying to homogenize the various reports, needs, so that Jews everywhere in the United States in the Former Soviet Union, in Israel, wherever they might live that are Holocaust survivors will be able to appear before you one more time and tell you what their united view is as to what their feeling is impressionistically as it may be.

I know that's why you called us together today but no one that I've ever encountered in the courtroom is more patient than you are, your Honor. And that patience is the reason we have this settlement. I ask you for one more opportunity when we return to be able to create a condition whereby all Jews who were victims will be able to agree together with you through your patience to a position that will make them feel if there's any money left, that you, your Honor, will be able to make them feel comfortable.

This is a holy task and I know of no other person besides yourself and you alone who

1 could do that. I thank you.

2

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

factors.

THE COURT: Who is next?

MR. YALOWITZ: Next, your Honor, also
from Berlin is Michael Sarel. Dr. Sarel is the
Chief Economist of Israel's Ministry of Finance.
He is a Harvard trained economist. He will
speak to the current economic conditions in
Israel and the deteriorating economy base driven
by the Interfata (phonetic) and by other

And with your Honor's permission, I will hand a copy of Dr. Sarel's CV to the Court for background and also to the special master and to the class counsel.

THE COURT: Mr. Sarel, can you hear me?

MR. SAREL: Yes and I can hear you very

well, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And we're ready to hear you speak.

MR. SAREL: Well, your Honor, I would
like to briefly explain the economic situation
and the budgetary situation in the Israel today.
Between 1948 and the early '70s, the growth rate
of the Israeli economy was high. And the
standard of living of the population gradually

which was initially very, very low and gradually started to improve. And by the early '70s, it reached about 50 percent of (unintelligible).

However, the standard of living in Israel is (unintelligible) from the standard of living in the U.S. and Western Europe since the early '70s. And after the (unintelligible), the standard of living in Israel actually deteriorated compared with the level in the U.S. And again is widening over the last 30 years.

This was the situation before the year 2000. In the year 2000, especially in the last quarter of the year 2000, the Israeli economy experienced two major shocks (unintelligible). And the first one, the most important one was the start of Palestinian terrorism which affected many segments of the economy for almost two reasons but gradually, over time, it also affected private consumption, investment, foreign investment and domestic investment.

The other major shock which occurred at the same time was the global high tech crisis. And Israel is much more dependent of the global high tech market than any other country in the world, including the U.S. And

the shame of the high tech (inaudible) and especially in (unintelligible) is very high.

So, when the global high tech crisis started in the year 2000 and the (unintelligible) a significant part of the trade industry was effected.

After that, the fiscal situation deteriorated very sharply and over a long period time on both sides of the budgetary situation. in terms of revenues, because of the recession, the result of terrorism and the global high tech crisis, government revenues declined significantly. Capital revenues reclined. Other revenues also declined.

In terms of expenditures, there was an increase in defense budget by more than ten percent between 2000 and 2003 in order to combat terrorism and to prevent terrorist acts aimed at Israeli citizens (unintelligible).

As a result of this reduction in revenues and increasing expenditures, the budget has increased and the national debt which was already at relatively high levels, like the national centers increased even farther.

And there was a significant risk of a

financial crisis. The (unintelligible) told us that they were going to reduce the safe rating.

And as a result, the government, t hey don't have any other choice but to reduce the budget deficit.

When you look at the budget of the Israeli state, a big part of it is used for defense expenditures. Another part is used to repay -- to pay interest on the national debt. It's quite high.

So, what this reflects after the two (inaudible) social expenditures. And there was not a choice not to implement significant cuts and deep cuts in those social budgets, so they (unintelligible).

Especially in 2002, we have developed high ground in significant social grounds in the budget, not only in the annual budget also between the budget. And many of the social programs were significantly reduced and (unintelligible. And all of the social expenditures were reduced.

In addition to this, we changed the (unintelligible) system for (unintelligible) benefitting the future. In the past, they were

-- the wages to government wage. In the future, they will not be (unintelligible) to government wage. As a result, we foresee a significant decline (unintelligible) but significant decline between social benefits over the next ten years.

As a result of these cuts, the (unintelligible). According to the national insurance institute, the poverty rates are more than 20 percent and they include also families with many children but also elderly parents, among them a significant part are the survivors. The weaker segments of the population were perhaps affected the most by the revision and the need of the government to cut social expenditures.

This is my summary of the economic and budget situation. I would like to make one other point regarding the (unintelligible) of costs and of benefits. As you know, the price level in Israel is very close to the price level in the United States. And is about 4 or 5,000 higher than the (unintelligible) in countries such as Russia or Ukraine. As a result when the courts are (unintelligible) how to -- how much funds are needed to increase the standard of

living of one survivor by building him out -- by keeping him out, the funds needed in Israel in order to buy the services that a survivor needs like food, housing, medical care, are about five times higher than the funds required in Russia or Ukraine.

So, any different -- any given increase in the situation of one individual, the expense is about five times higher. Thank you very much, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Who is next?

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you so much, your Honor, for accommodating the technology and also I wish to thank the Court's staff which was exemplary in helping us accommodate the technology.

You heard, your Honor, Dr. Singer and Mr. Sharansky say that the Court's first priority must be the return of property to the rightful owners, if possible. That is the policy of the State of Israel. That is the law that this Court has been following and we strongly support it.

We also believe that the Court has

correctly decided to allocate funds in the past using the cypres remedy. We support the cypres principles and we support the Court.'s determination to find and help the needlest of the looted assets class, wherever they may be. The Court, the master and lead counsel for the class have been together on that and your Honor we are with you.

We do have a factual issue which we think that the Court will know that the master has considered it with care and we hope that we can educate the Court a little bit today on the factual issue of neediness in Israel today.

You heard Dr. Sarel talk about the sobering numbers. But what do these mean? What do these numbers mean in terms of needy survivors, your Honor. And we've prepared a chart which --

THE COURT: Stand near the microphone.

I don't want to --

MR. YALOWITZ: I apologize, your Honor.

We've prepared a chart which describes the numbers of needy survivors and the ways in which they are needy. We begin, your Honor, with 500,000 survivors to date in Israel. That

figure comes from Dr. Della Pergola. He is here today and he will talk to your Honor about how those figures are derived and in particular, why his figure is somewhat higher because it includes a group that has been forgotten.

Of the 500,000, your Honor, there are now 180,000 who came from the Former Soviet Union. None of those, of course, are North African or Eastern Mediterranean survivors. They are immigrants, mainly immigrants who arrived in the last ten years.

These are the desperately poor people we have been hearing about and we've been seeing about. And that we all care for. These are -- there are now more of these desperately poor Former Soviet Union survivors living in Israel than there are living in any other place in the world. They are the responsibility of Israel to take care of and that's what Minister Sharansky said and he's right. But, your Honor, Israel does need help.

Of the 500 survivors, we estimate there are 160,000 who need winter relief. And let me tell your Honor how we estimated that number.

There is a study by the JDC Brookdale Institute

that studied neediness in Israel. It is in the 1 record before the Court, of course. It was led 2 by Jenny Brodsky, a very serious researcher. 3 And she estimated needs among all Israeli elderly and the Brandeis (phonetic) report 5 participated in by Leonard Sachs (phonetic) and 6 others, felt that the population at large, the 7 percentages of meeting the population at large 8 probably were reasonable if not a conservative 9 10 proxy for needs among the survivors.

And Professor Brodsky found 32 percent of the elderly in Israel need winter relief.

That's 160,000 survivors.

She found that 26 percent of the elderly in Israel must choose between food and other basic needs. That's 130,000 survivors.

We know that the WJRO distributed funds from the Swiss Humanitarian Fund to 120,000 needy survivors. We know --

THE COURT: We don't know that. I mean, we don't know whether they were needy or not because the Swiss Humanitarian Fund just simply gave money to anyone who said they were in need without -- it was a self-identification process. There were many people who received

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

money that may not have been in need as we would define the term. It's -- the statistic is totally misleading.

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, I perhaps stand corrected.

THE COURT: But go ahead, I don't --

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor is certainly more familiar than I. My understanding was that in the United States, it was a self-assessment test. In Israel, there was an income test. But we'll supply documentation on that to your Honor. And if we are incorrect, we will withdraw the statistic, your Honor. Our goal here is to provide information to the Court.

We know that there are 19 percent living below the Israeli poverty line. That's 95,000 survivors, your Honor. And the Israeli poverty line at today's exchange rates is \$382 a month for a context.

We know that there are 19 percent of the Israeli elderly who face food insecurity of some kind. That's 95,000 survivors. And we know that 17 percent of the elderly who Israeli recorded that they cannot afford the cost of calling or visiting their own children. That's

the situation that may survivors find themselves in Israeli; not all, but many.

So, we ask the Court to think about those figures and to think about the extent of need and how one defines need in Israel. And in other parts of the world where there are very many needy survivors.

These matters, of course, your Honor, are directed to the Court's discretion of how to allocate, where to allocate, whether to allocate and to the extent that there are factual issues, we stand ready and we welcome the opportunity to help the Court get to the accurate complete information.

There are two other items that are directed to the Court's discretion that we have brought people her to speak about. First, the question of one defines the needs of a survivor, what are the core life needs of a survivor, and to speak to that issue, your Honor, we have Will Zevv Factor. Mr. Factor is a survivor. He is the chairman of the leading private organization in Israel that provides support to survivors, the foundation for the benefit of survivors in Israel. And he will speak to your Honor.

And in addition, although we recognize that your Honor has spoken quite recently about the issue of remembrance, a representative of Yah Fashem (phonetic) has come and would like the opportunity to speak to your Honor about that. Her name is Ruth Brand, your Honor. She is a compelling person and I know that you will appreciate hearing from her.

So, I thank your Honor for the time and the opportunity. I would like also, if I may, your Honor, provide a copy of Dr. Della Pergola's CV to the Court and the master in anticipation of his speaking to the Court with permission.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Who is next?

MR. YALOWITZ: Dr. Della Pergola.

And I would also like to provide the Court with a copy of the chart with the footnote indicating the documentation, so that the Court has it.

(Pause in proceedings)

(Discussion held off the record)

THE COURT: All right.

1 Mr. Della Pergola?

DR. DELLA PERGOLA: Thank you,

your Honor.

Your Honor, Mr. Gribetz, my name is Sergio Della Pergola. I'm a professor Jewish population studies at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and my main professional interest is with the assessment and use of Jewish population data percentage, research and policy planning.

Let me open with two preliminary statements. On must express my hope that the whole amount of so far reclaimed funds will eventually be returned to the owners or descendants. And also, I feel that the Court, the special master, their teams, have so far generated an unprecedented amount of invaluable evidence for which we express respect and sincere tribute.

The fundamental assessment task is not yet complete and facing what we view as a global problem, we need a truly global overview and approach. And this I would like to provide quickly by reviewing five points. The first point is shifts in Jewish Shoah survivor population. First, once the linkage between

actual experience of suffering during Shoah, the reasons for impoverishment and current neediness is being removed from consideration. It is imperative and obviously the Shoah survivors should be defined in the most relevant and comprehensive way.

2.0

This applies looking aback at recent population trends and specifically focusing on the situation of the Shoah survivors and their location. Since they fold the Berlin wall, there has been a huge geographical redistribution of Jewish population in general and of Shoah survivors in particular.

The total number of Jews in the Former Soviet Union that was assessed at over one and a half million in 1989 is now less than 400,000 as assessed at the beginning of 2004 on the basis of fresh evidence. At the same time, the Jewish population in Israel increased, has been also a significant increase in Germany, following the same trend of international migration.

But focusing on the topic of today, between 1989 and 2003, over one and a half million Jews and their family members left the Former Soviet Union, of these more than 950,000

or 61 percent went to Israel. The age of
international migrants is known to be somewhat
selective and younger than average.. However,
immigrants to Israel have included at least
115,000 elderly out of the total immigrants from
the Former Soviet Union, many of them former
Shoah survivors.

As a consequence, the geographical distribution location of the Shoah survivors who are originally from the Former Soviet Union has changed significantly. We now know that about 445,000 Shoah survivors born in the Former Soviet Union live in the world, at least probably 146,000 live the Former Soviet Union but 180,000 live in Israel and about 120,000 live in other countries.

So, there has been a shift, not only of people that I would presume in personal characteristics and therefore the current incidents of neediness, while it's certainly higher among survivors who do live in the Former Soviet Union now, yet neediness accumulated over several decades have not disappeared instantly as the product of international migration of Jews where we know that in general international

migration is a further cause for stress and faculties, a person of psychological social economic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now once they did start coming in the treatment of Shoah survivors until well into the current proceedings was the omission for consideration of the Jews who during the relevant period of years lived in the Southern and Eastern Mediterranean countries that were subject to Nazi occupation or to its instrumentalities, agents and allies. relevant countries were Morocco, Nigeria, Tunisia, Libya, Syria and Lebanon with different intensities of sufferance but overall a total of 275 -- 207,000 Southern and Eastern Mediterranean Jews were included in global estimates of survivors that we've produced originally for the Icheck and Secretary Berger (phonetic) and then for the current proceedings which stands at around 1,029,000.

Of the southeastern Mediterranean Jews, about 87 percent originated from the three migrant countries and minor numbers for Egypt and Syria. And so they comprised roughly 19 percent of the total of world survivors. About

1 | 118,000 live in Israel, about 4,000 in the
2 | Norther America, about 85,000 in other
3 | countries, most of them in (unintelligible).

Now were we to exclude these people from our exercise of assessing the total number, interestingly, our assessment would be about 885,000 survivors. That is, if we come to a figure without those areas, that is imminently close to estimates that have been produced since the lat 1990s by other researchers and other interested bodies.

However, there is one difference and that's the physical difference in the geographical distribution. Since we are in the presence of a significant flow of international migrants, this is reflected by a gradual diminution of the share of those who are in the Former Soviet Union which is ascending country and an increase in Israel and to some extent, in North America and some other countries which are the receiving countries of such migrations.

I simply would like to insist because this has been wrongly reported in text that I have read that my estimates reflect sudden increases in the number of survivors. This is

simply to do justice with a group that has been forgotten for reasons I can't comment on and which is relevant, not less than others. It then, of course, effects other estimates including the discussion about it.

I now turn to my second point and that's the discussion of criteria and aspects for the assessment of neediness. Selection of those eligible for allocation to the needy should follow a number of principles which I believe are implemented by the Court, coherent and comprehensive in terms of the basic principles and eligibility, attributable in terms of access, opportunity to each relevant individual Shoah survivor in the world.

Equitable in terms of the validity and comparability of the sources of data used to assess the size and distribution of the eligible population. That is in terms of the relationship between allocation principles and actual needy survivor population distributions. And efficient in terms of the use of public resources in the life of different costs of living in the different countries of intervention.

Now, regarding the first principle, the special master has determined that the most urgent needs include food, winter relief and emergency cash grants to survivors facing sudden and unexpected crisis. But he has allocated second priority to home health care and medicines, medical equipment.

I think the intention, the good intention is to enhance the survival of the Shoah survivors but I feel that the distinction between food and fuel on the one hand and basic health care not otherwise covered is one that it is difficult to follow especially when the able assistance in our case cannot ignore the need to preserve basic human dignity of those assisted.

A person needs to be sufficiently healthy in order to be able to absorb food. And separation between the two things artificial and hard to explain on nullity grounds.

Now, the proportion allocation to needy Shoah survivors suggested by different projects and groups has been quite different. I would like to mention briefly an attempt I made and was submitted, although I feel it has not been received in these proceedings to treat a

systematic review of relevant data and indicators applied to the geographical distribution of the survivors.

The problem we have here is that we would like to have the ideal data, the kind of census of people worldwide, knowing exactly what they are (unintelligible) indeed are. But unfortunately, we are not in this situation. Therefore, it was suggested by me to take a kind of indirect path to assess the needs globally. The cost of living, of course, and the needs and the presence of people in the different regions of the world should be considered adequately. I'll return to this point later.

The special master has referred to the population of the needlest based on data concocted in different countries through lists of clients provided by service agencies. The problem is that there are areas not covered by those data. There are areas where the data -- the survivors are simply forgotten, perhaps because they were not sufficiently active or efficient agencies that provided the data.

I think in our strive to provide maximum compatibility, we should have at the

center of our mind the needs of the Nazi In fact, in the words of the special victims. master, the data that are reviewed in the recent April 16 report do not provide an exhaustive representation of all Nazi victim needs. data perhaps do provide a measure of the efficiency of service organization which is important but this cannot constitute the primary criteria in resource allocation. 

Again, I would like to add a comment on the Hesed data base which we very much appreciate and which has been the name or sole source of information in the Former Soviet Union. We say that intrinsicly, those databases tend to be outdated in the sense that people who died or immigrate do not take care really to get canceled. Eventually, the organization does get the information and the numbers are updated. But at any point in time, there is a certain lag between the actual amount of people to be serviced and the figures in the database.

I noticed that since the beginnings of these proceedings, the Hesed estimate has been significantly updated. We were speaking of 135,000 people at the beginning. Now we speak

about 121,000. We know that in Moldova, the figures were provided showing the amount of people who were canceled from the list.

And we would like to receive a lot of data for the larger communities in the Russian federation and Ukraine. I think that all of this shows that there is the ability to improve the data and to provide updated databases. But this takes some amount of time and this important to assess the numbers in the last resort.

Another point is that within the Hesed database as we heard this morning, there are also apparently different degrees of need, those 5,000 plus who need a daily hot meal are the most painful case and the 40,000 cases who need a package of dry food sometimes in the course of the year are more needy. There are others who perhaps are slightly less needy.

This is not to say anything else that the Hesed database is very useful and very important but it should be assessed some more in the light of preparing the quality of this data with other data and acknowledging them.

Unfortunately, we do not have such data of the

same quality and extent for some other countries in the world out of the perhaps the major three, Israel, the United States and Former Soviet Union.

I now turn to a brief review of the emerging neediness in Israel. Much as a consequence of the older but also more recent patterns of international migration, the Israeli society has faced overwhelming burden in its social security and economic standing. As mentioned earlier, the contributing factor unfortunately as been political, economic, conjecture of the last three years. But this is not the main point here.

The main point here is that thanks essentially to very good research done by the JDC Brookdale in Jerusalem, we have facts that in fact are displayed in that poster showing different levels of neediness by different criteria. The criteria referred basically to the proportion of the elderly in Israel who suffer from certain needs. It is clearly demonstrable that if that's the average and that the projected actual number for the total of the elderly among those Shoah survivors, the

## Sergio Della Pergola

situation is even worse. So, all of those data are clearly under estimates but we can take them at face value.

1

3

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, looking at the 160,000 survivors 4 5 who do not have adequate heat in the winter, the 6 130,000 who are forced to choose between for 7 basic needs such as heat, electricity, medications, medical treatment, food, the 140,000 survivors that as already mentioned were 10 deemed eligible by the Swiss fund for the needy victims of the Holocaust Shoah, which by the 11 12 test of their document included only those persons who were in need and were eligible for 13 assistance from the fund which included persons 15 if he or she was living on or below the poverty 16 line. In Israel, the poverty line amounts at roughly \$380, which adjusted for PPP is about 17 18 \$450, not (unintelligible) situation if compared to the United States. 19

And then there are others, 95,000 survivors who --

THE COURT: That's a month?

DR. DELLA PERGOLA: Sorry.

THE COURT: That's a month.

DR. DELLA PERGOLA: A month.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

DR. DELLA PERGOLA: 95,000 survivors who face severe or moderate food in security and I will also mention that 85,000 survivors who cannot afford the cost of calling or visiting their children. Your Honor, we are a very familistic society and that's a problem.

So, we have this different estimates and levels and I would end another comment or two, the Jewish population from the Southern and Eastern Mediterranean countries that has usually been ignored in the discussion about the service to Shoah survivors in Israel, they usually constitute lower than average social classes.

So, they are -- when factored in, the amount of need in Israeli society increases.

I would suggest that if we go back to that poster, not more than 160,000 people, but also not less than 95,000 people would be a reasonable assessment of the amount of very needy people who are comparable to the very needy that we know exist in the Former Soviet Union and not 20,000 as suggested in the current special master document.

I would then move to the last two

points very quickly. The point of the purchase power of the United States dollar in different countries, is a principle of maximum efficiency. This is money that has to be very special, if any. And just apply the purchase parity ratios to the percent distributions of allocations suggested by the special master in his current document, we would obtain a very different distribution of the time, which in my view would be equitable and worth of considering seriously by the Court.

The last point is an agenda for the future. It's that we share the suggestion on radio repeatedly mentioned among others by Professor Zachs (phonetic) and his associates in the Brandeis report that further search is required before a definitely optimal concept is developed with a resource allocation to needy Shoah survivors.

This search cannot be completed in such a short time as was under the stringent deadlines proposed by the Court. But it would be good that we would be pleased to be part of a collaborative effort by investigators from different countries to achieve such an endeavor

which is not only theoretical but practical, so
that in due course and soon, possibly, sensitive
recommendations can be made to assist the Court
in its allocation of scarce resources.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Factor, is he next?
Mr. Zevv Factor?

MR. FACTOR: Your Honor, allow me in this short tim given to me first to the master court, Mr. Gribetz, prepared this report and it's a basis for today's deliberations and discussions. Allow me also to -- I apologize for the mistakes that I may make in my limited English in order to bring up my case.

My name is Zevv Factor. I am as you call it, a survivor. I am not a survivor. Someone who was there never survived it. I am going with the Holocaust all of my life long, in my head, in my heart, in my feelings, in my eyes and this is a phenomena that will never be gone. There are some survivors that really believe are survivors but the truth, they know in the middle of the night, in their dreams and in the way of their life since they were liberated.

I am a prisoner of Svietdedeutsche (sic), of the concentration camp of Auschwitz and the concentration camp of Quedlinburg For the last sixty years living now in Israel. And after retirement, I promised myself to do everything possible in order to help my brothers Zuss (phonetic) who are there and are today in very, very hard conditions. You have to take also in account the fact that those people are in an ongoing war since 1939 up to this date. And no one of them entering a bus in Jerusalem or in Tel Aviv is sure that he will arrive to his destination.

Therefore, while we are talking of food, we should also take under consideration the mental stress of the people and especially of the survivors who are living today in my country. They really do believe that somebody made, as you say in America, a contract on them and is searching how to kill them in all those years. They have severe problems and they don't know by themselves and they don't have the ability to provide their needs.

In the last decade, I am leading the Foundation for the Benefit of Holocaust

Survivors in Israel. This is an NGO organization which assisted up to this very day, the last ten years, something like 70,000 survivors. This was possible and was done with the help of the Claims Conference, which is located in this town.

I believe this holds a good opportunity to say thank you for all that was done and to say thank you for what must be done in the future. We have a very special element in Israel. We have day by day more needy survivors, people who thought they will never ask for help from somebody are now forced to near our doorsteps and to ask for help in order to exist. Especially hearts broken are those who are obtaining, the so-called status of 150 percent invalids. Many people are asking me what 150 percent. Yes, we have such a thing in our social system, 150 are those who cannot exist without the help of somebody else.

And I am a little bit disappointed that those cases are not put in to the first category, except they lack food and other important things. What can a fellow really do even if his icebox is not empty if he cannot

reach out and to take out this food for his failing needs?

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Take, for example, a lonely handicapped 92 years old person in Israel who cannot move alone from his bed. I will spare the Court from specific descriptions but I would like to ask is changing his diapers so he doesn't remain in his own excrements for a whole day, less important What should we answer to this than having food? person in Israel? Even if he does have food in his icebox, how may he reach it? The major cuts in governmental social budget in Israel lack in a situation where the survivors do not get daily home care. Without the special addition aid we requested from the Court, this results is the survivor having a life that can lead only to a very fast deterioration and to death.

The same examples are correct for also basic needs for the survivors like bathing and feeding. Please note, a person without food starves and dies. A person without the needed home care rapidly dies as well, not to mention the issue of self-dignity.

We are willing to support information and documents, as well as to prepare the Court

the professional advisors regarding this issue. I would like to add one sentence more. In order 2 to live and especially in this stage, the last 3 stage of life, since survivors worldwide just 4 reached someone needs, also something for his Sometimes, we know exactly that this person that passed away, he passed away only because he was lonely. And we have to support 8 9 this person because he expressed the need to learn and lacked the amore (sic) with somebody. 10 If somebody doesn't understand what that means, 11 12 he needs to read the Holy Book with somebody because it was the way he was used to his whole 13 life. 14

The budget that we are operating with is very, very limited and, of course, we know what the limits are. We cannot provide this help to all said because that is what we are getting. It's the moment from the Claims Conference, from the ICHECK settlement and as we call it in Israel, from the Swiss Bank settlement. I take the opportunity to thank to you, Judge Korman and to all the other organizations who helped us all the way along.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Ruth Brand

paid off the monies that are belong to the people that I have to stress and to underline but don't postpone any steps that you can make today because tomorrow, it might be too late.

Thank you for your patience.

THE COURT: Ruth Brand.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My name is Ruth Brand. MS. BRAND: now live in Israel for the last 33 years but I do remember when I came to this court and got my citizenship papers here. I lived here 25 years. I came to visit relatives that found me after the war and they invited me to come and visit. I came for a short visit, like three months and I stayed 25 years because I was introduced to a very nice young man who had been discharged from the American army at that time, where he had served for five and a half years. And on our second date, he proposed marriage. I did marry him after a lot of consideration because I didn't think an American can understand us after what we went through but I considered that five and a half years in the army made him understand a lot, more than the average person.

At age 16, I was taken to Birkenau with my family. And the first day, we got there

do do it with a purpose. I feel it's a mission. 1 I do speak to young people and to older people 2 because the memory of those who died must not be 3 forgotten. I was even surprised to hear even about the people who perished and left their 5 money in Switzerland. If you would ask them if 6 they could tell us they would say, remember us. 7 Just like those people who when they were taken 8 chosen to go to the gas chamber, all their 9 clothes were taken away and everything else and 10 11 they have nothing left with them. They would puncture their finger and in that room write on 12 13 the wall, "Don't forget us. Remember us." "Nekome." 14 Nekome means revenge. And we must not forget that. 15

I'm not so young anymore. I don't have much strength but I do go to Poland, go back to hell with groups. So far I went eight times and in the next two weeks I am going with a group with the Israeli Air Force.

Why am I doing this? Simply because we must not forget. We must tell what went through -- what our people suffered and they have to remember. And I ask them usually to be my ambassadors to remember and not to forget and to

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Ruth Brand

tell their children and grandchildren eventually because that's the only link in the chain that we have. Not many of us are alive anymore. Not many of us will be around for many years. And then who will tell and what will be told? This, to me, is very important.

For that -- and I want you to know, your Honor, that I am one of those survivors who did not ask for any restitutions from the Germans. There are many of us like that. We are too insulted and we didn't want them to wash their blood off of their hands with money.

During the Eichmann trial when I heard interviews, some German students were interviewed and they were asked, "How do you feel about the six million slaughtered Jews?" And they said, "We pay for it." As simply as that, they made peace with that that they paid for it, so why worry.

And then I said "I don't want anything from them." I worked very hard and so did my husband in bringing up our children, our family but my goal was to reach Israel to help build a country where things like this will never happen again.

FEMALE VOICE: (unintelligible).

THE COURT: Please, please.

MS. BRAND: And in conclusion, I have a little story that I tell every group that I am lecturing to and there are many. Last week, there was Yomashowa (phonetic). I was spoke in eight different places, not the same day, they had to wait a day or a day before, for the same reason.

Now, this little anecdote that I have to tell is like this. My profession here in the United States was sewing bridal gowns. It's a happy occasion and the life of a bride. And when I reached Israel, there were those people who knew about me and came and also asked me to continue. I continued. And when I finished one bridal gown, the mother of the bride came over to me. She said, "Tell me, what made you choose this profession? I see your ability. I see your artistic ability. I see how happy it makes you to work at it. But what made you choose it?"

I said, "Well, because they didn't allow me to go to school. I went to them and I went to learn a trade."

### Ruth Brand

She said, "What? Why didn't they allow you to go to school?"

I said, "Because, I am Jewish."

I said, "Because, I am Jewish.'
She said, "What, in America?"

I said, "No, no, no. My husband was born in America. My children were born in America. But I came to America after the war."

She said, "After the war? You mean, you're a survivor?"

I said, "Yes."

She said, "I don't believe you."

I said, "I'll show you my number."

She said, "I still don't believe you."

I said, "Why?"

"You don't look it."

I said, "How am I supposed to look?"

And for the first time in my life I hear I am supposed to have some special look.

She said, "You are not sad."

I said, "Yes, my dear new friend. I am not sad. Because in 1944, the Germans wanted to kill me but they decided to let me live so I can work hard. But I am still here. And my dear friend, when I wake up in the morning and I say, "Modayami" (phonetic). I say thank you Lord to

I know what it means. Because 1 return my soul. I was so close to death, life has a very special 2 meaning for me. And when I see it's a new day, 3 I know that it's a new gift. And with this gift, I do as I please and it pleases me to be 5 Not sad for many other happy and not sad. Many of my friends had no sufficient 7 strength to build a family. Many of my friends 8 said why bring children into this horrible 9 10 world? But I did have enough 'der koyakh.' That's strength, inner strength. And I did 11 marry and I did have children. God blessed me 12 13 with four sons, 11 grandchildren and by now, 14 four great grandchildren. And I'm alive. 15 alive in our own country and hope that this will 16 never happen again to any of us, to nobody in 17 the whole world because what happened to us, is 18 just undescribable and unbelievable, too." I also made a contract without signing 19 20 it with "Hachadosh Bihrahome", with God while I 21 was working hard in Auschwitz and Bergen-Belsen. 22 And I said, "Please, dear God, help me survive. 23 I must live so I can tell what happened to us." 24 And at the liberation, when I was in Bergen-25 Belsen on the floor with high temperature and

# Paul Berger

diapers, and when the English, the British soldiers walked in and said, "You are liberated, you are free. You can go home even."

And I started to cry for the very first 'time and took the whole experience, I cried and I said, "Big deal, I remained alive for whom and for what?"

But now I know. God helped me survive and I will talk as much as I can and as much as he will give me life. And thank you so much for listening.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. BRAND: And I hope that you will allocate to Yavashim an amount, so they can continue with their very important work. They are the ones to remember who are working at this very hard and I am on full support for them.

And all of us who can and volunteer to help this, I bless them. And thank you very much again.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Paul Berger.

MR. BERGER: Judge, I guess this is the first time in my 47 years of practice that I've cried in the courtroom. I don't believe that,

with all due respect, if anyone is left with any 1 2 legal issues, I think you've established the law of this case. It's been approved by the Courts. 3 You are, I believe and special master and the deputy special masters, wrestling with issues 5 that have no answers. And they're the kind of 6 7 issues that I have spent more time on over the 8 47 years as a lay person in the Jewish 9 community, in my own community of Washington, where I am still presently in (unintelligible) a 10 program and partnership with the Joint and 11 12 Israel to deal with the Ethiopian children.

In the eight years I spent as the chairman of the budget and finance committee of the Jewish Agency during the period of time that we were bringing in the Jews from the Former Soviet Union and Ethiopia. And these questions of how do you take resources that are inadequate and do justice? The questions for which there are no answers. Yet you have to do a job.

We have tried to focus on the standards set by this court and we've tried to put before you data which will help determine where are the neediest survivors today? Where are the neediest survivors today? There's a

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

disagreement among the experts. I hope that 1 there will be opportunity for these experts, all 2 with good will and extraordinary capability to sit together and to try to narrow the 4 5 differences. And if necessary, that there be an 6 evidentiary hearing before you to try to have a standard on the question of where are the 7 neediest today that can e applied in a manner that will allow a further thing to happen.

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The survivor communities around the world today, while they fully, I think, at least they're told, that the best has been done by the special master and the Judge to do justice. They still have a sense of that justice hasn't been done and that there hasn't been a standard of application of neutral principles fairly applied.

I would hope that with some greater consensus among the experts as to where, in fact, today the neediest survivors exist and then perhaps as Israel Singer said that the organizations that are most run forums, the good, all of the great, all of them for which I am truly thankful, might put together the best available data today, that still can be put together in a relatively short time and bring before the special master and the Judge, a moral and principled consensus not a political judgment, not a judgment derived from power and not a judgment derived simply from emotion but one that can assist in this extraordinarily thankless task of trying to address what you have before you in a way that can allow you to feel that you've done all that you can, not as a Judge because the legal issues are resolved but as a person who history has given an opportunity to face a small residue of the remnants of the Nazi war machine.

hope that Professor Newborne with the assistance of the Court will be successful in tracking down as quickly as possible, those who are entitled to the bank accounts and at the same time while this effort is being done, in the event that there are excess funds, what you stated can be put together. That is to say, a mechanism that in the event there are any excess funds, you can deal with them expeditiously and without further delay, so that I do think that this process while the effort is being made very quickly to

### Leonard Saxe

get the assets into the hands of the depositors of the heirs, to the extent it's possible.

And that the record can be perfected, the effort can be approved and you and the special master, the deputy special master will have a better opportunity, I respectfully submit, to achieve what I hope will happen. And that is all of the survivor communities, while they will not be satisfied in their needs, will feel satisfied or comforted that principles have been fairly applied across the board.

Thank you for your continuing effort in what is really at the same time a thankless task and an extraordinary opportunity.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Leonard Saxe.

MR. SAXE: Thank you, your Honor. My name is Leonard Saxe. I'm a professor of social policy at Brandies University and I'm pleased to appear today to summarize an assessment of available data on the needs of Nazi victims.

Research is supposed to be dispassionate but one can't study human behavior well without passion. Like other witnesses who have appeared today, I have intense feelings

about the issues raised in these proceedings.

The horrific inhumanity of the Nazis and their collaborators profoundly touch my own family and it touches all of us.

The Court needs to make allocations about allocating funds that in this imperfect world are nonetheless vital to enable victims of Nazi persecution to live the remainder of their lives with dignity. My goal is to aid the Court by synthesizing objective data about the needs of these victims.

The work I am going to discuss was done with a team from Brandeis University. It was originally requested by the Joint and more recently we've worked directly on behalf of the Court. Our analysis reflect application of established social science standards. We have not tried to view the data selectively or to orient conclusions to fit any preconceived notions. We have none to begin with. Our only goal has been to identify those who are most needy.

In our report submitted in January,

Jewish elderly Nazi victims, we know that it is

exceedingly difficult and in some respects odeus

(sic) to compared victims. It is possible, however, to make comparisons of victims relative health and socioeconomic status and to compare the adequacy of the services that various countries provide to their elderly. Our report provides such information.

We compare victim circumstances based on multiple indicators drawn from dozens of sources. Our analyses demonstrate the deprivation of Nazi victims worldwide and in particular indicate that the largest number of Nazi victims live in an abject poverty, reside in the countries of the FSU.

A particularly important source of data for this conclusion was the information system maintained by the Hesed centers in the FSU. We examined that data set carefully. We examined data for all 225,000 elderly individuals who have received services there during the past year. Almost half, 125,000 approximately, were Nazi victims.

Although some have questioned the reliability of the Hesed data, we've analyzed it very closely and we find no evidence of systematic over counting or inclusion of

1 individuals who are no longer living. We've not
2 interviewed clients but we are convinced that
3 the data base provides an accurate portrait.

The United States and Israel, there are no parallel sources of information. Certainly poor victims live here and in Israel. The economic and social welfare systems of the United States and Israel are far more extensive than those in the FSU. And although there is no question that there are inequalities in access to services, and that Israel in particular is experiencing severe economic distress, but the United States and Israel remain world leaders in the quality of their health and the social welfare systems.

In contrast, the services available to victims in the FSU, particularly if one excludes what is provided by Hesed centers, are almost incomparably poor. Although there are significant differences across the FSU, those in Russia, Ukraine, Moldova, Belarus, experience poorly organized public programs, ineffective delivery systems and bear the brunt of weak economies that make it difficult to sustain health and welfare services. It seems critical

#### Leonard Saxe

to continue to supplement the needs of the most vulnerable in these countries.

Although our conclusion is that Nazi victims in the FSU are severely disadvantaged both in absolute and relative terms, this should not obscure or lead to indifference regarding victims in the United States and Israel. Our analysis demonstrate that relative to other Jewish populations, Nazi victims in Israel and the United States suffer from disadvantages that reflect the terrible legacy of persecution.

Moreover to the extent that there are problems of poverty and lack of access to service systems here in the United States, they largely involve immigrants from the FSU.

It's been proposed as part of the submission by the government of Israel and the WJRO that a formula be applied to determine allocations. We've ruled the formula developed by Professor Dell Pergola and submit today our report on the proposed allocation model that this suggests.

To summarize the findings of this analysis, we reject the premise that national level data can serve as a proxy for actual data

about victims. Such national level data has gross domestic product, of life span, et cetera are not necessarily indicators of the needs of Nazi victims, the needs of the elderly.

And some of the proposed factors, such as gender equities, immigration load, social status, are not even comparable very easily across societies.

Despite the goal of developing an objective measure of neediness, the choice of which indicators to include is a value based judgment. And depending upon how they are statistically combined, one can arrive at different conclusions.

Perhaps more importantly, application of the proposed formula results in an outcome determined almost entirely by the estimated number of Jewish Nazi victims in each region.

This, in turn, in the case of the application of this model results in a dramatic increase in the allocation for Israel because the formula is so dependent on demographics, the key to the increase for Israel is the conclusion that there are far more Jewish Nazi victims in Israel than previous estimates.

### Leonard Saxe

Although emigration from the FSU to Israel has increased, the number of victims it needs to serve, the difference in demographic estimates is primarily attributable to the inclusion of Jews from North Africa and the Middle East.

Although there's no question that some living outside of Europe, in North Africa, in the Middle East who then immigrated to Israel who were victims of Nazi persecution, our own analysis of Israeli survey data and of the Israeli survey of the elderly results in a different conclusion.

Our analysis indicate that approximately 20 percent of those born in North African and Middle Eastern countries believe that they lived under or exposed to Nazi rule or influence. They should, of course, be included as Professor Della Pergola argues but -- as part of the victim population, but only according to the proportion that they represent.

At the core of the dilemma faced by the Court and the uncertainty about how much will be available for distribution, special masters proposed allocation procedure and based on the

identification of people in need and the resources required to address their needs, seems in light of this uncertainty to be appropriate and reasonable.

At the same time, I welcome the suggestion of Mr. Berger and as I previously said to you that to the extent that there are fact based differences and that a meeting of experts could resolve some of these differences, I think it is an important effort to entertain.

I would also like to suggest that a mechanism should be developed to evaluate programs and services that are provided.

Ongoing assessment of how these funds serve needy victims, seems to me to a means to insure that the available funds are used, as well as possible and not delay in providing aid any longer than necessary but also hold organizations that provide services accountable.

It pains me to witness some of the controversy that has been evoked by the Court's rulings and the special masters report. I hope that our work offers some reasonable analysis which can assist the Court. Sixty years ago, the world turned a deaf ear and refused to act

to save those who were being persecuted by the Nazis. There is no way to repair that damage, no way to restore the lives, the millions who were brutally murdered or to make whole the many millions more who were driven from their home.

The very least we can do is to try to insure that needy victims, wherever they live, get every bit of support we can provide; it seems to me not a job solely for this court. Whatever it is possible, under the terms of Swiss Bank settlement should be only one part of the aid that we provide to elderly Nazi victims. Shame on us, sham eon the rest of the world if we fail to address the needs of all victims.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Swift.

MR. SWIFT: Good afternoon, your Honor.

As you know, I am co-chair of the Claims Executive Committee and I'm also the Settlement Class Counsel.

I am one of the three persons who were integrally involved in settling the Swiss Bank claims and I was one of the principle negotiators of the written settlement agreement before your Honor. I've also been involved in

the negotiation and the litigation of a variety of Holocaust class actions and distribution plans. And I represent the entire class and thousands of class members, individually.

The first point that I would like to mention is that we're or the Court is about ready to embark on the largest cypres award by a court in American juris prudence. It will dwarf any other cypres award by many multiples.

We have very little guidance from the second circuit on it. And the seminal case appears to be Agent Orange. The first or the second point I wish to make is that I believe it's appropriate at this time and I believe it was appropriate six months go to have kept the deposited asset claims of \$200 million.

There is very good, specific evidence to support that. First of all, your Honor did cap them early on at \$800 million. You've accepted Paul Volcker's range, recommended range of \$200 to \$800 million. It should now appear based upon four years of claims experience that we will not get to \$200 million.

THE COURT: That's not true but I don't want to argue the point with you.

Go ahead.

1.

MR. SWIFT: There are two things, of course, that are taking place; one is that the CRT is adjudicating what claims remain and secondly, they are trying to match claims with people that have not made claims even though the class notice was adequate when it was sent out.

THE COURT: I don't understand, the match claims of people who have not made claims?

MR. SWIFT: That's right, your Honor.

There's -- as I understand it, the CRT is trying match claims based upon databases and Swiss Bank records.

THE COURT: Right, the matching

MR. SWIFT: But not necessarily where people have submitted claims.

THE COURT: That's not true.

MR. SWIFT: Well, that was my

understanding. If I'm in error, I apologize.

THE COURT: That's not my understanding but go ahead.

MR. SWIFT: The point, of course, is that in the last eight and a half months, there has only been the payout of an additional \$8 million by the CRT based on the information that

1 | I have seen.

THE COURT: I don't think that that's accurate either. But go ahead. .

MR. SWIFT: Does your Honor have a more 'accurate figure?

THE COURT: I don't have it in front of me. I didn't know we were going to be discussing this. But that's simply not so.

MR. SWIFT: The figure that I saw in the special masters report, your Honor, is that today under CRT II, a total of \$138 million plus --

THE COURT: I think it's closer to \$148 million. But go ahead. Make your point. I don't want to argue --

MR. SWIFT: But the point is that -THE COURT: An additional \$10 million
or \$11 million was awarded from CRT I which
comes out of the settlement fund. So, we're
close to \$160 million in awards. But it's not
enough and I'm troubled by -- I've said before
earlier that I'm troubled by the slowness of the
process and I've taken additional steps to speed
it up.

MR. SWIFT: Well, we've been at the

process for a considerable period of time and I think that the time has come where through the people need to say this is a reasonable number at which to cap it and we need to distribute the remaining money to people who would be eligible in the looted assets subclass because time is running out for many of them. Many of them are elderly as we've heard this morning.

My next point, your Honor, is that the legal standards to be applied here based upon Agent Orange is that when money can be distributed on a claims made basis, the Court may look to an equivalent distribution based on cypres to the entire class. But what the Court can't do, I submit, is to single out a subclass of the needlest and say that that satisfies the agent orange standard.

It is a question of matching the persons to be benefitted with the people in the class. There has to be congruence between the people being benefitted and the people in that subclass because your Honor has ruled and the second circuit has upheld the fact that we cannot do distribution to the looted assets sub class based on a claims made basis. I accept

1 | that.

with a solution for a cypres award which benefits the entire class. The down side to that is that legal claims will be made by members of the looted assets sub class that they receive no benefits from this, therefore they weren't adequately represented and, therefore, the release is not valid. The release was the quid pro quo or the \$1.25 million settlement.

And I think based upon precedent both in the Second Circuit, which I've cited and the Supreme Court, there must be that congruence.

The next point I wish to make is that the proposal that I made on behalf of the class is the only proposal that satisfies the Second Circuit criteria for a cypres distribution. I propose the reimbursement of medical expenses or medical insurance premiums up to \$1,000 to all members of the -- actually I was proposing it to the Holocaust survivor members of the looted assets subclass. If -- there is an issue between survivors and heirs, which your Honor is very familiar with and which we tried to deal with to some extent in the settlement agreement.

1 The -- dealing with healthcare satisfies a 2 universal need. We've certainly heard from many 3 of the people about that this morning. reaches all members of the looted assets subclass or Holocaust survivors. 5 galatarian (sic). You give people freedom of 6 7 They don't have to be handed food choice. Some people may prefer food packs but I 8 packs. 9 believe it's relatively small compared to all those who would prefer to be given legal tender 10 11 or a reimbursement procedure where they decide -12

enough money to do this, \$1,000 for medical insurance would last everybody in the class, assuming there was even an amount for about three months to six months if that. I mean your proposals are totally unrealistic. If that's what's required by the Second Circuit, then the Second Circuit is going to have to order.

MR. SWIFT: The proposal is also nonsectarian. It can be rapidly administered
worldwide. It distributes what I believe should
be \$600 million within one to two years. It
permits close monitoring and supervision to

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Thane Rosenbaum

avoid fraud and the use of accounting professionals and the administration costs I've estimated about one percent of the \$600 million which includes loss of class notice.

Finally, the relief that I would request would be for your Honor to direct the special master to implement the proposed plan that I've proposed by delivering a plan of implementation within the 30 days.

Unless your Honor has any questions, that's it for now.

THE COURT: Your application is denied.

Mr. Thane Rosenbaum.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Judge Korman, thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak to you today and to address these proceedings.

My name is Thane Rosenbaum. I am a human rights law professor at Fordham Law School. I'm also a novelist and essayist who writes frequently on Holocaust related themes. I've just recently published a book on the failure of the legal system to provide moral justice and funding. I'm also a child of Holocaust survivors.

I am here today not as a representative

of any particular group or organization. I am not asking for money. What I am asking for, however, is the restoring of dignity to this restitution process. The legacy of these proceedings matters a great deal. The precedent it creates, the impression it leaves, the memory that it honors is, in many respects as important as the money that it distributes.

Actually today, I would like to think that I speak on behalf of the dead. They, too should be factored into these proceedings. The dead cannot make monetary claims but the dead have the right to assert moral claims on all of us.

The task before you, Judge Korman, is an unenviable one. It is a true solomonic dilemma. There are far too few unclaimed looted assets. There are far too many Holocaust survivors worldwide who seek a share of these insufficient settlement funds.

Yet, as you have indicated, you intend to disproportionately favor the survivors of the Former Soviet Union in your allocation decision. They will ultimately receive a greater amount of the settlement proceeds. Regardless of their

proportionate numbers, regardless of the needs of the Holocaust survivors who lived elsewhere anywhere in the world and regardless of where there is a direct connection between the origins of these looted assets and the property lost by the survivors of the Former Soviet Union during the war.

THE COURT: First of all, I don't know where you're getting all of this regardless' from.

MR. ROSENBAUM: The dictionary?

THE COURT: Well, I don't understand this regardless. First of all, I think we have to begin by the fact that since the end of World War II some \$53 billion has been provided in restitution to survivors of the Holocaust -- let me finish -- including what has been paid out of the Swiss settlement fund. Of that amount, .8 percent or \$444 million has gone to survivors of the Former Soviet Union. \$14 billion has gone to survivors in the United States. And so, that's the first point.

And the second point is is that I'm not deciding things on the basis of nationality. I find that talking about the United States

getting 4 percent or the Former Soviet Union getting 75 percent is not my basis for the decision of where the needlest people are. I'm willing to engage in a dialogue on that. But that's the basis for the decision.

To the extent that there seems to be more money going to needy people in the Former Soviet Union it's because of the judgment that's been made up to now that that's where the neediest people are. And so, there's no regardless there.

And, in fact, out of the Swiss settlement fund, as of today, in terms of money that has been paid out as opposed to money that's been paid out and were allocated, more money has gone to survivors in the United States than in the Former Soviet Union.

MR. ROSENBAUM: But that's because it's their money.

THE COURT: Well, I understand that but, first of all, I am not disputing it.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Well, then we should emprise ourselves on giving people back money for what is --

THE COURT: Well, no, we should -- no.

MR. ROSENBAUM: -- we will return money as a human nation.

THE COURT: But it's not a question of pride or not pride. It's a question of truth and stating facts accurately. And if you want to set up some sort of a conflict between monies, X number of dollars going to the Former Soviet Union and X number of dollars going to the United States --

MR. ROSENBAUM: I never said that.

THE COURT: -- then we should say how much money went here. And if you want to drop a footnote and say well, it went to people who were slave laborers or it went to people who own bank accounts, that's fine. But one of the things that's wrong with this whole debate is misinformation. And the misinformation is somehow that American survivors have not really benefitted from the Swiss settlement. Now, they may have benefitted because the money was theirs but they benefitted and the truth ought to be stated accurately.

MR. ROSENBAUM: First of all, your Honor, I am not, as I said in the outset, I am not here on behalf of a running organization or

any country for that matter. I am here to speak on behalf of any survivor wherever they lived depending upon what their experiences were and what they lost. And that loss and the witness of what they experienced during the Holocaust entitles them to a priority position when it comes to funds that were generated and recovered on their behalf.

May I continue, your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Thank you so much.

This aspiration, noble and socially progressive as it may be, to my mind presents enormous moral implications. And ironically, it is similar to the kind of redistributed logic that most people had ended with the fall of the Soviet Union.

I realize that you believe that the decision to allocate the money to where the need is the most present and desperate is the best among all of the impossible choices before you. But I fear that while it is socially corrective and undeniably charitable, it may also be morally misguided.

You see, there is a long history,

your Honor, in art, culture, politics, that even law that Holocaust survivors, those who witnessed the Nazi horrors, those who survived the concentration camps, automatically obtained a privileged position whenever it comes to matters pertaining to Nazi genocide. can't, as a matter of basic moral justice and decency be deprived of legal standing to speak in any forum or tribunal about the horrors they witnessed. 

THE COURT: Nobody is depriving them of the right to speak.

MR. ROSENBAUM: And similarly, they shouldn't be deprived of money that derives from their losses, particularly if they have needs that are being unmet elsewhere. These people are indeed iconic figures. They have become metaphors for mass murder. The Nazis gave them special forbidden knowledge. They observed firsthand inhumanity at its most barbaric and extreme.

It is their unspoken testimony that sets them apart from the rest of us even from the rest of all other Holocaust survivors. It is what makes them entitled to everything we can

do and anything they wish to say.

There is no question that those people who fled Eastern Europe and avoided the camps all together found themselves caught on the wrong side of the iron curtain. They left behind a great deal of people and possession they could never reclaim. And after the war, they suffered enormously under communism and they have continued to suffer economically in the ravaged evolution of the post Soviet society.

But the cruelties and deprivations of communism are legally and morally not the subject of these restitution proceedings. And frankly, while it is true that many of these Russians experienced terrible hardships after the Holocaust, they were fortunate to be in the Soviet Union during the Holocaust. They showed great instincts, fortitude and courage in venturing east but some sacrifices ultimately saved their lives.

Now I know, your Honor, that I am now treading on the definition of what constitutes a Holocaust survivor which this court has apparently already settled by giving it the most

expansive application possible.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: No, even on the terms of 2 your arguing it becomes very difficult. 3 basically taking the position of the German 4 government in terms of reparations by strict 5 definitions of who constitutes a survivors; six 6 months in a concentration camp. If not six 7 months in a concentration camp, they don't view 8 you as a survivor. How many months in a 9 10 concentration camp? How many months in a 11 ghetto? And how are we going to sit down and sit down and calculate this? 12

This is exactly what the German government has done. They forced this on the claims conference and becuase the claims conference gives out the money, the claims conference takes the blame for this type of analysis. There's simply no way to measure suffering.

MR. ROSENBAUM: I understand that, your Honor, but just -- I think my next point speaks to that. The larger point, your Honor, surely Holocaust victims who survived the worst of the Nazi murder machine and had present economic and medical needs, regardless of where

they now live are entitled to participate in this process and receive restitution funds.

To the extent to which survivors which such genocidal pedigrees are excluded from this court's consideration is not only an affront to the living but also a desecration to the memory of the dead.

How is it that someone who survives
Sobipor now finds himself in a lesser position
of priority and entitlement that someone who
managed to escape to Siberia?

THE COURT: Well, first of all, that's not the criteria for distinguishing between the two. The person -- if they're both in need, they both benefit.

MR. ROSENBAUM: But not in proportion to this --

MALE VOICE: But this very --

THE COURT: There's no way to measure that. There's no way to go down that road of measuring degrees of sufferance is an impossible legal road to go down.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Except, your Honor, you yourself have said that the Jews of the Former Soviet Union are double victims. And I would

submit to you that the Nazis had no --

THE COURT: They were double victims because they got no reparations. Part of their victimhood, part of their double victimhood was that the Germans paid no reparations up until the late '90s to people who were living in the Former Soviet Union. And even when they're paying reparations, now they're using your formula of trying to measure degrees of sufferance which is unfortunate.

But the basic fact is is that while \$53 billion has been paid out to survivors since the end of the war, the people in the Former Soviet Union have gotten next to nothing in comparison to that \$53 billion or next to nothing in comparison to the \$14 billion that the survivors in the United States have gotten and who have lived in a country where there is a substantial social safety net.

MR. ROSENBAUM: But why must we redress that wrong by using the Swiss settlement funds to compound the injury? Why not say that the real issue should be to enter into negotiations with the Germans all over again to take care of people that were missed during the first round.

THE COURT: Well, then -- yes, we can say that and when it happens, it happens. But the fact of the matter is, I have to deal with an element of reality and immediacy.

MR. ROSENBAUM: I understand, your Honor. But the point is the vernacular that we used here by double victims, the fact is, the Nazis have no agenda for Jews --

THE COURT: Which was coined not by me but by Stuart Eisenstat (phonetic) who negotiated --

MR. ROSENBAUM: Well, I --

THE COURT: -- the most recent reparation agreements.

MR. ROSENBAUM: The Nazis, your Honor, had no agenda for Jews other than for mass death.

THE COURT: That's right.

MR. ROSENBAUM: They would never have contemplated life in the Soviet Union as a sufficient punishment for the Jews of Europe. The final solution was not about hardship but about annihilation.

If you do nothing else, please, please do not mix the metaphor. Such a twisted

formulation of comparative suffering is an indignant into those who witnessed the Nazis, the real Nazis in action. What happened in Auschwitz was incomparable. Being a casualty of communism is in no way the same thing as having been a survivor of the Holocaust.

This court seems to be saying, your Honor, that the very people who are entitled to restitution, given what they witnessed, given what they survived, given what they lost, should instead look to Jewish institutions for charity, while those who properly should seek charity from Jewish institutions instead are to receive restitution from money that in all probability is not even traceable to their losses.

THE COURT: Well, in all probability -MR. ROSENBAUM: It's simply,
your Honor, unconscionable for those whose needs
are great who witnessed the worst and who are
now suffering from the consequences from what
they saw to be disallowed from receiving their
fair share of these restitutional proceeds.

THE COURT: Well, I don't understand -- do you mean needy or just everybody?

MR. ROSENBAUM: No, I am specifically talking about need.

THE COURT: Well, okay.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Anybody with need.

THE COURT: So, you're excluding a substantial number. You're excluding, for example, most of the neediest survivors in the United States.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Yes, many, I would say

10 -

THE COURT: In fact, the studies that have been relied upon by --

MR. ROSENBAUM: Well --

THE COURT: -- people supporting money for "The United States" indicate that it's only about one percent of the survivors who came here before 1965 are in desperate need.

MR. ROSENBAUM: So, wait, are you acknowledging then that the survivors in the United States actually have serious medical and economic needs?

THE COURT: The question is of degree.

Of course there are needs. But there are also needs being met in New York where 55 percent of the survivors in the United States live. There

is an extraordinary social safety net that provides a substantial amount of support that's simply not available elsewhere.

And for me not to take that into account, to not to take into account what is available because there are survivors living essentially in a rich country and in a state that has provided substantial social safety net would be wrong.

The question is will you judge need by what people have available to them and what people have available to them in the United States and in Israel is a substantial social safety net.

MR. ROSENBAUM: But, your Honor, restitution is not the same thing as charity.

THE COURT: It's not.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Restitution is legally and morally very specific. You receive it for what happened to you and what you lost at the time the injury occurred. Restitution is not as fungible and adaptable as you are envisioning. It is not discretionary relief.

THE COURT: No, you have to understand, part of your premisses are simply wrong. The

looted assets class is for people who lost
assets not for people who necessarily suffered.
It's a class of people who lost assets. If you
were a flight case and you ran from the Nazis,
you lost property.

MR. ROSENBAUM: For which --

THE COURT: And what that looted assets class is intended to -- that particular class is intended to compensate for is lost property.

Now it's impossible to recompensate everybody for lost property. So, we've undertaken a cypres.

reasoning is that somehow the looted assets class is intended to compensate for sufferance which it's not. It's intended to compensate for loss of property. And becuase it's impossible to compensate for loss of property because there is simply not enough money available, we've chosen the alternative of giving to the neediest. So, the underlying premise of your whole argument here today is totally and completely flawed.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Well, I respectfully disagree.

THE COURT: And to the extent that the money now that we're talking about going forward is coming from a deposited assets class, it's coming from people who deposited assets and who died. And that's the cypres that we're using. Again, the test is not one of sufferance. I don't want to minimize the degree of sufferance that people underwent --

MR. ROSENBAUM: No, but --

THE COURT: -- particularly those who were in the camps and we've heard some moving stories here today but the underlying premise of your argument that this is -- the fund here is intended to compensate sufferance is simply wrong.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Well, your Honor, historically sufferance has always factored into decisions for restitution purposes. And secondly, in every other context --

THE COURT: Well, I mean, we're talking restitution here in the context of a legal case. I've always thought that it was a mistake to have this all done in the context of a legal case, that it would have been much better if there were --

MR. ROSENBAUM: You may be right.

THE COURT: -- if it were done in government to government negotiations and where allocations were made without reference necessarily to where the money was coming from.

MR. ROSENBAUM: But it's clear, nonetheless, your Honor, of two things; one, it strikes me that we haven't undertaken the kind of efforts to find out, to trace the property to where the people lived.

If you really believe it's a looted stolen property assets case, then all efforts should be made to try to find out where the people are connected to that. This court obviously believes that's not an important enterprise because it's --

THE COURT: It's not a question of what's important. It's a question of what's practical.

MR. ROSENBAUM: But, your Honor, you can't use money that derives from recovered stolen property and use it to address post Holocaust calamities. There is no question --

THE COURT: And the money comes from -- the only claims here that had any legal merit

were the deposited assets class. It came from people who deposited money in Swiss bank accounts and who never got the money becuase they perished in the Holocaust and whose heirs never got the money. And that's where it came from.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, just a few more comments and I'll relieve my time.

Obviously, Russian Jews should be included in this restitution process but not in such disproportionate numbers that defeat the claims of other survivors around the world who have present needs and urgent circumstances.

THE COURT: Well, what about Russian survivors who came to the United States and Israel? Where do you put them?

MR. ROSENBAUM: It's not -- it's simply -- look, your Honor, you've made this allocation decision. I haven't. I would have made it solely --

THE COURT: No, I'm asking where --

MR. ROSENBAUM: -- based on absolute --

THE COURT: -- you put them.

MR. ROSENBAUM: -- need.

THE COURT: I'm asking where you put

1 them, that's all. I want to know whether you exclude them.

MR. ROSENBAUM: It doesn't matter where you live.

THE COURT: Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

MR. ROSENBAUM: If you have a demonstrated need, you deserve and are entitled to a seat at the table and to receive some proceeds from the --

THE COURT: I agree with that.

MR. ROSENBAUM: -- regardless of where you live, regardless if you're American, I am not questioning what the American --

THE COURT: I agree with all of that. Then we're in agreement.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Except that we may be -

THE COURT: And we're also in a

substantial agreement. When we first started -not we, necessarily you and I, but when I first
had the first allocation hearing, the argument
was that we should only give money to survivors.
We shouldn't give money to organizations. That

24 was an argument that was made and made --

25 argument that was made, that was listened to and

is reflected in the special masters report. Up until today, not a single penny has gone to any organization other than to be distributed to --

MR. ROSENBAUM: That's good to hear.

and the special masters report continues that. So, half the argument that was made by people like my friend, Leo Rechter, has been adopted. And the only other -- the only issue in dispute now in many ways, is a narrow issue. It's how do we define survivors -- how would we define needy survivors and how we define need and how we give it out directly to survivors.

MR. ROSENBAUM: The legacy of the Swiss banking case, your Honor, should not be remembered ultimately as having allowed desperate needy survivors to die in this court's watch. Clearly, clearly, we all deplore the dog-eat-dog dimensions of these proceedings. It is unfortunate that it has come to this and you are again in a truly difficult position and I applaud what you said earlier, that it would be better for this to have not to have been in your courtroom at the outset.

But I urge you that whatever you do,

please do not trivialize and desecrate the memory of those died in the most ghastly and extraordinary ways by equating it with the post war suffering that occurred in the Soviet Union.

If art, culture, politics and law over the past 60 years has taught us anything, it is that the concentration camp universe was uniquely different, representing a new and entirely more ferocious strain of inhumanity. In these proceedings and elsewhere, the debt demand that we do not mix our metaphors, let us focus on the Nazi atrocity and not on the perils of a far less evil empire.

Thank you for your time, your Honor. I'm very grateful.

Do you have anything further of me, your Honor?

THE COURT: No.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SCHAECTER: Good afternoon,

your Honor.

My name is David Schaecter and I'm the president of the Holocaust Survivors Foundation.

And I would like to point out to you the people that will be speaking on the behalf of the

1 foundation; myself and there's a lady here by 2 the name of Raisa Horowitz.

THE COURT: I have the names, so I will call them or you could call them whenever you want. You don't have to waste time going through them.

MR. SCHAECTER: Okay. But in any case,
I wanted to advise your Honor that there will be
five of us -- six of us here speaking on behalf
of the Holocaust Survivors Foundation.

Your Honor, I am a survivor myself, of Auschwitz and Buchenwald. And my family, of course, perished like everyone, most of the people that have been taken into Auschwitz and Buchenwald. And I am a lone survivor.

The Holocaust Survivors Foundation elected representatives from amongst the thousands of Holocaust survivors across the country in the United States. We became involved in the allocation phase of this case because of the dire need of the poor survivors in our midst whose existence seemed to have been largely unknown outside of the circles of the survivors.

Your Honor, we have taken on this task

and we have done it from the grass roots survivor group that are part of our foundation. We are very troubled because even though thousands of survivors in this country have not been receiving the home and health care they need and even though some \$670 million is available from the Swiss settlement and even though these funds are negotiated and recovered in the name of the victims of the Holocaust, we fear that the survivors who live in the United States will be maybe excluded from assistance from these very Holocaust related funds.

Such as an outcome seemed impossible for us to imagine when we withdrew the appeal, your Honor, on May 2, 2002 at your Honor's request. You said that you understood what we wanted, help for the desperate survivors who live in the United States and that you would be -- you would not forget those unfortunate who we are representing.

Nevertheless, your Honor, recent events raise a great many questions and we respectfully submit them to your Honor here and now in person. As your Honor knows, we have been deeply involved in attempting to educate and

sensitize the Jewish community and other
institutions such as the Claims Conference and
ICHECK and we have met and gathered with the
Jewish organizations such as the general
assembly of the Jewish organization s dating
back to 1999.

At the same time, your Honor, we petitioned this court for assistance. We worked with Commissioner Burt Goldberg and the professionals in the National Jewish Family Services.

THE COURT: I know but what

Mr. Goldberg gave me, if he had given that to a foundation would have wound up in the garbage pail. His statistics were soft. He couldn't even say that the 4,000 he identified as actually receiving healthcare actually needed more. He couldn't identify what other sources of money was available for them.

The only hard -- the problem with HSF-USA, up until this point is while other organizations, including Israel and the Joint, have come up with statistics that are at least - that are useful, that one can take into account in making allocations. HSF-USA hasn't

come up with them. The closest thing to any meaningful statistic that I got was an affidavit from the name of whom escapes me from Broward County in which he says, "There are between 5 and 10,000 survivors in Broward County, " which is a big difference. Between 5 and 10 is And of that, after an outreach program, he said that there were 240 who needed healthcare or related services in Broward County.

Now if you extrapolate that to the whole survivor population of the United States, it comes to a relatively small number, assuming his figures are accurate and the figures may not be useful in extrapolating to the whole United States because Florida has got one of the social safety nets in the country. And it may not be - if you're looking to extrapolate from 10,000, let's say giving -- taking the largest number, 230 out of 10,000 and you want to extrapolate that to 122,000, it may over -- you may get a number that's even higher than what's accurate because of the fact that you're taking the numbers from a county -- in a state that has a very weak social safety net.

```
So, the basic problem here is that you have not given me -- your organization has not given me statistics that are worth anything and that any responsible person allocating money would make an award.
```

MR. SCHAECTER: With all due respect, your Honor, we have --

THE COURT: You haven't.

MR. SCHAECTER: -- not reattempt --

THE COURT: No, you haven't. You

11 | haven't.

MR. SCHAECTER: We have tried,
13 your Honor, (unintelligible).

THE COURT: You have given me this piece of paper from Mr. Goldberg, who you probably paid nothing for it and you got what you paid for.

MR. SCHAECTER: Your Honor, I don't like to malign people and I - the people who volunteered to come to our aid, I'm always grateful, just as I was grateful to your Honor when we talked to you and when you had pleaded with 19 of our members of the board and you had promised us that if we withdraw the appeal, that you, your Honor, would take care of us --

THE COURT: I'm not -- first of all -
MR. SCHAECTER: And that you understood

our pain.

THE COURT: I do.

MR. SCHAECTER: And our anguish.

what I want is hard information on how to give out money. I'm not going to take a report that is essentially worthless from one person in one organization and give out money on the basis of it. I told Mr. Dubbin repeatedly to get me the hard information on which to give out money. And I'm prepared to do that based on hard information.

MR. SCHAECTER: Your Honor, Mr. Dubbin has been taking information from us. He's been given instructions by us to go ahead and act on our behalf in this court. And the people that we have reached out to to give us input, I feel are honorable people and I have no reason to brow beat them, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm not brow beating them.

But all -- you know, you've got reliant figures

from the Jewish National Population study that

show that all the people who were questioned

```
about -- survivors who were questioned, assuming
 1
   you accept the reliability of this study which I
 2
   understand that you do, only two percent of the
 3
   survivors said that they could not make ends
 4
   meet.
 5
            MR. SCHAECTER: This is such an untruth
 6
 7
   and I wish --
            THE COURT:
                         Well --
 8
            MR. SCHAECTER: -- your Honor would
 9
   make that --
10
11
            THE COURT:
                       I'm not --
```

THE COURT: Mr. Dubbin is --

MR. SCHAECTER:

available to us.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHAECTER: And I would challenge you.

THE COURT: Did you know that

Mr. Dubbin is going to call an expert here,

Mr. Sheskin, who says that I should rely on that

very study because he likes other parts of it?

The same study that you say is totally flawed

and the two percent figure --

MR. SCHAECTER: Your Honor?

THE COURT: -- that's inaccurate, we're going to hear from Mr. Sheskin who has filed an

-- same statistics

. affidavit saying this is a reliable study.

MR. SCHAECTER: Your Honor, if the government of the State of Israel were to tell you that these numbers are not only correct but they're very, very, very conservative, you would not dispute the government of Israel.

THE COURT: I don't accept it face value what anybody tells me.

MR. SCHAECTER: Why would you then dispute the information that's handed to us?

THE COURT: I'm not. I'm relying on the figure that I gave you about two percent saying that they can't make ends meet, which is an extraordinarily low number. It comes from a study that your number six speaker, Mr. Sheskin has defended in an affidavit.

MR. SCHAECTER: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Of course he ignored that figure and he chose to rely on other parts of that study.

MR. SCHAECTER: I will tell you how I feel, your Honor. I have come here before you feeling that I am a loser regardless.

THE COURT: You're not a loser regardless. I have a tremendous amount of

```
respect for you.
 1
            MR. SCHAECTER: Your Honor, you have
 2
   legal --
 3
          THE COURT: And I've told you, I've
 4
   basically accepted the view that I think you
 5
   have and other survivors in the world have, is
 6
 7
   that --
            MR. SCHAECTER: Your Honor, you feel
 8
 9
   that that's not so, your Honor.
            THE COURT: -- is that every nickel
10
   should go to survivors.
11
12
            MR. SCHAECTER: You've labeled up --
   you've labeled over 30,000 survivors as non-
14
   entities.
            THE COURT: When did I do that?
15
            MR. SCHAECTER: You did that in a
16
   report that you published. Not only that, your
17
   Honor, you also called us irrelevant.
18
            THE COURT: I did not call you
19
20
   irrelevant.
            MR. SCHAECTER: Your Honor, you did
21
22
   that.
            THE COURT: I said --
23
```

MR. SCHAECTER: This is why I came

24

25

here --

No, I think you're making -1 THE COURT: 2 MR. SCHAECTER: -- to plead my case 3 that I --4 THE COURT: I think you're making a 5 mistake of identifying yourself with Mr. Dubbin, 6 7 who said --MR. SCHAECTER: Mr. Dubbin --8 THE COURT: -- and who I said whose 9 10 efforts in this case up until this point have been irrelevant to the ultimate disposition of 11 money. And they've been irrelevant because 12 they've been inadequate because statistics have 13 not been supplied upon which any responsible 14 15 person could make an award of funds. 16 because, you know, you could go out and hold 17 demonstrations in the street but they're not a substitute for hard empirical evidence. 18 And your organization, not your fault 19 20 because you basically -- you're relying on Mr. Dubbin --21 MR. SCHAECTER: But my organization 22 23 (unintelligible) --24

25

1 | your Honor.

THE COURT: That's not true.

MR. SCHAECTER: When you attack Sam

4 Dubbin you're attacking me.

THE COURT: Well, you could identify with him but that's not true.

MR. SCHAECTER: And I can also tell you this that it's a matter of public opinion. And public opinion does not agree with you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm not -- listen, you know, one of the things you have to understand here is that first of all, you know -- let me tell you two things. I don't know what public opinion is. That's number one. Number two, I'm not an elected public official.

MR. SCHAECTER: I know that.

THE COURT: And number three, I have life tenure and I'm beyond ambition and the only thing that I'm interested in is doing the right thing on the basis of sound information and I don't care about "what public opinion is" even assuming that you accurately portray it.

MR. SCHAECTER: Then I would like to have your permission to challenge you when you

gave me your word, you personally, and you assured me in --

THE COURT: I didn't give you any personal word.

1.1

MR. SCHAECTER: And you appealed to us in Yiddish and you spoke to us for two hours --

THE COURT: I did not give you any -- I urged you not to hold up the distribution of money to people who needed it. I didn't make you any promises. But I am willing to adhere -- I'm willing to consider what you want if you provide me with hard evidence. That's the basic fact. And the basic fact is up to now, the only thing approaching hard evidence that supports your claim is an inadequate piece of paper from this Mr. Goldberg. That's what it comes down to.

There are people here who are arguing - the United Jewish Communities who are arguing
for funds for survivors in the United States.
You've got to provide me with hard evidence.
And when after you've provided me with hard
evidence on the basis of need, then I'm prepared
to listen.

What your organization has said is

THE COURT: And to the extent that we can't make the awards and there's money left over, then we want to give it to the people who need it the most, the survivors who need it the most. And the last speaker to whom you eluded would basically exclude if you followed the logic of what he's saying, most of the neediest survivors in the United States who happen to be from the Former Soviet Union, who he doesn't think suffered enough during the war. That's what his argument was.

MR. SCHAECTER: Your Honor, we are not excluding and we're not trying to short change any survivors. Every survivor, there are enough funds, your Honor, there are enough funds for you to make sure that eery survivor in need gets care period.

And I would like to touch one more base with you, your Honor, you're going to have and you have assigned an advocate, an advocate for the survivors for the plaintiff. We have yet to get input from Professor Newborne, although we have gotten all kinds of promises, me personally in letters, but we have yet to be advised or have him fulfill the responsibility that you've

1 bestowed upon Professor Newborne.

And that is not fair and it's certainly not fair for me to have to come before you, your Honor, and argue with you because I have no reason -- your position is a dignified position and I surely am not trying to demean it.

THE COURT: You have a wonderful lawyer who you say is here to argue for you.

MR. SCHAECTER: And all I ask before you, your Honor, is that you because only you --

THE COURT: And I, frankly, don't believe that Professor Newborne wouldn't take your call or take your input. But that's besides the point. Now you have a lawyer here who is representing you and who is here to help you.

MR. SCHAECTER: Then I would like to request from your Honor that please, furnish us with a list of what your missions are for us to qualify and for us not to be called irrelevant.

THE COURT: I didn't call you irrelevant.

MR. SCHAECTER: And for us not to be called unidentified and meaningless.

THE COURT: I didn't --

MR. SCHAECTER: You, please, your Honor, you please give me a list. I am the elected in --

MR. SCHAECTER: I think it would be useful if you started with a list of --

MR. SCHAECTER: -- of the organization and I will personally go ahead and pursue it and see to it --

THE COURT: Well, I don't --

MR. SCHAECTER: -- that all of the qualifications that you're asking for.

think one has to have hard numbers. You have equated need with home healthcare. The question then becomes how many people need home healthcare. And how much will it cost? And for all these years, no hard evidence has been or reliable evidence has been provided by your organization. Assuming that to be first of all, the principle criteria of need, as opposed to other factors that the special master has outlined, it's been a lot of noise. But not a lot of hard statistical evidence about need.

Instead, as a substitute for evidence about need, we've had this phony argument that

because 25 percent of the survivors in the 1 2 United States, since reduced to 20 percent and -3 - 25 percent of the world survivors live in the 4 United States, therefore, I should take 25 percent of the money and distribute it to the 5 survivors in the United States. 6 That's the 7 argument. That's the argument that Mr. Dubbin 8 has made on your behalf and that's the argument 9 that I reject.

MR. SCHAECTER: Your Honor, I can -- I, as a survivor, have the right to stand before you and reject your argument because --

THE COURT: You certainly do.

MR. SCHAECTER: -- you have told me and told a whole bunch of people, your Honor, a whole bunch of survivors, some of them are here, that the Jewish community in the United States is plenty rich.

THE COURT: Where did I say that? You find the place --

MR. SCHAECTER: Let them take care of the survivors. This came from your mouth, your Honor.

THE COURT: It did not. You find a place where I've said that.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHAECTER: You said it to us while we sat in your chambers, your Honor. And I don't understand it. In other words, there isn't anything at all, your Honor, that we do that meets with your approval.

THE COURT: This is not true.

MR. SCHAECTER: Well, please tell me what you don't like about me. Tell me what is acceptable.

THE COURT: I love everything about 11 you.

MR. SCHAECTER: Well, your Honor, I don't want to kill my -- the hour that has been allotted to us but I would like to ask your Honor to give me a chance --

THE COURT: I basically --

MR. SCHAECTER: -- to talk to you or someone from your court and tell me what you want me to do to right the wrongs that you say that I've done.

THE COURT: But you haven't done anything wrong. I mean, you're placing in this in terms that are personal. I have a tremendous amount of respect for you.

MR. SCHAECTER: I would like to leave

### David Schaecter

1 | you with this one thought, your Honor.

2.5

THE COURT: There's nothing that I dislike about you.

MR. SCHAECTER: I would like to leave you with this one though.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. SCHAECTER: You're an outstanding jurist. You're a fine, fine, outstanding citizen. And I think you're a great human being. But I would like to tell you this that the chances are that the people in the surviving community here and everywhere, while you and I argue here, are dying out. And this thing is going to be dragged out for a long time. I know that you don't want that on your consciences, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't. That's the -
MR. SCHAECTER: You don't want that on
your conscientious.

THE COURT: You're absolutely right.

And it weighs on me everyday.

MR. SCHAECTER: Your Honor, I thank you and I respectfully thank you for allowing me to express myself. And I stand by what I said, your Honor, that I look to you for having

### Raisa Horowitz

someone that you assign to tell me and show me where I can improve my position with you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHAECTER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Why don't you just tell me your name.

MS. HOROWITZ: All right. My name is Raisa Horowitz.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOROWITZ: I work for an organization but I am not representing any organization. I work with survivors who come up to my office on a daily basis. We're talking about home care and you said there's a safety net. If somebody gets \$750 a month social security which sounds like a big amount, they are not eligible for medicaid which the Judge would probably know.

Therefore, they have no rights to get their medicine. They cannot get a home attendant. And they cannot get their -- they can't pay their second insurance. So, they're coming up every day asking how can you help me, so we get some help. The claims does try to help but they have a limited budget. The Med

# Helen Rosenberg

Counsel (phonetic) tries to help. They send for people before the holidays, \$25 to make the -- to make a seder.

We're coming -- on a daily basis, the people are aging people. They're not getting their medicines because they give me a choice; should I buy food or should I buy medicine. This is the safety net that you have here in the United States, the minute they hit a certain number with the social security. So, there is no safety net for these seniors.

As we know, the Epic which New York had until now is going to be discontinued. The new discount Medicaid -- Medicare plan for the medicines is not going to work for them.

I am coming to the Judge to ask him to think about these people that are living in -that I know, in Brooklyn. And they have -- they can't survive. I have a \$92 year old man who is taking care of his 88 year old wife and he can't afford to get a home attendant because he gets \$750. And he can't pay back the surplus because his rent is \$800 a month.

I ask you to take that into consideration. I have two survivors that just

# Helen Rosenberg

want to make -- say a couple of words. Thank
you so much for your time.

3

4

5

6

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, my name is Helen Rosenberg.

MALE VOICE: We can't hear you.

THE COURT: You have to speak into the microphone.

MS. ROSENBERG: My name is Helen 8 9 Rosenberg. I'm also one of the survivors, at a very young age. And I just realized sitting 10 11 here that I am a double survivor or a triple, unlimited because I have three children and I 12 13 survived and I married a survivor who was a teenager when he was Auschwitz, et cetera. 14 15 he died in 1965 and I was left with three young 16 children.

So, when I come together with my children and they struggle. And my daughter, when she had her 25th wedding anniversary stood up and she said that I have suffered all of this time because when I went to school, she said I always felt that the children in the class are nice to me because I had no father. And since my double and triple and so on, survivor surviving.

# Helen Rosenberg

But I want to point out that I do get social security which is minimal. And I do not have enough to manage now for health, home attendant, not really I don't even want a home attendant. I just need someone to help me with the house cleaning, et cetera. And I had a lot of medication. Unfortunately, I had a hip replaced and every part of my body is shook up. Naturally, emotionally I don't have to tell you. And I need help which I cannot get. I am not entitled for Medicare, et cetera.

So, I was hoping -- and I come from a very well do home in Europe. My father was a professional, a dentist. I have a beautiful home there. But we're not getting it back. They're giving us a very hard time we should get our property back in Slovaki.

My father, I was too young to know if he had money in Switzerland or not. But since he was a well to do man, he may have had. I have no way to prove it.

THE COURT: Well, one way you could check is to check the names. There are 22,000 names listed on the internet.

MS. ROSENBERG: We already tried it. I

```
don't (inaudible). I don't have the computer.

I know how to work a computer but I don't have

it nor do I have room to keep it. I live in a

one-bedroom apartment, et cetera.
```

So, I was going to enumerate the medications I am on but I decided this is a public place, no one has to know my private and health conditions. So, I would appreciate if you had the power to see to it that the people in our community that are the elderly, by today's standards, a person my age should be healthy and so on and so on.

After everything that I have gone through, it seems that I aged overnight. And I do need help, physical help, medical help. I have to go for therapies, et cetera.

So, I need that I should be able to get if there is any money that I should be able to be helped. I appreciate it. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. MYER: Your Honor, my name is
Esther Myer. I am a child survivor. I come
from Bulgaria. And my word, your Honor, is the
(unintelligible) to the survivor. And in order
to get there, please (unintelligible). And we

have always, all the time and a (unintelligible) be prepared on Purim and a -- they came through different -- they had only one address, our address. And we had always, always, our house always is to worship.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I came here in the '60s and also with my husband, Daksip (phonetic), died (unintelligible). And I have no means right now to live. And I run now to the Holocaust survivors that's calling the club mission. Mission because without that, we don't survive. I go there and they have 650 member. Because of my (unintelligible), my father was so much evil in Kapolo (phonetic), so I make myself (unintelligible) with the people. I ind out that most of the people with 650 member, most of them they have nothing to live on. They getting so little from the Russian authority and they have no money and they all are in their 80s and 85 and they are really, really very needy ones.

So, I am asking the Honor that you should consider our situation and should be very, very quick because we getting older and older and we don't see anything. We don't get any other (unintelligible). We don't get any

#### Marta Maskowitz

other. And when the (unintelligible) come, we don't get restitution, we don't get pension. We are not getting anything. We are live only on social security.

And that also I am talking about also of the oldest survivors who I know, I am in daily contact with them. Thank you very much and I hope your Honor will consider this thing, as soon as possible, we could get something.

10 | Thank you.

2.3

Ms. MASKOWITZ: My name is Marta

Maskowitz. I am from the Czechoslovakia, born
there. And when the communist (unintelligible)
my country, I chose to leave. I am dissident
that would apply that they are from their own
choosing. Now they have the needy. And I ask
you -- most of the speeches were from
professionals. I'm not a professional. But I
understood that they have (unintelligible) to
get the funds.

They didn't have a very good life in Russia but chose to stay there. It became Russia after the war.

THE COURT: Chose?

MS. MASKOWITZ: I chose to leave on my

own will and I smuggled through borders. I swam rivers. I crossed mountains and I came somehow to the United States. I didn't find gold in the streets that they professed to be. I am not wealthy. I am not rich. I am poor. I am not proud of it.

I am a poor Holocaust, true Holocaust survivor that went through Auschwitz. I saw my parents stuffed into the gas chamber in front of my eyes and the rest of the family. My older brother was slaughtered, shot before he was forced to take dig own grave in the Ukraine when the Ukrainians, the Fistians (phonetic), or whatever the reason they are watched and left. And one survivor came, it was not mine, and told us what happened to him.

Another one was burned in Russian ground when the Germans poured the gasoline on his cabin. And he was on the way to survive -- ran out, forgot his shoes -- without shoes, there is no surviving in Russia. He was schlepping carrying the wagons of the soldier of the Hungarian (unintelligible).

Now it comes to me why I am here but I need money. I am on five prescription drugs.

```
cannot pay for them. I don't pay for them. I
get charity for it. Not from organizations,
from people. In (unintelligible), I get a
little bit from an organization where they get
money from the Claims Conference.
```

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The other, I don't get from anybody. am going home now and I will inject myself a drug to survivor. I don't know what for. didn't survive German camps. I am still there. I still live there. It never left me. them said the Germans -- nobody leaves Auschwitz, only through the chimney. That's true, to my greatest sorrow. I thought I survived. I didn't. I am there where I was. I live at Auschwitz. And what my eyes saw in Bergen- Belsen. I don't wish on anybody that see that Auschwitz. In Auschwitz they did quietly. In Bergen-Belsen they couldn't hide it anymore. There were more corpses than anybody can count. I don't know who could. Nobody did.

But why am I here? Why do I want money? To buy medication. To buy -- I need a home, too. I want to start living at least now, I am too old, too. But maybe if I

We couldn't dig in enough graves.

1 (unintelligible) life enough left, I could at
2 least so far. Is it too much to ask from the
3 Court? I ask for help, financial help. Please
4 help me. I have heard Raisa Horowitz because I
5 came to cry on her shoulder, many, many, many
6 times. And she said she cannot help me. Here
7 she is. Let her say a word on my behalf if she
8 wants.

THE COURT: She's already spoken.

MS. MASKOWITZ: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Who is next?

2.3

MR. MOSKOVIC: Honorable Judge Korman and Special Master Gribetz, my name is Alex Moskovic. My family lived in Soberance, Hungary in the early spring of 1944. We owned a single family home. My Uncle Marcus and my Uncle Leopold and their families also owned single family homes in the same complex.

My family also owned a building with two stores, one was the family business and the other was rental on the main street of Soberance. In April 1944, we were rounded up, 14 of us from the same complex and the Hungarian authorities promised if we lock up our homes and

leave the keys with them, our homes will remain
in the same condition as when we had left, on
our return after the war.

Later we were taken to Birkenau. I was 13 years old. I survived Birkenau for nine months until I was death marched to Buchenwald where I was liberated by the Americans.

Returning in July of 1945 to Soberance, at that time it has become Czechoslovakia and today it's Slovakia. I was the only survivor. All the homes, the business, they had those ripped out. And all that was left was the bare walls. On July 16, 1999, I submitted an initial questionnaire to the Holocaust Victims Asset Litigation 96-cv-4849 to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York. At that time, I believed that a settlement would be forthcoming as a looted asset class member.

To date, we are in 2004. The situation has changed. How can anyone say to me as a survivor that I do not have any legal standings in the U.S. Courts to challenge how those funds are allocated. Aren't the survivors in the United States considered to be part of a settlement in order to get the funds? If you're

a survivor, you have no legal standing to challenge the Court's allocation for settlement funds, then who does?

I would also like to talk about -
THE COURT: I want you to know that
you're here challenging it.

MR. MOSKOVIC: I thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MOSKOVIC: I also would like to speak to you about the Jewish Family Services and the needy survivors. I am on the advisory committee in two counties in Florida; one is Broward County and another one is Palm Beach County. And I just want to bring up one incident that happened recently.

Recently, at the Federation in Broward County, there was a county meeting of the Jewish Family Services Survivors Advisory Committees to the Jewish Family Services and the Federation.

And the subject was, of course, shortages of funds from the Claims Conference. The first allotment for home came to the needy survivors are the same as in 2003, although the needs of home care increased quite substantially because the survivor population is getting older. And

1 | well, they sent in a new budget.

Mr. David Salzman from Miami said at this meeting that at the present, his agency did not have anyone on the waiting list. And, of course, what does the waiting list mean? It means that people, because now enough money is coming into the Jewish Family Services, people re pushed aside and put on a waiting list until more money is coming in.

And he was very proud to say to us that no one in Miami was on the waiting list which is great. That's what I love to hear that no one is on the waiting list. Because to me, when it comes to whoever is on the waiting list, it's one too many.

I questioned him. How did he accomplish this feat but no one -- with no one on the waiting list? Well, he just said very simply, we just cut the hours where before the hours per week for home care were eight hours, he cut it to four hours and many of the survivors were only receiving two hours a week for home care.

At that point, I had another question

to ask and that question was what was -- what do
you do with two hours of service to needy
survivors. His answer was at least a client can
be helped to take a shower.

Again, that led me to another question. Is this the way we have to look forward to, to one shower a week?

Judge Korman, perhaps we should ask the survivors in need and they're feelings regarding the Swiss allocations. And then, of course, we have already done with -- we asked the case manager to come in here and let them talk because they are the ones that are there with the needy survivors day in and day out.

The other thing that I would like to say is my point of view is different as far as how this collector allocation will work out. My feeling is this. It's a struggle between the survivors and the established agencies who control the funds to give it to them in the name of survivors by the German Swiss businesses, banks, insurance companies, last but not least, the properties in East Germany of those who perished and do not have heirs to whom to return the properties.

# David Mermelstein

These properties and funds derive from 1 the sole properties belong first and always to 2 the survivors. I'm sure that a lot of people 3 will not agree with that. I, as a survivor, 4 believe that. The survivors should make the 5 decisions, how and to whom all of these funds 6 should be allocated. We are asking for justice. 7 To ignore the dire needs of the aging and poor 8 survivors is unconscionable. We can not be silent as we were in the past and our words must 10 11 be heard.

And I thank you, Judge Korman.

THE COURT: Who is next?

Mr. Mermelstein?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MERMELSTEIN: Good afternoon,
your Honor. My name is David Mermelstein. I
was born in the town of Kivia, Czechoslovakia.
sixty years ago today, I was taken to Auschwitz
when I was 15. I went into Auschwitz but I
didn't know if I was coming out.

Last week, two weeks ago I was in

Auschwitz with over 200 children from Miami on
the march of the living and I knew I was coming
out. Then we went to Israel. Last night, I
came in and -- to be here this morning.

# David Mermelstein

The area came under Hungarian sovereignty in 1939. I was in several Nazi concentration camps during the World War II but I was (unintelligible) any member of my family to survive the Holocaust. All of our family's possessions were looted by the Nazis in the Nazi friendly government of Hungary.

I am the elected president of the New American Jewish Club of Miami which has been in existence since the early '50s. At that time, we were the only organization in Florida. Today we have 14 organizations; the Coalition of The Survivors of Florida and I'm president there.

I wrote about the history of the club in an earlier affidavit to the Court. I proved that again by helping fellow members and one family, the father died, the children were college age, so we helped them to send them to college.

Over the years, we (unintelligible) comes to help other survivors. Today we are coping with the effect of our aging members and their reduced economic well being. Today, a surprising number of the club members are living in the stress about their ability to obtain home

1 care health, emergency services and other basic needs.

Despite how hard we have been trying to get more money to help survivors from the Claims 'Conference, there just isn't enough money to help with so many survivors, everybody's needs.

Also, the Jewish Federation, they provide some help. Can you give us enough funds because of their other responsibilities in the community, in Israel, in Argentina and in the Former Soviet Union.

I also sat on this Survivor's Advisory
Board of the Jewish Community Services of Miami,
Dade County, there's never enough funds to
provide survivors with emergency care or supply
their needs or to pay for the medical or food or
rent they need and cannot afford.

No one gets nearly enough home care as they need. I get calls sometimes from survivors whose home care hours have been cut or are on a waiting list or who tell me (unintelligible) survivors who are in great need but do not seek help.

I know personally of many individuals who are members of the Non-American Jewish Group

### David Mermelstein

and other groups of the coalition to endure a tremendous amount of suffering and cannot get help they need. I have discussed this with some of them and they would prefer that I only use their initials. Some of those initials are, I sent them to you, your Honor, before and if you want the full names, I could provide it but you know Florida (unintelligible).

THE COURT: I take your word for it.

MR. MERMELSTEIN: Your Honor needs --

no, I said that.

They would benefit if the Court provides (unintelligible) from the United States from the looted assets class funds. I can also tell you that many, many survivors do not seek help because they are ashamed to do so or because they know there is no money to help them. There are people that HFS through numerous survivors and survivor group members have come to help.

Our group joined with other groups in Florida to create a coalition of survivor clubs in Florida and later we found Holocaust Survivors Foundation, USA which I happened to be vice president, to have a national voice.

Thousands of survivors join together because we believe that survivors as opposed to non-survivor organizations and other assisting in the negotiating tables should have a direct voice in decisions over the fate of our family's properties. There is just (unintelligible).

That is what HSF is all about.

Your Honor, we are all members from the looted assets class; all of us, those who cannot work to take care of ourselves and those who can. We all -- we had all of our possessions stolen by the Nazis and consider ourselves to be real actual class members whose right, we are actually part of the lawsuit.

That is why we cannot understand why some class members get help and some don't, just because of where they live. If all these great social safety nets were really available, then - there are many survivors in need. All we are asking for is payments. We say that all survivors should have access to assistance from the settlement, not just sum.

If your Honor changed the allocation formula, more of our members will get help they need and deserve. But, your Honor, I am not

asking for myself. I do believe that since I am a member of the class and the settlement is for all of us, we all have a right to have a say about how the funds are used.

As you have heard or will hear overwhelming majority of class members believe the funds should be allocated more evenly. No one should be denied because of where they live.

After the Court adopted the special master's initial recommendation in 2000, I asked Mr. Dubbin on behalf of myself and on behalf of the club and the coalition to appeal the allocation because we all believe what's fair -- was unfair for survivors in the United States.

We debated but we go along with Mr. Newborne's letter of support and rabbi appeal. I personally spoke to you on the telephone and I was here two years ago in your chamber. Your Honor, if you remember, I asked for two things; yushi (phonetic) and rachomins (phonetic).

You listened, you speak to us in Yiddish, we believed there would be payments for our fellow survivors here and let -- the allocation, but it hasn't happened. It's hard

for me to express the disappointment and they
failed the survivor in our club and the
coalition and the HSFP at this time.

Our club and the coalitions

(unintelligible) fully support allocation but
the need of the survivors in the Former Soviet

Union and Israel and believe they are sufficient
funds available in the settlement to help all
survivors in need.

We also believe that the survivors in the United States as the looted assets class members are entitled to fair share of funds from the looted assets class settlement.

We don't understand, your Honor, how Holocaust survivors who are members of the class cannot have standing to object on these allocations but appeal the allocation.

Also, we not believe that only needy survivors have such a right, although there are many, many need survivors among our members. The days of survivors sitting in the sidelines and watching other speak for us are over and that is why I flew here today directly from Israel, your Honor, because so many survivors in this country need and deserve the benefit.

1 Thank you.

MR. DUBBIN: Wait a minute. We're not done. I'm sorry, we're not finished.

MR. SHESKIN: Good afternoon and thank you for this opportunity. My name is Ira Sheskin and I am a professor at the University of Miami and a director of the Jewish Demographic Project at the Senior Leonard Miller Center (unintelligible) at the University of Miami.

And I would like to quickly address four issues. First, as to my background, in the past 22 years, I have completed or I am working on 35 local Jewish demographic studies for more than 30 U.S. Jewish federations. I also served for 16 years on the United Jewish Communities Committee that conducted the 1990 and 2000 national Jewish population survey.

I've published two books; one on (unintelligible) and survey research and the other a volume that compares the results of 45 Jewish demographic studies.

Second, I would like to present some background on data collected on this subject.

In 1994, in Miami, a survivor group asked me to

estimate the number of survivors in Miami as 1 part of the Greater Miami Jewish Federation Demographic Study.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

120

This led to the fist U.S. Jewish demographic study to estimate survivors. now produced estimates for six other Jewish communities and was responsible for suggesting the question about Nazi victims we asked as part of the NJDS 2000.

That the issue of the number in need of Nazi victims is now arisen to significant importance is shown by the fact that a report on survivor needs was one of the first special topics reports published from NJDS 2000.

In addition to this years annual meeting of the executives of the large Jewish Family Services at which I ran a full day workshop, the topic of the special needs of U.S. Nazi victims was widely discussed.

Third, I would like to elaborate on some points I made in an earlier memorandum on the number of Nazi victims and the needs.

The number of NJDS report suggests that

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. SHESKIN: 1 Yes. THE COURT: Memorandum where? 2 MR. SHESKIN: That I gave to Sam 3 Dubbin, I believe was forwarded to the Court. 4 5 THE COURT: Just recently? 6 MR. SHESKIN: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. 7 8 MR. SHESKIN: It's one you've seen, 9 sir. 10 Third, I would like to elaborate on some points I made in the earlier memorandum. 11 12 The NJPS report suggests that 122,000 Nazi victims reside in the U.S. I have completed the 13 same analysis to confirm the 122,000. But 14 15 because survey research is not perfect, one must 16 sometime adjust results for known problems, 17 something that was not done in the NJDF report. 18 In a previous document submitted to the 19 Court, I presented evidence based upon my 20 knowledge of survey research and Jewish demography, that 175,000 is a better estimate 21 22 than 122,000. 23 We now have eight local Jewish 24

demographic studies that have estimated Nazi victims. The 2002 New York Jewish population

25

survey estimates 55,000 Nazi victims in New
York. And my studies documents an additional
19,000 Nazi victims in South Florida, including
by the way, 7,400 in Broward County.

2.5

Thus, we have about 75,000 Nazi victims in New York and South Florida alone. This confirms my belief that 175,000 Nazi victims nationwide is probably closer to the truth than the 122.

The NJPS report on Nazi victims suggests that about 25 percent of Nazi victims live below the poverty level compared to nine percent of all U.S. Jewish elderly. Thus, about 44,000 Nazi victims live below the poverty level.

The New York Jewish Population Survey estimate that 21,000 poor Nazi victims live in New York. My studies in South Florida estimate that about 3,000 poor Nazi victims live in South Florida. Thus, about 24,000 Nazi victims live below the poverty level in these two areas of the country alone.

It is also important to note that federal poverty levels are based upon nationwide economic conditions. But the vast majority of

Nazi victims who live in large metropolitan areas where the cost of living is higher. Thus some researchers have used 150 percent of the poverty level to estimate the needy population.

On this basis, we should add 40,000 poor Nazi victims to the number of Nazi victims nationwide for a total of 84,000 poor Nazi victims nationwide.

Likewise, we could add 8,000 poor Nazi victims to the New York plus South Florida numbers for a total of 32,000 poor Nazi victims in these two areas.

As to the issue of whether more reliable estimates of the real needs of Nazi victims is solicited by an objective question on income level or by a question which survey respondents subjectively assessed their economic conditions, it is my professional opinion that the more objective question is to be preferred since one respondent not making ends meet may very well be another respondent just managing.

Even if one were to employ the subjective measures, I would argue that many of the respondents in the just managing category may very well be not making ends meet but maybe

1 reluctant to indicate such on a telephone 2 survey.

In addition, someone who is just managing --

THE COURT: Isn't that true about asking about people about what their precise income is? Is that any more reliable on a telephone survey?

MR. SHESKIN: I think it is a bit more reliable on a telephone survey. We have -- you know, we've struggled for years on telephone survey with the fact that not everyone will answer the income question, okay? And the fact is is that we have no evidence that people inflate it or deflate it. We have no evidence that it's people who are richer who are more likely to turn it down or people who are poorer. There is just no evidence to indicate what direction that is because we just don't know the answers from it.

But it is ma more objective answer from people, is your income under \$15,000 and then if they said yes, we ask them is it under \$9,000 if they were a single person living alone. But that's objective. My people --

THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure what -it was never clear to me what that includes. In
other words, when you talk about it, the poverty
level in the United States, you're talking about'
a level that's set by, I guess, the department
of commerce that includes certain items of
income and excludes other sources of income.

For example, Section 8 housing subsidies would not be included. So, I don't know --

MR. SHESKIN: There are --

THE COURT: I am actually not sure from reading that National Jewish Population Survey Study of what their -- how sophisticated were these questions?

MR. SHESKIN: Well, unfortunately, you know, this is a long questionnaire to get deeply at the issue that we're talking about here would have taken quite a number of additional questions.

THE COURT: Well, I understand that but the argument is that there are X number Jews living below the poverty level. The poverty level is a term that has a definition based on certain sources of income and not including

others. And if you're going to make judgments
about need, you have to know what's encompassed.
And just saying that somebody is below a poverty
level without knowing what elements went into
the determination.

MR. SHESKIN: Into their thinking when they gave the answers.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SHESKIN: Exactly. And it gets even more complicated than that. Someone who lives in South Florida whose condominium is fully paid off can get by on less income than someone who is living in a home that's not paid for. So, it is a complex thing to look at.

But if may go on, in addition, someone who is just managing may very well be just one medical problem away and a data shows that a large percentage of Nazi victims have serious medical problems from not making ends meet.

If we include those who are just managing in our calculation of the percentage of Nazi victims in need, then about 60,000 Nazi victims may be said to be in need, compared to the 84,000 estimated above, to be below 150 --

THE COURT: I know but the question

that I have is just managing is managing.

MR. SHESKIN: But --

2.5

THE COURT: And you could call -- you know, they might be one step away or two steps away but managing is managing. And not being able to manage is not being able to manage. And two percent, it's actually less than two percent but rounding it out, two percent who responded to a general question about their financial condition said that they couldn't --

MR. SHESKIN: And people may be --

THE COURT: -- make ends meet and that they were not managing. And the rest of them were managing to a greater or lesser extent.

Now look, I'm not an expert in this. I'm just trying to reconcile two figures that seem to me to be totally at odds with each other, that 25 or 30 percent ar below a poverty level however you define it but the same study asking these people how will you describe your financial condition say two percent say they can't make ends meet.

Now, there's something wrong with those figures. Either the one -- the poverty level figure is meaningless or inaccurate or it is but

```
that because of the social safety net that's not calculated in determining whether or not people are below a poverty level like section 8 housing, like food stamps, that don't count, then the figure is meaningless.
```

MR. SHESKIN: Well, I'm not sure that it's meaningless because --

THE COURT: And to take your example, suppose it's 100 percent margin of error, which you don't even agree that these -- you know, I have, quite frankly, expressed doubts about taking 145 people and extrapolating the whole survivor population of the United States but you've assured me that that's okay in your affidavit, so that if that's okay, then the two percent figure of people responding on the basis of saying that only two percent can't make ends meet or are unable to manage is a reliable figure. But even if you double it, account for 100 percent margin of error --

MR. SHESKIN: But what I am saying is -

THE COURT: -- it's still extremely small.

MR. SHESKIN: What I am saying is that

it's very easy to believe that a very reasonable percentage of those people who say they're just managing --

THE COURT: Are wrong.

MR. SHESKIN: -- are -- maybe they're not just managing or maybe five years from now they're not going to be able to make ends meet.

THE COURT: Well, but I understand that. But we're dealing with now is what the problem is.

MR. SHESKIN: I'm --

to get what amounts to reliable statistics. I'm not -- you know, I am not necessarily vouching for the Jewish population study but, you know, there's no way of getting around what it says. Now you could say well, just -- we have to include people who say they can't manage or are unable to manage with people who say they are just managing and lump them together. Now, of course, that substantially increases the number of who would be described as needy but you know, it's like being pregnant, you're either pregnant or you're not. You know, you're either managing or you're not managing. And the figures for

people who say they responded in that study that
they're not -- they were not managing is two

percent. And I give you 100 percent margin of
error, which you don't even acknowledge for that '

and it's still an extremely low number.

MR. SHESKIN: But I would contend and I guess this is where we differ, that some percentage of the people who are just managing are just saying that.

THE COURT: Well, you know, you could say that about the rest of it, too.

MR. SHESKIN: And that -- well, that's part of the problem with survey research.

THE COURT: And I note that in your affidavit you didn't even deal with the issue of the just managing, you just -- the two percent, at all.

But go ahead.

MR. SHESKIN: Or can we say that the needs of U.S. Nazi victims are as serious as the needs of FSU Nazi victims? As someone originally trained in economic geography, who is has given much thought to cross cultural comparisons of income and need, I offer the opinion that it's difficult to compare needs

### Leo Rechter

across societies. There are differences in costs of living and in standards of living from one society to the next.

THE COURT: That's true.

MR. SHESKIN: I'm reminded of an incident that occurred after the rioting in Miami in the late 1970s when a bus load of reporters from less developed countries were touring the slum area where the rioting occurred. When the reporters saw air conditioners in every apartment window, they could not believe that we considered this to be a slum area. In many countries, such housing would have been considered middle class. Thus, what is needed to live in dignity is totally different from society to society.

I thank the Judge and the Court for your time.

MR. RECHTER: Your Honor, thank you for the opportunity to appear in this court. First of all, and thanks for all these things from other persons in the audience.

Before I continue, I would like to reiterate the credentials of our organization because many of the people here don't know. I

happen to be the president, elected president of
National Association for Jewish Holocaust
Survivors.

I was drafted in this job. You know, I wasn't seeking it because when our previous president passed away, I was asked to replace him when nobody else wanted to replace him.

We have members in 16 states of this country and we also formed an alliance with the Holocaust Survivors Foundation which is later on would be a separate organization.

We are (unintelligible) a bona fide organization which is incorporated, which files its 990 reports and I wish all the court officers who would check into the background of all these organizations which pretends to represent survivors because we know we have elections, we have an election committee and we have ballots which go to the independent committee.

Now I would like to continue the way I intended initially. After World War II, as soon as possible, survivors came out of the DP camps or of their former hiding places and they left blood stain soils of Europe. Many came to the

## Leo Rechter

United States. They were not exactly received with opened arms. Let's face it. Quite the contrary.

In other societies, former prisoners of wars are treated like heroes. But here in the states we were treated with disdain, with disrespect which persists until this day.

Some survivors manage not only to earn a living but became quite affluent. But there's a core of survivors who never quite made it. they came here penniless. They came here without skill, without language knowledge. They never quite learned the ropes.

But rich or poor, unskilled or accomplished professionals, we were never allowed to take care of our own affairs. Larger organizations decree that they know better than we do what is best for us; disrespect and shame.

Regardless of the Holocaust

(unintelligible) in our use until this date to raise funds for all kinds of projects. But the actual victims have been getting crumbs and still we are being told that others know better.

Your Honor mentioned before \$53 billion that came to the United States.

1 THE COURT: No.

MR. RECHTER: I think --

THE COURT: 53 to everybody -- to all the survivors in the world.

MR. RECHTER: Okay.

THE COURT: \$14 billion came to the United States.

MR. RECHTER: And a large percentage of it to the United States.

I think this is misleading because this amount results from the (unintelligible) having been received by a valid minority over many years. The majority of the survivors in our organization never received anything. Nothing. Until recently, some of them started receiving Article II. And the Article II requirements are just as strict as the CF Requirements in the Former Soviet Union.

And when the Former Soviet Union recipients are getting 135 Euro per month, compared to 270 Euro per month for the United States survivors, then they're actually receiving far more because as was testified before, the Euro in the Former Soviet Union buys five times as much as over here.

#### Leo Rechter

Many of those who never quite made it, were falling further ad further behind because they never quite saved enough because of inflation, because of the enormous rise of rising costs of medications and healthcare.

Thousands have to make painful choices between buying medication or food.

You can find some of the healthier ones lining up in the evening at Entemanns to buy day old bread, here in the United States, the richest country on earth. And also, they're bedridden in some parts of the country cannot get more than a few hours of home care per week, like my friends Max Factor -- Zevv Factor testified some of them need diapers, need something really to save their dignity and they cannot get it.

We thought we were part of this lawsuit. We were invited to be members of this looted assets class. We were asked to fill out very lengthy questionnaires. Those that did not know how to fill out those questionnaires were directed to social agencies or to attorneys.

The attorneys got paid, the social workers got paid. The looted asset class

members in the United States got so far next to nothing, zilch. Now we are being told that all of our horrible experiences come for nothing.

All of our (unintelligible) properties have to be listed on questionnaires to get a settlement but after they were listed, we have no voice in the settlement.

THE COURT: It was settled before the questionnaire. The purpose of the questionnaire was to try and give us an understanding of how feasible to allocate money. I mean, the questionnaire came after the settlement.

MR. RECHTER: I know. We know. If we knew everything that was going to happen subsequent with this, we might have filled it out differently. Others are still not better than the survivors themselves. The money is being used to practice selective charity according to perception of some non-survivors.

THE COURT: Mr. Rechter, I mean, I am wiling to let you speak but selective charity is an unfair term only because the proposal of HFS USA is a form of selective charity. If you accept the premise that 30 percent of the survivor population in the United States are

living below the poverty level and therefore, in need, the plan that was submitted would only have helped 4,000 of those, maybe 6,000, maybe 8,000. But if what you call charity is simply drawing a distinction between people because of assessments of need, then your proposal is a subject to the same criticism that you level on ours.

Now, I'm not -- I don't mean to interrupt you but I think you have to weigh -- somebody will some day come along, assuming you get what you want, and say you're giving out -- you're creating this charity that you shouldn't be doing. You're giving out money based on charitable principles. But yours is the same. You don't propose, your organization did not propose that I should give money to all the needy survivors in the United States.

But the proposal that was submitted that was prepared by Mr. Goldberg was a proposal to provide home care to a relatively small number. So, even under your proposal, you're drawing distinctions. You're not proposing to give money to every -- you may be, I don't know, but the HFS USA proposal --

MR. RECHTER: No, what we have --

THE COURT: -- is not proposing to give
money to all of the survivors who are living
below the poverty level in the United States.

You are making distinctions.

MR. RECHTER: What we had proposed, we knew -- we accepted the cypres principle because we knew there were insufficient funds available which we all agree upon.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. RECHTER: Far from sufficient

12 funds.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Exactly.

MR. RECHTER: And, therefore, we agreed to the cypres principle that the neediest should be taken care of first.

THE COURT: Exactly.

MR. RECHTER: And our proposal as your Honor knows, was that there should be a committee established from -- supervised by several Holocaust survivors, by Jewish Family Services or other social agencies representatives, by an officer of the Court and by an officer from the Claims Conference. And that committee should decide who the neediest

are, so we are really in favor of the neediest getting first because there aren't sufficient funds available. That was our proposal.

But when I talk about selective charities --

THE COURT: No, but I know -- yes, but the selective charity part is what I am challenging you on because to say that I should only give to people who need home care and not to others who are living below the poverty line

MR. RECHTER: No.

THE COURT: -- is subject to the charge of selective charity, as well.

MR. RECHTER: We did not say that. We -- then I misunderstood. I think that we have to help every needy person, whether he needs homecare or whether he needs medication or whether he needs utilities being reinstated because they have been shut off.

So, all needy person, depending on the need and we have -- it is a very difficult task before we have to decide within sufficient funds who to give it to first.

THE COURT: Exactly.

MR. RECHTER: Exactly. Which we do not feel it is fair to compare a situations in other countries including non-survivors with the situation here in the United States.

getting -- what I am -- are a greater priority now than the actual horrors and the damages for physical and psychological of the Holocaust itself. Who are the people who are afraid, now playing God and deciding that Holocaust survivors who are hungry, some for health, have greater rights than those that are hungry or whether they're here or those that have to choose between medication, food in the Soviet Union or anywhere else, have to make it a priority than over here.

We think everybody should get it, in the Former Soviet Union, too, not just over here. They also should be getting it. There are sufficient funds available between the Claims Conference and the \$650 million that are left over, that there should be no Holocaust survivor in need who should be left out of the deposit and has to live off the rest of his life in pain.

#### Leo Rechter

Over 55 years have passed and the survivors are no longer uninformed. We have in our ranks doctors, professionals, psychologists, bankers, engineers, certified financial planners, but we have no voice. Disrespect once again.

After four long years, over \$650 million are left over and we are trying to get some assistance immediately for the most needy amongst us. And we are trying to get it as soon as possible but we have no voice, no contract, no oversight.

Thousands are dying each year but the time is not right to help them. We have to wait a little longer. Assistance for fellow survivors in need, both they and us are being deluded of our dignities. This settlement was supposed to be about assets taken from us, both of the bank accounts and of our other properties that we have (unintelligible). Now we are being told that we have no standing. It was supposed to be about the Holocaust, not about communism and not about flawed economic policies of communists and post communist governments.

There are (unintelligible). Give some relief to all genuine Holocaust survivors,

```
wherever they live to make their final days a
 1
   little more bearable. No needy survivor should
 2
   be excluded because of where they live.
 3
   survivor should be excluded because he happens
   to live in the United States or because he came
 5
   to the United States and if he remained
 6
 7
   somewhere else, he would have gotten help.
 8
            All survivors in need should get fair
   share with local supervision by survivors
 9
10
   themselves. And the people they choose, they
11
   trust, not persons sent by an organization with
12
   its own agenda. This is supposed to be a
13
   Holocaust related settlement.
            THE COURT: There is no organization
14
15
   with its own agenda involved here.
16
            MR. RECHTER:
                          No, I --
17
            THE COURT: And I maybe -- I don't talk
   about anywhere else, but there's no
18
19
   centralized --
20
            MR. RECHTER: I beg to differ.
21
   need an organization we recognize it. But in
22
   the Former Soviet Union, it's the Reced
23
   (phonetic) organization.
24
            THE COURT: I know but that's --
```

they're not making, you know -- they're getting

25

the money to give to other people.

MR. RECHTER: Yes, but still they have no differences with the (unintelligible) survivor population.

This is supposed to be a Holocaust related fund not a general debate fund, not the communism relief fund, not the Jewish renewal in hostile soil. Let every deserving former Nazi victims have his fair share. Thank you.

And as far as the flaws in our studies are concerned, I would like to say, your Honor, I recognize relative needs. You should also have recognized relative financial power to create those reports. You know, we don't have the kind of funds available to other organizations who can spend their money or rather our money in order to prepare reports and find it more credited (unintelligible).

Thank you.

MR. DUBBIN: My name is Sam Dubbin. I represent the Holocaust Survivors Foundation, USA, Inc., as well as the leaders of the group, the group members and the individual members.

I will try to address some of the points that have been made along the way by the

Court, as well as some of the other speakers.

But fundamentally, my client's object to the special masters recommendation. They object about the timing issue, about the indefinite delay about letting more money be available for looted assets. And they object to the allocation formula.

I would like to begin by respectfully disagreeing with Mr. Berger about the existence of remaining legal issues. He says there are none left. My clients, the HFS, disagree. We believe that legal principles continue to govern in the case, that that's why they appealed the Court's March 9 decision and that's why we are formally objecting today to the special masters recommendation.

I, too, as Mr. Berger --

THE COURT: I don't think he meant he to suggest that there were no legal issues.

What I think he meant was that I've decided them all and unless they're reversed on appeal -
MR. DUBBIN: Fair enough. I was struck

MR. DUBBIN: Fair enough. I was struck by his comments --

THE COURT: I think that --

MR. DUBBIN: -- because, of course, we

(unintelligible).

23.

THE COURT: No, I think he said he spoke about my decisions that I've outlined as being the law of the case. Well, they're the law of the case until a higher court changes it. But there's no point in arguing them here because I've already decided them.

MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, I mean, I understand and I'm going to try to hit the major points and then get out in the time that we have. Because like Mr. Berger, I too have devoted a great deal of my personal life outside of the practice of law to Jewish community organizations that were forced with distressing budgetary constraints. But we don't agree that the principles of pure philanthropy can be equated with principles of justice. And in a class action case, the law cannot be transformed to --

THE COURT: Aren't you doing the same thing? I don't understand. You don't propose to give money, as I understand your position, to every needy survivor who is living below the poverty level in the United States. That wasn't your proposal.

1

MR. DUBBIN: When we began --

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

THE COURT: Your proposal was limited to a discreet group of people, a small fraction of the needy based on home care.

MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, when we first objected and then appealed, the belief was at the time that sufficient funds might be available to purchase an insurance contract for every single survivor. And that --

THE COURT: I know but that was based on nothing. And when it turned out that you couldn't do that, your proposal was to provide funding for at least 4,000 known people --

MR. DUBBIN: But --

THE COURT: -- which is roughly, you know, a fraction of those people living below the poverty level. So, I don't know what you're talking about when you criticize what we've done as some sort of impermissible philanthropy becuase you're proposing precisely the same kind of philanthropy.

MR. DUBBIN: I respectfully disagree, your Honor. Again, the principle was that every survivor had a right in the looted assets plan to assistance. When it became apparent that

sufficient funds would not be available to do that --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. DUBBIN: -- in consultation with Professor Newborn, lead counsel, we acquiesced the most efficient way to meet the needs, the fairest way to meet the needs would be to utilize the existing Jewish Social Service structure and the Jewish Family Services because that's where the people --

THE COURT: I know but --

MR. DUBBIN: -- who can't otherwise get access to --

THE COURT: -- the proposal that you provided was to provide health care for 4,000 people.

MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, I --

THE COURT: And maybe home car and maybe with outreach, possibly you would be 8,000 or possibly you would be 12,000. That was the concrete proposal that you made.

MR. DUBBIN: Respectfully, Judge, the proposal speaks for itself. It included home care. It also included medical -- emergency services which run the gamut of issues that

```
1
   Mr. Rechter addressed.
 2
            THE COURT:
                         Well --
            MR. DUBBIN:
                          Medication in a rent
 3
   subsidy area --
 4
 5
            THE COURT: I know.
                                  It's home care
 6
   it was 4,000 people.
 7
            MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor?
            THE COURT: That was what the proposal
 8
         You wanted $30 million a year for 4,000
 9
   people and for an outreach that might, according
10
11
   to Mr. Goldberg, reach as much as 8,000 or
12
   12,000 which is considerably less than the
   number of needy survivors if you define need by
13
14
   living below the poverty level.
15
            MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor?
16
            THE COURT: You're engaging in
17
   philanthropy.
18
            MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, may I?
                                              I
19
   would like to state my position becuase you are
20
   the judge. You've spoken in your March 9 order.
21
   My clients asked me to come and state our
22
   position with respect to (unintelligible).
23
            THE COURT: I understand that but I am
   free to ask you questions.
24
```

25

MR. DUBBIN: Of course. I would just

```
like to -- if I could state my position, I
 1
    realize I may not change your mind today and I'm
 3
   more than happy to engage in the dialogue.
    mindful of the time limits that were set for us.
 5
    I know you've also taken some of the time.
                         Have I cut off anybody?
 6
            THE COURT:
 7
            MR. DUBBIN:
                         No, sir.
 8
            THE COURT: Everybody from your group
 9
   spoke who wanted to.
10
            MR. DUBBIN: The proposal, your Honor,
11
   speaks for itself is what I am trying to say.
12
   It identified that 4,000 --
13
            THE COURT:
                       Right.
14
            MR. DUBBIN: -- survivors were
15
   currently getting home care from Jewish Family
16
   Services.
17
            THE COURT: Right. And you wanted $30
18
   million a year.
19
            MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, please, let
20
   me --
21
            THE COURT: No, I think you have to be
22
   accurate.
23
            MR. DUBBIN: I will. Let me --
24
            THE COURT: You asked for $30 million a
25
   year to fund 4,000 people, 40 percent of that
```

```
$30 million would actually go to search for other people and maybe according to

Mr. Goldberg, he would find another 4,000 to

8,000.
```

MR. DUBBIN: With all due respect, your Honor, that is not an accurate --

THE COURT: That's what it says.

MR. DUBBIN: -- characterization of what we filed. The document will speak for itself.

THE COURT: It does.

MR. DUBBIN: But we did, in fact, and Mr. Goldberg, contrary to what you stated to my clients earlier, he specified based upon surveys of his members in the Jewish Family Service system, the number of 4,000 who were not getting adequate care.

THE COURT: Every --

MR. DUBBIN: And he did a model of what it would cost to get those individuals not getting adequate care, the care they needed.

Okay. He also --

THE COURT: He specifically had -- he had a disclaimer there saying that he couldn't actually say how much care these people were

getting and I quoted it in my opinion. And that's basically it. It would turn out that even the 4,000 number, as he defined it, was soft because he put a caveat in there in which he says I can't tell whether these people -- this is what they're getting now from us, I can't tell in effect what they're getting elsewhere or from some other place.

MR. DUBBIN: Well, you see, but, your Honor, this is one of the points that I need to emphasize. You're suggesting that the people we identified in that study, in that proposal were the only needy survivors who lived in the United States.

THE COURT: I am not saying that.

MR. DUBBIN: Well, no becuase when you

17 | --

THE COURT: That's what you were saying.

MR. DUBBIN: No.

THE COURT: No, listen to me,

Mr. Dubbin. Your proposal was for \$30 millon a

year to pay for the health care and related

costs of 4,000 identifiable people and to spend,

my recollection may be about 14 or \$15 million

of that in an outreach program which
Mr. Goldberg thought based on talking with
people would generate another 4 to 8,000.

MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, the document will speak for itself.

THE COURT: It will.

MR. DUBBIN: Let me summarize from my perspective what we requested. And I believe the document will bear me out. That to meet the unmet needs of the 4,000 people who were currently in the system which he said were -- over half of them were getting less than half of what they needed, would be \$10.5 million, number one.

Number two, for emergency services for the 21,000 survivors in the system who were in the system by and large because they're poor, another \$3 million. That's the rent subsidies, the utilities, the dentures, the walkers that Mr. Rechter's talking about.

For transportation for yet other survivors in the system who can't afford it but who might have insurance but they can't get a ride to the doctor, that was another \$3 million. Now, he said \$3 million a year to conduct

outreach and \$10 million on average to meet the needs of the people who the outreach would bring in. That was the proposal.

THE COURT: So, that's 13 -- that's how many million dollars?

MR. DUBBIN: Well, you said to conduct outreach but the \$10 million of that was to serve them, was them to give them health care.

THE COURT: Assuming he found them. He wanted me to allocate money based on an assumption that he would find them and that assumption that he would find them is totally and completely unsupported. But that's besides the point.

All I am saying right now is you proposed what you would describe as a charitable philanthropic program that would not help all of the needy survivors in the United States.

That's what it comes down to, if need is based on who lives below the poverty level.

MR. DUBBIN: We disagree and here is why. Our position is that if you can't give every looted assets class member the health they are entitled to becuase of their status of having their property taken, that they, the

class members, have the right to determine what
happens with that money. And that's what the
leaders of the HSA have set forth. They're
survivors. They're members of the looted assets
class. They are elected leaders of survivors
organizations who have taken the position,
your Honor --

THE COURT: That was in the last submission that you gave.

MR. DUBBIN: Well, I'm making -- again, my objection as I filed a document today stands on --

THE COURT: That was in your motion for an immediate distribution which was filed relatively recently.

MR. DUBBIN: Well, but here's why,

Judge. When I filed the Goldberg proposal in

September 2002, I was told by Mr. Newborn

(phonetic), who was aware of what I was doing,

(a) that it was a workable plan and (b) that the

Judge loved the plan. Now, that's what I was

told. Now, that was September 2002.

Nothing happened until September 2003 and the reason it didn't, you know, I don't think Mr. Newborn would disagree with this. We

kept asking when money would be available on a subsequent allocation. And there was no information, no information, no information.

And it was at that point and we had conferences, as your Honor knows, because my clients were, indeed, frustrated having submitted a plan which at that point in time, we had no reason to believe the Court didn't believe that it satisfied what had been requested of us.

Mr. Newborn did write to members of the class and said Mr. Dubbin did -- submitted a plan in July 2002. We supplied it in September 2002 but we were never -- it was never suggested to us, and I'll go back to the record, that it was inadequate. And it wasn't until the fall of 2003 when Mr. Newborn did respond on the record, he conceded that we identified substantially greater needs that previously had been believed to exist. But his fault with the plan wasn't as detailed. He said we hadn't satisfactorily rebutted the demographic data of comparative need.

Now, this is what he said in the declaration. It's a matter of record. Our position is if we submitted a plan, if you go

back and look at what the JDC submitted to your Honor in April 2001 after the special masters recommendation, I would submit to you that the Burkover (phonetic) proposal we filed in September 2002 was as detailed as the JDC's plan which was simply ground numbers of the kinds of monies of the countries to which the money was going to go.

THE COURT: They had hard numbers.

MR. DUBBIN: So, with respect to that, your Honor, that's --

THE COURT: They had hard numbers of people they were going to serve. But go ahead.

MR. DUBBIN: Whatever. That's what was in the record and I submit to you that our proposal was up to that level of sophistication.

And unfortunately, Mr. Goldberg also admitted in that document that this was the first serious effort that had ever been undertaken in the Jewish community in this country to assess the home and health care and emergency needs and transportation needs of Holocaust survivors.

So, with all due respect as Mr. Rechter

pointed out, we're not a professional organization. We're not a heavily funded organization. We don't have access to communal funds or restitution funds or settlement funds to pay for major studies.

on --

We work with the people in the Jewish Family Service organization. Some of them sat down with me to come up with the best numbers available which we did.

THE COURT: Well, whether you have -- MR. DUBBIN: It was never objected to.

THE COURT: Whether you have the money to do it or not, if it doesn't get done, it doesn't get done and I can't make awards based

MR. DUBBIN: But -- I --

THE COURT: -- your best efforts.

MR. DUBBIN: My point simply is is that it was up to the same standards of what the JDC proposed in April 2001. Mr. Newborn after, you know, for over a year and a half never objected to the quality of the information or the quality of the plan. He did, after a year and a half, disagree that he -- he said we hadn't satisfactorily addressed the question of

relative needs which respectfully, I was never - the charge that was given to us at the time we
drew up the appeals, from the time we continued
to try to work something out over the next year
and a half. That's my point.

And I do not believe -- and I'll say one thing that is equally important, the numbers that the UJC and the New York City Federation submitted on January 30 are based on the very same numbers from Mr. Goldberg's organization and they more than confirm exactly what Mr. Goldberg said. And they lament the fact that the Judge is not interested or the Court's not interested or the special master has not acknowledged that outreach is an appropriate way to spend the money.

THE COURT: I didn't say that.

MR. DUBBIN: My clients are suffering from a disadvantage.

THE COURT: Nobody said that outreach wasn't the way to spend the money at the time. The question was how do you make a judgment about how to spend \$10 or \$11 or \$12 million based on somebody saying we think we'll find more people.

1	MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, it was a plan
2	that nobody objected to as detailed. I submit
3	that it was the same as the JDC's and it was
4	good enough for the UJC and the New York
5	Federation to rely upon and they're finding more
6	than document, the degree of need that
7	Mr. Goldberg said exists. That's the point as
8	far as that the my clients demonstration of
9	need.
10	And the aggregate poverty data with
11	that the NJPS has reported sufficiently
12	documents
13	THE COURT: No, it doesn't.
14	MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, again, that's
15	
16	THE COURT: It doesn't. It says
17	MR. DUBBIN: The level of let me
18	give you another example. You said
19	THE COURT: It doesn't document it.
20	MR. DUBBIN: Can I
21	THE COURT: Two percent said they can't
22	make ends meet.
2.3	MR. DUBBIN: Okay. You then went back
24	to David Takinle (phonotic) affidavit and you

said if they've got 5 to 10,000 survivors and

25

only 245 are now getting care --

THE COURT: Well, that was all you gave me, so I relied -- I went through it and analyzed it and said well, what inferences could draw from that. That was the only hard evidence that you provided.

MR. DUBBIN: Today, you said that that was the extent of the needy survivors in Broward County.

THE COURT: I didn't say that.

MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, with all --

THE COURT: I said to the extent that you equate need with the need for home health care and related services, which is what you've been doing, then that was all you gave me.

MR. DUBBIN: Judge, we're talking about unmet need. But there is something of a sort of a safety net in this country and some Americans have access to it. We're not disputing that. But the New York City Federation study and the poverty study of those survivors makes it extremely clear that a large, large number of the poor survivors in this community do not have access to many of those social safety nets. Now that's --

THE COURT: Well, I mean, I am not sure that that's --

MR. DUBBIN: And so, I respectfully submit that you can't draw the conclusion -THE COURT: -- the case.

MR. DUBBIN: That's documented in the record in the New York City's Federation submissions. So, it's unfair to those survivors who are in need who are not getting access to the social safety nets to say that becuase of the existence of these social safety nets for the society in general that they are penalized for having access to the Swiss bank settlement funds.

THE COURT: They're not. They're not penalized. To the extent that people have available a social safety net, then they're not -- the social safety net counts in determining whether a particular person is in need or not. If they don't have access to a social safety net, then that doesn't count in determining whether they're in need. But I don't think you could ignore the social safety net that's available, particularly here in New York where most of the needy survivors live.

MR. DUBBIN: Again, the New York City
Federation study does not agree with your
Honor's conclusion that the social safety net is
accessible to all the survivor's needs.

THE COURT: I didn't say that it was accessible to all. I said that to those for whom it's accessible, you can't in determining the extent of their need. And to those for whom it's not accessible, then obviously you don't count it in determining the extent of their need.

MR. DUBBIN: And if you have a -THE COURT: But one of the things that
may explain why only two percent who responded
to the Jewish population survey that they
couldn't make ends meet, only two percent, is
because one of the things that suggest that -that explains that, even though 30 percent or 25
percent may be living below the poverty line is
the presence of the social safety net --

MR. DUBBIN: And in the --

THE COURT: -- that helps people who otherwise couldn't make ends meet.

MR. DUBBIN: But, your Honor, with all due respect, we submit that the data in the

record as Mr. Sheskin testified and the level of poverty in the New York City poverty (unintelligible).

Now, I will say the following. I (unintelligible) the question, comprehensively speaking as to the extent to which social safety nets do or don't protect survivors in this country. If Bert Goldberg's proposal of two years ago, your Honor, was the first ever to comprehensively assess what the needs of survivors are --

THE COURT: It didn't comprehensively assess anything. But go ahead.

MR. DUBBIN: It was the most and the first. And if there's anything better than that or prior to that, your Honor, I would like to see it. I mean, he is in charge of the national organization that managed -- that oversees the (unintelligible) insurance.

THE COURT: And he said how many people are getting services from his organization. He didn't -- he wasn't even prepared to say that the only -- his organization was the only source of those services.

MR. DUBBIN: Well because that they're

```
not. I mean, in New York City they're not.

THE COURT: Well, I understand that.

MR. DUBBIN: In other places they're

not.
```

THE COURT: So, you're making my point. Let's go.

MR. DUBBIN: Okay. My point simply is our position is that the aggregate poverty data substantiate the degree of unmet need that has been documented both by our proposal and by the UJC and by the New York City Federation and the other individual social service organizations that makes submissions to your Honor. And that the assumption that the social safety net eliminates the poverty level, we don't believe is well founded. That's our position.

We do also object to the special masters recommendation with respect to the timing and I would like to just reiterate, in essence, the argument we made in our motion for immediate allocation. We believe that based upon the fact that it has been five years since the deposited assets class processing took place.

THE COURT: Well, it's not five years

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but, you know, to the extent that it begun, think it's more like two and a half years. But keeping that in mind, to the extent that we possibly could, we made additional distributions both to the slave labor and to the looted assets class, to the point whereas of this moment, as if you heard some of the speakers who spoke earlier, that as of this moment, the slave labor class and the looted assets class have actually gotten more than the deposited assets class. And we've been able to do that becuase of legislation that was enacted with the help of some of the members of the plaintiff's class that it exempted the income that was being earned from taxation and becuase of the income that was earned.

And so, we've kept that in mind to the point where there was a substantial increase in the amount allocated to the other classes to the point whereas of this moment in terms of money distributed, those classes have actually gotten more than the deposited assets class.

MR. DUBBIN: I mean, look, I would just remind the Court that we are in favor of the deposited assets class getting their money. We

said to the Court back in May 2002 that the recent revelation at the time about the initial failure of the (unintelligible) to publish 15,000 names was a problem. And we urged the Court at the time make that happen right away and we specifically predicted and I won't go through a reading, your Honor, because the time I know is running short, that the delay in the dealing with the 15,000 was going to end up delaying allocations for what might be available in residuals.

so, we regret the fact that this wasn't addressed, you know, two years earlier when we first brought it to the Court's attention becuase sure enough, we have people suffering, we have people dying without help. So does Israel and so does the former Soviet Union. And we believe and it's based on the same principles that we've asserted in the motion for an interim -- immediate interim allocation that if you took \$200 million today and put it to work for the next two or three year period all over the world, that you would save lives, you would restore dignity, you would help people who were entitled to it becuase they're legitimate looted

asset class members.

And I strongly doubt after the tens of millions of dollars that have been paid to arbitrators and accountants and others over the years that this has been going on, that you would threaten the bank account claimants for which about \$400 or \$450 million which remain. I read carefully the deposit assets special masters report and again, \$400 million for those potential claims should be sufficient.

And during -- and if you put that money to work to help people who are looted assets class members and members of the class and they're entitled on a fair basis in the next few years, you then do what I think everybody believed this lawsuit was about, which is helping Holocaust survivors get help and dignity and access to the property that was generated as a result of their litigation.

THE COURT: The lawsuit was about bank account holders.

MR. DUBBIN: Again, we -- our objection to the special masters recommendation, your Honor, is that any further allocations be made for the benefit of the looted assets class

members is that we again suggest that \$250 million --

THE COURT: That's basically what he's recommended although that's not necessarily an inevitable alternative. The monies -- this is a cypres from the bank account class. But you should understand in terms of all the arguments that we may have had is what there is agreement on. There's agreement on all monies going to survivors and what's left going to the neediest survivors. And that is three-quarters or 80 percent of an area where we have agreement on and there is an element of disagreement about how you determine need.

But on two critical principles that gets us to the dispute that we have about the last, what I would call, 25 percent is that the notion that all monies go to survivors and that monies from the deposited assets class go to the neediest survivors as well. That, I don't have to tell you is not the only cypres that could have been employed here.

MR. DUBBIN: Speaking of agreement, I'm of the position with agreeing with the JDC with respect to the need for immediate funds to be

devoted to the looted assets class. And I'm in agreement with the Israelis who favor a delay on the bank accounts but who, you know, object to the allegation form. But let me address the allegation form, your Honor.

As you know, my client's position is the looted assets funds should be allocated to survivors in need wherever they live. And that due to the substantial needs that exist in the US, the FSU and Israel, that the only allocation formula that satisfies Rule 23 in our view and one that benefits of the class as a whole is one based upon each's country's relative share of the survivor of Nazi victim population. That way, class members in need in each society would have access to settlement funds with respect to this class.

THE COURT: That just fundamentally makes no sense. Under your theory, just to take a ludicrous hypothetical but that proves the point, if there were one needy survivor in the United States but there were 25 percent of the survivors in the world or 20 or 15 lived in the United States, then that one person would get all of that money.

	i
1	MR. DUBBIN: Judge, that's not our
2	position. If you read the
3	THE COURT: But that takes it down to
4	its extreme.
5	MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor?
6	THE COURT: But that's basically what
7	you're saying.
8	MR. DUBBIN: You can't recharacterize
9	my position.
10	THE COURT: Sure I can.
11	MR. DUBBIN: Our position is our
12	position. We have filed it with the Court.
13	It's a plan we submitted on January 30 and we
14	said
15	THE COURT: Your position is becuase 25
16	percent of the world Jewish population lives in
17	the United States, I should allocate 25 percent
18	of the money regardless of whether 25 percent of
19	the neediest live in the United States.
20	MR. DUBBIN: That's
21	THE COURT: I'm not doing it.
22	MR. DUBBIN: I understand, your Honor,
23	but just to make sure it's clear on this record,

our position as we proposed on January 30 is

that given the level of documentation of need

24

25

that has been made both by the Family Service
Association, the UJC, the Federation of New York
and others, given the aggregate poverty data,
demonstrating that there are a lot more poor
survivors in this country, that the need is
sufficient in this country, that there are
sufficient people in need to allocate the U.S.
survivor's prorated share --

THE COURT: So, why don't you just rely on those figures?

MR. DUBBIN: Can I please just finish what my position is, Judge?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. DUBBIN: If you allocated the U.S. survivor's prorated share for the looted assets class to this country and allowed the institutions in this country that screen people based upon those needs and deliver services to survivors, you would not encounter the situation you're describing where the existence of one in needs are there.

THE COURT: No, one that --

MR. DUBBIN: The needs are there, that is clear.

THE COURT: That is a hypothetical that

1 basically takes --

MR. DUBBIN: It's not a hypothetical.

THE COURT: It is.

MR. DUBBIN: It's a fact. The needs are there. The UJC -- we documented in September of 2002 --

THE COURT: Look, I'm not arguing about what needs are there or are not there. The question is what percentage of the neediest survivors live here, what percentage live elsewhere, not what percentage of the world survivor population in general lives in the United States, a figure which you've overstated at 25 percent. You've now gone to 20 percent.

MR. DUBBIN: Well, it's interesting that you bring that up, Judge, and here's why, okay? Becuase no one had particularly -- no one had any real data to speak up.

THE COURT: So, you just make it up.

MR. DUBBIN: No, that's not true, okay?

If you looked at what -- if you looked at the distribution under the Swiss needy allocations,

30 percent to the United States.

THE COURT: What does that prove?

MR. DUBBIN: If you looked at what

happened -- well, Judge, I didn't just make it up.

THE COURT: What does that prove?

MR. DUBBIN: 30 percent of the money
that went in the looted -- in the slave labor
case from Germany went to people in the United
States. That was a significant number.

THE COURT: What does that --

MR. DUBBIN: So, there were variations in the 25 percent number was a fair estimate given that the other source of information that existed and by the way, if you looked at Mr. DellaPergola's information, he will tell you that 29 percent of the camp survivors lived in the United States.

So, I am not -- it's unfair. We have acquiesced, your Honor, several important imperatives that came from the bench including the initial allocation formula, including allowing the -- dropping the appeals so those funds could go out to help the people who, I understand, your Honor, have felt very, very strongly about helping.

The broader definition of who is a member of the class and who should have access

to funds is one that we have accepted. We're not challenging that. The data is very old.

We can --

THE COURT: Then I don't know how you could challenge it because most of the neediest survivors in the United States are from the former Soviet Union and that who is being challenged at the outset.

MR. DUBBIN: Well, as we said in our January 30 document, a survivor in need is a survivor in need.

THE COURT: I know but that wasn't your

13 first --

MR. DUBBIN: And we feel the United
States --

THE COURT: That was after you found out that most of the survivors in need in the United States were from the former Soviet Union. Before you found that out, you were only conceding it for the purpose of argument. And that the people from the former Soviet Union were people who should be deemed to be survivors.

MR. DUBBIN: Judge, may I? I respectfully disagree with that. We said it was

arranged. We believe the 25 percent at the time was a reasonable range based upon the other data. We submitted a plan that went to serve those people who the Jewish Family Service organizations determined were in that level of need. So, I respectfully disagree.

It's true that we have differences.

WE're a democratic organization. Members of our group have differences of opinion about who might be more entitled under one paradigm or another but our court documents which were, you know, requested on my clients, unanimously approved by my clients, is our client's official position.

And we believe there are enough funds available to provide substantial assistance to class members in need everywhere in the near term. And again, during this time the bank account claims can be proved, other sources of Holocaust restitution funds such as insurance proceeds, such as proceeds of the insurance property, can be accessed to augment the Swiss funds that be made available to date.

We find it ironic that the existing distribution paradigm takes into account past

flows of reparations and the availability of other social safety nets in various societies but is not asked the simple question of what other Holocaust restitution funds might be accessed today and in the future to meet the needs of survivors.

So, the admission of the other possible sources leads to what paradigm that justifies taking the Swiss funds, putting them in a pipeline for the benefit of certain class members to the detriment of other class members in need. My clients object to that paradigm becuase it strangely posits that current suffering will be tolerated while some of the funds sit in the bank to be available for future needs in other places.

Why not help those in need today with the funds available today? Class members in need should not be denied assistance just because of where they live while the funds are stockpiled for the needs of others.

THE COURT: There were people here today who took a contrary position who said until I was absolutely certain about how much money was available, I shouldn't give out

1 anything.

MR. DUBBIN: Not members of my organization, your Honor.

THE COURT: No, not members of your organization but I think that they were responsible voices.

MR. DUBBIN: This is -- my clients are taking this position. They're class members. You know, among the other major objections is Mr. Rosenbaum eluded to, with regard to the allocation formula is the reliance on post Holocaust experiences and phenomenas to justify the use of funds for people in one geographic area as opposed to another.

In other words, we object to the combining of property restitution such as occurred here with these concepts of post war reparations with Germany. It does create a moral disposalance (sic) between the way human beings were being treated by the Nazi's Holocaust with what happened in communism.

THE COURT: No, it doesn't. I mean, we're talking about who got what when and for what reason.

MR. DUBBIN: But in a lawsuit over the

1 -

1.5

THE COURT: People living in the former Soviet Union got no reparations. Therefore, if they didn't get the \$14 billion that the survivors in the United States got, then they're down \$14 billion.

MR. DUBBIN: And what does that have to do with the Swiss bank from the Jewish people during the Holocaust?

THE COURT: Well, first of all, what it has to do with is making a determination of who is in need. And number two, in responding to your arguments that somehow that in general survivors in the United States have gotten the short end of the stick when they've actually gotten the long end of the stick.

MR. DUBBIN: Well, again --

THE COURT: That's what it has to do

19 with --

MR. DUBBIN: Again, we respectfully disagree, your Honor. I want to address that briefly becuase I addressed the fact that the poverty data demonstrates a much larger number than the 7200 survivors in the United States who lived in great need which was the figure

your Honor posited as justifying on a mathematical level the 18.75 to 1 ration. We think that --

THE COURT: I also said a mathematical level was unnecessary but since you wanted to engage in it, I would take you up on that analysis.

MR. DUBBIN: Again, our position, your Honor, and our position to the special masters recommendation is that the data satisfies the test that you met.

You mentioned previously and this is important, that there's no looted assets subclass composed of U.S. survivors. But the division of class members into countries where they live today for purposes of getting benefits from the looted assets class is precisely what the special masters original report recommended and that the Court approved.

It's true that there was no notice in advance that that's how the looted assets class funds were going to be divided up. That's what happened. In fact, I would say that if you examine the special masters looted assets class allocation recommendation, the defining

1 attribute of his allocation plan and the
2 subsequent recommendations, is that it creates
3 subclasses as my --

THE COURT: No, it doesn't. It doesn't create any subclasses.

MR. DUBBIN: It creates the --

THE COURT: It looks to where the neediest people and it happens that because the neediest people are in one particular place, they get a larger share of the money. You could phrase it in geographic terms. But that wasn't the --

MR. DUBBIN: And that's what the special master did. I mean, the report -THE COURT: Well, no.

MR. DUBBIN: I mean the report defined -- the allocation plan and the subsequent recommendations creates subclass by country of current residence and allocates settlement funds by differentiating among the plaintiffs within the same subclasses based upon factors unrelated to plaintiff's Holocaust experience such as where they live today, such as what social safety nets are available today, such as where -

THE COURT: Well, if you --

MR. DUBBIN: -- Germany made reparation payments.

THE COURT: If you're going to do it on the basis of need, you have to see what people get in terms of a social safety net and have gotten before. Otherwise, how do you determine need, if you're talking about where the neediest survivors reside.

All of those factors, what they've gotten and what is available in terms of the social safety net go to the ultimate issue of need.

MR. DUBBIN: And our position on that, your Honor, is spelled out in our January 30 plan.

You made reference in your order that -

2.3

THE COURT: This is not a motion to reargument of my order.

MR. DUBBIN: No, I --

THE COURT: You made an untimely motion for rehearing. You filed an affidavit of Mr. Sheskin late.

MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, that's on

appeal to --

THE COURT: It was not included in your motion for rehearing and the purpose of this is not to have another argument on a motion for hearing.

MR. DUBBIN: I'm not --

THE COURT: I would suggest that what you should do is file a motion for an accelerated appeal in the Court of Appeals which I bet you won't.

MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, we also object to the rationale that justifies the looted asset formulates on the basis that U.S. survivors would receive 29 percent of the overall settlement funds.

THE COURT: It doesn't justify it.

MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor?

THE COURT: No, I told you. I said it before to I forget who. It's not a question of justifying it. It's a question of putting out all of the facts to indicate exactly how much United States survivors have gotten in response to an argument again, a demagogic one that the survivors in the United States have gotten the short end of the stick.

MR. DUBBIN: Our position, Judge --1 2 THE COURT: It doesn't justify anything. It simply a response to an argument 3 that's predicated on demagoguery --5 MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor, the --6 THE COURT: -- to lead people to 7 believe that somehow they have gotten nothing from the Swiss bank settlement when they've 8 9 gotten a significant part of it for different 10 not the looted assets class but the slave labor 11 class, the refugee class and --12 MR. DUBBIN: My client's position is 13 that every subclass should stand on its own when 14 the settlement proceeds are allocated. 15 THE COURT: I understand. But all I am 16 -- I don't disagree with that. 17 MR. DUBBIN: What value is it, 18 your Honor --19 THE COURT: I'm just saying that the 20 reason for citing those figures about what 21 percentage has gone to survivors in the United 22 States is to rebut an argument, a demagogic 23 argument, that you make repeatedly that some --24 and anyone reading it on a superficial level

would think that the only thing that the

25

```
1
   survivors in the United States have gotten from
 2
    the Swiss settlement fund is 4 percent of the
   money. And that --
 3
            MR. DUBBIN: I take great umbrage,
 4
 5
   your Honor, that you would cite how other people
 6
   might characterize they read about in the
   findings in court as being indicative of our
 7
 8
   position. That's not our position.
 9
            THE COURT: It's not.
10
            MR. DUBBIN: We have said all along
11
   that it is an (unintelligible).
12
            THE COURT: You show me where you've
13
   stated it correctly anywhere.
14
            MR. DUBBIN:
                         Your Honor?
15
            THE COURT: Anywhere.
16
            MR. DUBBIN: In every single document
17
   I've filed we've said that this is about the
18
   allocation of the looted assets class fund
19
   period.
20
            THE COURT: I understand that.
21
            MR. DUBBIN:
                         Of what value is it to a
22
   looted assets class member to someone else that
23
   the deposited assets class would receive
```

compensation for their bank account.

THE COURT: Well, first of all, there

24

25

are people who got money from slave labor and from the other class -- and deposited assets who are also in the looted assets class. I mean, you know, this is fundamentally an artificial division that's being made up. There are people who are slave laborers who are in a looted assets class.

MR. DUBBIN: Either the looted assets class is --

THE COURT: You could argue that the bank accounts are looted assets in a sense.

MR. DUBBIN: I didn't plead the case, your Honor, but I was asked by the class member to represent their interest in connection with the allocation of the looted assets class.

THE COURT: Fine.

MR. DUBBIN: It was a -- there was a defined class from the case and our argument throughout has been solely and singularly predicated on the looted assets class allocation to the point where we didn't even object to the special masters adding \$450 to the original slave labor payments because, you know, whatever. That was -- you know, so I strongly disagree with the mischaracterization of the

1 claims that we filed.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 To the extent the diluted assets funds are now being used as sort of an equalizer with 3 respect to other set of classes, we contend 4 5 that's a violation of Rule 23 becuase those looted asset class members will receive no 6 7 consideration for the compromise of their claims 8 in violation of (unintelligible) and the auction 9 on this case. And the argument, which I 10 understand has been advanced in the special 11 masters recommendation is your argument, as 12 well, is your order --

THE COURT: That would be true by the way under your plan because you don't propose to give money to every member of the looted assets class either, assuming -- you know, like I went through this in my opinion but basically, every legal argument that you've made to try and shoot this down applies to whatever you've done.

MR. DUBBIN: The looted assets class member --

THE COURT: Do you propose to give money to every member of the looted assets class?

MR. DUBBIN: We propose --

THE COURT: That can be answered yes or no. Do you propose to give money to every member of the looted assets class?,

MR. DUBBIN: No, sir, we do not.

THE COURT: No.

MR. DUBBIN: But --

THE COURT: And so the people who you're not giving money to, they will have gotten under your theory, no consideration for their release.

MR. DUBBIN: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Isn't that true?

MR. DUBBIN: No, people have a

responsibility to stand up and express their position with regard to a case which they're a part of. My clients have done that. They are class members. They have objected. They have objected to the fact that members of the looted assets class who have needs who live in this country have gotten no benefit from. That's our position. And that's what the appellate court is going to decide.

But the argument that after the fact that the looted assets claim would have been dismissed doesn't permit the result because you

1 | -

THE COURT: But your proposal suffers from that same flaw, assuming it's a flaw, because you would propose a plan that does not give money to every member of the looted assets class and, therefore, it suffers from the same defect that you claim what's been authorized up to now.

MR. DUBBIN: I respectfully disagree, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, you can disagree.

MR. DUBBIN: I've stated what our

13 position is.

THE COURT: I know you have.

MR. DUBBIN: But the class members have the right to determine how their money gets spent. The argument, for example, that those receiving the bulk of the looted assets class didn't have access to Swiss bank accounts and didn't seek refuge in Switzerland and that many were not slave laborers, you know, proves the Rule 23 violations didn't work because it the differentiates between --

THE COURT: No, it doesn't. Look, you take --

MR. DUBBIN: -- looted assets class members based on whether they might have had claims under one of the other subclasses becuase not only does it differentiate among members of the class but thousands of survivors who now live in this country did not have access to Swiss banks, did not seek refuge in Switzerland and didn't do slave labor. Why should those individuals be denied access to funds from the looted assets class simply because others who live here might have access to Swiss bank accounts or who have been slave laborers.

The shortcomings, we believe, of the allocation plan or the formula that's been recommended by the special master illustrated by the State of Israel WJRO's memorandum of law, becuase they argue that Israeli's of north African origin should be counted for purposes of measuring the degree of neediness among Israeli Nazi victims because, they say, it would be a great act of historical justice to acknowledge the subjection of these Jews Nazi persecution. And they argue, "Many of these victims are also very needy. Victims not only of the Nazis but of the Arab-Israeli conflict."

HSF contends that such reasoning
vividly illustrates the shortcomings of the
current formula. The Arab-Israeli conflict is
indeed a reason, a vital reason for Jews to
support Israel in all of its facets but it has
nothing to do with class members who had
material losses during the Holocaust.

Similarly, recognition of the victimization of Jews from North Africa may well be a historical imperative but what relationship is there between their experiences and the Swiss banks? And the criteria being applied, your Honor, opens the doors for these kinds of arguments about who is indeed, in terms of needier.

Let me just conclude, your Honor, by I think what I have -- we talked about standing, your Honor, did we -- I don't believe the law requires class members who object who demonstrate individual standing but in addition to our prior argument, I have here several hundred individual consents of Holocaust survivors, looted assets class members who are members of the HFS organization who specifically have authorized through to challenge the

recommendation in this appeal process. I filed it in court and I just wanted to make sure the Court was aware of them. I'll give Mr. Newhorn this service copy rather than (unintelligible).

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. EVRON: My name is Yehuda Evron.

I'm the president of Holocaust Restitution

Committee, an organization for many years is trying to recover the properties of the survivors in Poland.

Honorable Judge Korman, I would like to express my support and appreciation for you and your staff taking on the work on this difficult and complex task, for distributing the Swiss accounts fund.

We all hope that this will bring -- you will bring this issue to a just and fair conclusion soon. As a survivor who lives in the United States, I would like the Court to see the maximum possible allocation for the survivors who live here. I also consider myself an Israeli who lives in New York and therefore, I would like to see the same kind of allocation for the survivors in Israel.

#### Yehuda Evron

Hearing about the terrible situation of the survivors in the Former Soviet Union, we understand they cannot be neglected. It is clear that each country wants the most for its survivors. The problem is that in order to allocate and satisfy the percentage that eary country is requiring, you will need 100 percent or 200 percent of the funds.

Therefore, the criteria for the kind of decision should be the information and the research that come from you and your staff and, of course, your personal judgment. If some people of the organization have some additional reliable information that might influence your decision, they have to meet with you or your staff and present it now.

And a matter of fact, a few of us have submitted to Professor Newborne a suggestion to meet with the survivors' representatives from the three countries, review all of the information and submit the common recommendation that is acceptable to all of us.

We think that this is more appropriate way to solve this problem than demonstration, the legal appeals, that might only delay the

### Yehuda Evron

allocation of funds that needy survivors are waiting for so long. Needy survivors are sick and old and they cannot wait anymore.

2.2

And now a few words for my friends, the survivors. While the (unintelligible) take so much effort in how to distribute existing achievements, I think that we are neglecting additional achievements that are coming to us.

I say to my friends the survivors that we need demonstration, we need demonstration but not in front of the court. We need demonstration in front of the Germany embassy, to demand home care for the survivors. Home care that is given to ex-Nazi officers in Germany. We need demonstration in front of the Swiss embassy to demand immediate release of all the survivors bank accounts, so that Judge Korman can permit the payment of those survivors for all cash accounts.

And we need demonstration in front of the Polish, Hungarian, and other Eastern European countries that refuse to return properties to the survivors.

Let's start some action that will bring some positive results for the survivors. And I

```
would also like to you ask you about another report that was submitted to you, the Florin Blatt (phonetic) report and some of us worked with -- to collect the data and see if this report was approved, accepted or what is the situation of this report.
```

THE COURT: I don't know. I believe that that's the UJC proposal.

MS. EVRON: Yes, Florin Blatt's.

THE COURT: Yes, it's been filed.

MS. EVRON: But I understand that one report was rejected by Korman. What about this one?

THE COURT: I don't know.

MS. EVRON: The report was --

THE COURT: I'm not sure. You know, why don't you check with the special master.

MS. EVRON: Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: He is sitting right there.

MS. EVRON: Okay. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Hanka Hirshaut.

MS. HIRSHAUT: Judge Korman, this is the second time that we meet face to face. I remember two years ago and one thing remained in my memory, when I said, "You're very patient."

You said, "I have all the time in the world."

It seems that you follow the same thing today because anybody would go already bananas.

I thank you for allowing me to speak today to address the Court. And I speak on behalf of survivors in Queens. I'm president of the Queens Chapter of Holocaust Survivors but also a member of the American Gathering Warsaw Organization and also I'm on the advisory board of the Claims Conference.

I'm not a lawyer. I'm not a lobbyist.

I just speak and I am not paid for anything that
I do. I am in the movement of causes of
survivors since 1945. 1945. I sat down at the
desk of just liberated part of Warsaw and I
started to work registering survivors. And I
never stopped for one day to help or to work on
behalf of the survivors. I worked in Poland,
France and then the United States.

I survivors the horrors of the Holocaust. I lost my entire family. I was the only one to survive from both sides, any side, nobody survived from my father's side, my mother's side, nobody. I also lost a baby in the ghetto, was killed.

watched the survivors and watched out myself how people were starving. They came with nothing. They never asked for helps. Survivors were never on welfare. Survivors didn't take any charity. And by their own means, they worked themselves up, brought up this beautiful second generation and never asked for anything.

However, ask I watch this population that I meet at our organization and elsewhere, I see the way this thing evolves now. They are getting -- we are getting old. We are getting sick, disabled and even in these United States, yes, people are in dire need of help.

They, many times, they -- you have statistics of how many poor are in New York.

I'll elaborate on that. But we have cases, they will not tell us or they will not tell anybody that they need help.

And if I suggest something, they said I don't want to be on welfare. I don't want to be on charity. And when I suggest Meals on Wheels they said no because what will the neighbors say. They're proud, they still keep their dignity and they don't want to e classified as

1 destitute and poor.

Now, we had a meeting with Israel
Singer and other people and I presented the
situation of homecare. Yes, in New York there
is Medicaid. Thank God for those that need it.
But Medicaid you have to be totally destitute to
qualify for Medicaid. You cannot have any
assets. You cannot have nothing.

so, then there are the rich that don't need anything. They can manage and they manage pretty well, thank you. But there is that middle class or lower middle class who worked hard, saved a few dollars. They don't qualify for Medicaid and they break and hip or something else or they get colon cancer like I now -- many cases. And they don't have any help from anybody because as Rabbi Singer said, they aren't there. The ones in the middle because they don't qualify for the charity and they cannot get any help from anybody.

Now, as far as numbers are concerned, I got last week a letter from the Metropolitan Council and Policy, and they claim in that letter that they are 325,230 needy survivors, low quality lief. And this struck me kind of

and I called them up and I said, Could you give me an idea of how many survivors are in among them?" Out of three -- and this is only New York. They say the biggest poverty among Jews is in New York. It is also in Florida. I know.

So, they couldn't get me an answer immediately but then somebody called me from the executive board and told me that you can say more or less 15 percent. 15 percent out of 325,000 would be what? About 40,000 people who are below poverty line.

And these people have to be helped. They are survivors. And where do they go? I remember two years ago when we were sitting and discussing the whole money that was coming then from another source, that I suggested that we wait, don't pay a penny to the survivors. Let's form a foundation and from this foundation we will be able to get either universal help --home care insurance for all survivors or have grants.

And I remember, I have the letter that you wrote to me because I corresponded with you, and you said "It almost went through. If it could not have been for some things that went

through." And I'm not going to divulge this.

So, yesterday, just by chance, yesterday I was a meeting for the board of special -- of emergency funds and our duty or our work is to review the cases. Yesterday, we had about 49 cases. And your Honor, if you would see the horrors, the poverty they live in and the emergency funds now, it is already (unintelligible), it used to be \$1,500 a year, now they can get \$2,500.

So, yesterday we were debating if the people who get -- who need immediately for rent or Medicare or some other -- or a man, who is -- now he needs an air conditioner and these people are begging for help. I don't know sometimes what is happening now. Survivors more and more are alone. They're getting old. Their brother or whoever, you know there was no family to begin with, so -- but those that were lucky to have a brother or a sister, they died.

If they have children, some children live around but some children live very far and they are alone. I got a telephone call that a woman 92 years old, she don't need any money, please, but she needs somebody to call. She's

1 | all alone.

And every day, we are faced with this problem. Survivors in New York are in need in New York and in the U.S. are in need of health now. They are dying in staggering numbers. We were at the commemoration of Temple Emmanuel. Speaker after speaker spoke about how much time. It was very sad but this was the truth, how much time we have left. Until now we're talking about ten years, now they talk about six years.

So, in six years everybody is going to e freed from this burden of caring for Holocaust survivors. I hope I die before I need any help from anybody. I'm not rich and if God forbid a situation would arise that I need home care, I would be in big trouble.

And what I am proposing here is if you take \$100 and you send it and you say we're going to give \$100 to each survivor, the \$100 in New York will buy you 24 hour care, if you take it from an agency. It's \$12 an hour.

In Israel -- in the Former Soviet
Union, it will buy you health for a month. So,
the money when it's allocated, should be taken
in this context. We're going to send the value

of what they need, whether it's food, rent or whatever. Yes, we live in the richest country in the world but we pay a price for it.

2.3

We pay very high rents. We pay fortunes for our medications. We pay fortunes for doctors and we pay fortunes for food because it's expensive. So, here is a thing that has to be taken under consideration. How we allocate the money, not only how many people are there but how much money these people need to meet their needs while in America, they will need ten times more.

THE COURT: I agree with you.

MS. HIRSHAUT: And also now, I was sitting here the whole day and just marveling because you didn't even get up from your chair and move. Unbelievable. And I was thinking that we have to come to a decision -- you have to come, unfortunately everything is on your shoulder but I would suggest if I may, in my humble knowledge of law or whatever, that maybe a commission should be formed that you call on the representatives of Israel and the Soviet Union and the USA and sit down and decide how are we going to solve this problem? You need

Solomon's wisdom and you probably have it, you can take it all.

THE COURT: I don't. No, I don't have it.

MS. HIRSHAUT: Yes, you have it. And so, you know, in those -- yesterday, when we reviewed those cases, there were people who were homeless. There were people who were scarred psychologically that they wouldn't go in an Access-A-Ride car because it had the police decal and she said, "I'm not going to go in that car." That's how affected they are and that's how sick they are.

And people who don't remember what they ate today, they have their own memory of the Holocaust and they are scared now more than ever. They are suffering. And I spoke to a psychologist. They're suffering from, you know, delayed stress -- whatever you call it, delayed stress syndrome but this is what is coming now to haunt them with all of the things that's happening, with Israel with all the things. People who should have lived now in peace and the word dignity is very much used, too much, I think. These people now need help, right now,

not tomorrow, not in a month, today.

And if we wait and I had one who said to me she was operated for colon cancer, she said, "Do you think I'll still get the second part of the slave labor because maybe I am not going to live?" And then one who called the other day who is legally blind and who also has cancer, she said to me, "I have a Hungarian woman. She's Hungarian. I cannot pay anybody else but my money is running out. What will I do? How will I manage?" And she started to cry. She said, "I don't have long to go. I want you to know, the doctor said."

I said, "Viola, we will see what we can do, just let us know."

We don't have the money. Our organization is totally non-profit and we don't have any funds whatsoever. But we will try to get her some help. Either through the Claims Conference, to give it Yom Paira (phonetic) who is a wonderful man and to others. I trust that we will get it.

So, I am going to end, I think enough is enough with -- to appeal to you to take all of those things under consideration; the amount

# Ramsey Clarke

of money will buy here or can buy there and this way, survivors will get money.

And I'm going to finish with something 3 that maybe not be very well received by some 4 5 people but I say something else. The Former Soviet Union Jewish survivors had a chance to 6 leave, like I left my country, left everything 7 behind, never got a penny for what I left there. 8 Those were looted assets. They didn't have that 9 10 much in the Soviet Union, as far as looted 11 assets. They had nothing. But they could have 12 left for Israel or for the United States or 13 wherever. I was working and demonstrating for 14 free the Soviet Jewry. But they chose to stay. And now the problem is here. 15

So, I think that all of these things with forming a group of representatives and weighing all of these things, that something good will come out of it. And I trust you, Judge Korman.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ramsey Clarke.

MR. CLARKE: Good afternoon,

Judge Korman.

1

2

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I'm glad to see it's not

anything more serious than a broken leg.

MR. CLARKE: Well, actually that's the least part of but everything seems to be healing. I got hit by a car in the middle of Fifth Avenue.

THE COURT: I didn't know that. I'm sorry.

MR. CLARKE: I say it jokingly I totalled the car but I received some collateral damages and it's take quite a battle already to enable me to even be here.

But it's always a pleasure to see you and I would like to thank you and Special Master Gribetz, Professor Newborne and the lawyers who worked so hard on this extremely important issue.

And I would make a few observations about the application of the United (unintelligible) Education. Romani have been the forgotten people for 1,000 years. They've been aliens wherever they have lived. There numbers are enormous. They're at least 15 million around the world. As has been the case for 400 or 500 years, the majority of that entire people is, after having migrated, they

# Ramsey Clarke

1 are living in Eastern Europe --

MR. CLARKE: Russia, throughout Poland and down through the (unintelligible).

THE COURT: I know you weren't here earlier but we had a very moving presentation earlier this morning. In fact, on the screen were pictures of Romani in need by the International Organization of Migration.

So, you know, we've gone through that earlier. I will give you all the time you want but I just want you to know that we did have it.

MR. CLARKE: Well, we're very aware of that organization and the U.S. organization and we appreciate its efforts.

Romani were a major victim of Nazi extermination and specifically designated in 1939. And we believe between 1 million and 1.5 million lost their lives. It was noted to you before that (unintelligible) less emotional time, 1967, wrote that half of the -- they called us gypsies, everybody did in those days, population in Europe and not just Eastern Europe were tied to the hands of the Nazis.

They're harder to count. They've

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

always been harder to count. They're harder to count today. They're -- those killed during 1939 to 1945 by the Germans are hard to count. Most were probably not killed in extermination camps. They were shot on site. They were identified. They were killed in highways and forests and caravans along the roadside but their numbers were vast and their deaths were swift, certainly, if they were found.

They have had no organization. special master noted a couple of years ago that you couldn't find a current single organization that was working Romani -- for Romani. still very few although there's some new blood and new feeling that there's potential for a better future. But even today, we've sent you newspaper articles form time to time about the terrible discrimination and assaults, the World Bank last week reporting that Romani education, the children are left out of education, that there's no hope for the Romani people. children are left out education. They may get to school or not at all and many of them do go to special schools. They're taught there (unintelligible).

# Ramsey Clarke

Their ability to participate in many programs that have been available for survivors has been a difficult, fierce opposition to them. I mean, cities like Munich and (unintelligible) in the last decade excluding them from the city and things like that, every where. It's hard to find a friend.

And there's so many classes of claims here, they're vastly under represented in each class in terms of their -- the lower estimates of their population and not two percent of the claims for looted assets as I recall.

Every Romani who died lost assets.

They didn't do much with the banks. They don't have much in bank deposits in all probability although there were some rich, you know, in Romani and Poland and elsewhere.

They did wear gold and they kept their assets in the gold because it was -- they could hang on to it and protect it and they lost all of that.

Even in refugee and slave labor, they're vastly under represented because they're hard to find. And you have a fair allocation of what's available across the board, obviously, to

## Ramsey Clarke

all of the victims and those survivors who are victims, not just survivors of the Holocaust, that the allocation be fair because on that depends any hope for reconciliation. I mean, we see what's going on now in Rwanda and too many other places where groups are killing each other and there's no fairness among them. So, it's important to reach out to every group.

Now, this fund, it's fair to say, I think except for what you might call the technical people, the lawyers, the accountants, is all reliant. It's headed by first what I would consider the preeminent Romani leader in the world. He has spent his life on that matter, pretty much. I mean, he served a term on the Holocaust Memorial, the U.S. Holocaust Memorial.

The board is made up of Romani leaders and heads of Romani organizations. And they come overwhelmingly (unintelligible) the oldest Romani from a gypsy organization in existence in the world today from Poland.

THE COURT: Can I ask you really a basic question because it's getting late and I don't have any quarrel with the bona fides with

the organization. I mean, this is a dispute that applies to Jewish victims, as well or disagreement.

This morning a very moving case was made for the needs of, desperate needs, of Romani that are being met in a small way by --we've given over \$20 million for that person. And if we have money left over to give out to make a further allocation, the question is is between the people who are in desperate need of the bear necessities of life and the proposal that you've made, how do we choose?

MR. CLARKE: That's exactly what I was trying to get to, your Honor. The Romani leadership that's on this board accused a distribution for the future.

THE COURT: But I don't understand that under the law they can. And I basically have to be the one who has to make that decision.

MR. CLARKE: But the --

THE COURT: And I can't delegate the distribution of money from a class action settlement in this way. And the question then becomes if I have to make a decision, how does one balance a need to save people who are --

with limited funds? We hardly have enough to provide, you know, unlimited funds. But with limited funds available, if the choice is, you know, feeding people who we saw were living under the most desperate conditions and providing for the educational program that you're arguing for, which do we choose?

MR. CLARKE: Let me say first that the

MR. CLARKE: Let me say first that the Romani people have lived in desperate conditions for a thousand years, overwhelmingly. And certainly they do today. They're not as desperate in the past. They're in proportion to others. They have lost ground since World War II. Their clans and families are very tight. They support their elderly to the end.

If Jack London had known the Romanis, he couldn't have written this short story, "The Law of Life" in which eskimos leave a father to be eaten by wolves because they can't carry him anymore because they're tired and they have to get on. They would have fought the wolves and carried the father with them. That's the way they are. That's their culture and that's their tradition and to dissipate.

That's what this leadership can't do.

It can't control the thoughts of all the people. And I thank that you would find very, very few real survivors of the Romani. It's very difficult to find more than a few of those who actually survived who would want a small payment now, some firewood, some food, a little shelter or something. And they wouldn't leave their clan anyway, if they were real Romani because that's the way they have lived for a thousand years. And they would say let's work for the future. Let's try to break out of this cycle.

We have very little chance and right now, we're going down hill. Our children aren't getting educated. We need leadership. We need people who could be trained. And here, there are several multiple abilities that we list.

You get far more than just some young people and not so young because we're not that easy to find Romanis going to college. You get major study centers for Romani, space free, professor free in major universities, where they can develop centers if they have the money to operate them. If they can bring in some students who are Romani because it's imperative that these educational funds be spent on Romani. They're

# Ramsey Clarke

not going to go off on their own and try to get rich. But they're going to devote themselves to learn more about their past and their people and helping their people come out of the thousand years of constant alienation around the world.

Now if you want to rely on the judgment of the people who represent the Romani in all these countries and that's where the money will go, it will go for higher education, this body is about as good to represent you as you can get. And they think it is their best chance to break out so the conditions are gone forever.

And to have this little opportunity in terms of money for people that may or may not have been survivors and it doesn't matter too much who need it, they all need it. They'll always need it. They will need it always unless they can get out. And this is how they see their opportunity to get out.

And, your Honor, they don't know their own history. The opportunity to bring together their own history to help with their languages. They've got two or three languages and to help them make reasonable determinations as to whether they're going to integrate and the

degrees they're going to integrate, whether
there's some credible culture. And it's
fascinating history but history with people that
have never had the courage or the goodness to
reach out to try and help them. I mean, they're
famous doctrine and literate in every place else
but you try to find a friend of the gypsy, it's
pretty hard to do.

And this is a golden opportunity. It may not come again. And it's something that the leadership believes can work and it would reach all of the areas where the greatest needs are; an important center in Russia, in Poland, in Hungary, in Bulgaria and Belarus and in the Czech Republic, (unintelligible) Slovania, Romania and the benefit will roll out.

We think as we said in our proposal which was based on an assumption there might be \$200 million left over, if \$25 million we could have not just 1,000 people that got degrees from it but we would have several thousand more who study in college and more than that, we would have these fertile centers growing and subsidized in a way. And there's a ten year limit on it. It's expressed in there. These

#### Eli Zborowski

funds will be used in ten years because time has been enough already.

But they hope to generate new funds and build something that can grow and last and finally bring the Romani into the human family. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CLARKE: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Eli Zborowski.

privileged and hurt to be here today.

Privileged because for the past sixty years I have dedicated my life to a three fold mission; to the survivors of the Shoah, to the consequences of the victims and to the history of the Holocaust.

MR. ZBOROWSKI: Your Honor, I am both

Burdened because representing all three involved, a delicate balance of apparently competing concerns. I hope to show today that these are not competing but rather complimentary concerns.

We appear before you, your Honor, to speak about the just distribution of the Swiss funds. But before we could speak of the

distribution, there had to be a just settlement with the Swiss banks. And for this, we have your Honor to thank.

All sides freely applaud the accomplishment of obtaining this commitment. This accountability for an attempt to make the victims of the Holocaust victims yet once again. I know we all appreciate the difficulty your Honor faces in the determining how the restitution funds shall be allocated. But nothing can diminish the noble service performed in the establishment of responsibility.

That settlement is a historic achievement. The world at large recognizes its importance and the survivors will remain forever thankful to you for your Honor's leading role.

I am a survivor of the Holocaust but like all survivors, I am also a victim. Our lives have been forever scarred by the experience. There is not a survivor who did not lose family in the Holocaust, further victimizing us and also giving us a share in the fate of the murder.

My response to this dual citizenship, a citizen of the living and of the dead, has been

#### Eli Zborowski

to set forth on national and international survivors organizations and as chairman and founder of the American and International Society for Yad Vashem.

2.0

Your Honor, I know that you have received numerous representations proposing allocations for (unintelligible) and I do not wish to burden you with a repetition of the acts and presentations made by these Holocaust related organizations.

I come here today to represent another constituency. I submit, your Honor, that it is the most relevant constituency. It is the dead Holocaust victims whose funds you are about to distribute.

Do not think that these Shoahs are not here with us. The money you are safeguarding represents a significant part of their lives. They worked and earned and saved. They stored a part of their existence in the Swiss Banks. What are you distributing is not cash. It is the lives of victims of the Shoah. These victims have no burial place, entire families perished and thousands of communities have been erased from the place of their birth.

But their presence is here with us today and calls out for remembrance.

of Israel, Israeli parliament established the National Remembrance Authority Yad Vashem. Yad Vashem transcends all other Holocaust memorials in scope and size. Through 50 years of research and teaching, this unique institution has become in fact the home of the 6 million souls that have no burial place.

Yad Vashem's international school for Holocaust studies is still preeminently sought for teaching about the Shoah. Educators from around the world attend seminars there. And experts from Yad Vashem travel far and wide to disseminate knowledge about the Holocaust.

At Yad Vashem, the world's largest repository of authentic Holocaust documentation is where this court can fulfill the victim's last wish, that all remember.

To appreciate this commandment, we need to understand the Jewish view of death. Death is not an end like falling off the edge of the earth. It is rather another passage. The soul, which survives the body demands that its

identity be preserved.

This is where your Honor comes in.

Because the lives in this room have no other marker, they did not go to their rest in a Jewish cemetery. They do not have a stone to mark their existence. If their souls are to have an identity, it would be in remembrance. Remember us, they cry out. Remember how we lived and how we died. Do not let the memory be erased.

To remember how they died is to remember the Shoah. And to remember the Shoah is to teach its history and its lessons in every generation.

Education is the memorial stone of the Holocaust victims. It is also our best hope that nothing like the Holocaust will happen again.

Today, as I said, it is a rising (unintelligible) in New York, in Canada, in Asia correlates with the events leading up to the Holocaust are clear and unargued. The memory of the Holocaust is our foremost barrier against this crime. We need to bring the lessons of the Holocaust to bear on current events or God

1 forbid, we will have to learn them again.

If there is one request of the victims 2 3 who stand before you today, (unintelligible) remember me, it is never again. The Jewish 4 community has traditionally allocated 20 percent 5 of restituted funds to the cause of remembrance 6 and Holocaust education. I implore you in the 7 8 name of the victims whose funds you have secured 9 and now must distribute, please consider 10 dedicating a significant portion to their memory 11 through eduction.

By your decision today, you can secure their memory for generations to come, where they have a place and assure that the Shoah will never happen against.

Thank you, your Honor, for your time and attendance so far.

THE COURT: Fira Stukelman.

MS. STUKELMAN: Your Honor, I brought this picture from Jewish Week. This year, sixty years, we were liberated from Pieta (phonetic) and the concentration camp.

THE COURT: Just pull the microphone in a little bit.

MS. STUKELMAN: I'm sorry.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### Fira Stukelman

THE COURT: I couldn't hear you.

MS. STUKELMAN: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: That's okay.

MS. STUKELMAN: I brought this picture. This picture here is Ann Frank and Hitler, why I brought this picture and why we have this stuff, this means what happened to us, what was a disaster.

My parents were killed in front of me.

I was only eight years old. Today, I represent

Holocaust survivors from Former Soviet Union who

lives in America in New York. Our people are

proud to be in America. Our people are proud to

be in the best country. In the meantime, it's

not easy to live because we are, today, the

lowest class in New York, in America, too.

This is -- UJ Federation and they do a special analysis, how lives the Jewish people from Former Soviet Union. We receive \$600 in a month and rent today, to pay rent, \$900, \$800 and more. It's not easy. Our people, 75 years, 70 years, 80 years, they go to clean apartments to have a little money because they cannot afford it.

Your Honor, it's not easy. Please,

help our people have to receive money who belong 1 exactly to Holocaust survivors, not to Jewish 2 organizations, not to Yeshiva. They belong to 3 us because we lost everything. I lost my parents. I don't have anything. I came to the 5 6 country who helped me. We need more help. 7 Every day we have funeral. Every day I receive many calls from people telling me we need help. 8 People live alone, they don't have anybody. 9 do not talk a lot. Today I am sit from 9 10 o'clock a.m. (sic) and I hear a lot, a lot for 11 12 help. Please, don't forget all Holocaust 13 survivors. Do your best for our people.

Thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Avraham Berkowitz.

MR. BERKOWITZ: Your Honor, my name is

Avraham Berkowitz and I serve as the executive

director of the Federation of Jewish Communities
in the Former Soviet Union.

We recognize that the funds are not sufficient, not a lot of funds are available to the Court and they're limited primarily to those that have unclaimed bank account holders that have still not received their claims. And, therefore, we also demand by the Swiss to give

the Court all the bank accounts that still have not been claimed because they are the first that should receive the money.

I flew in from Moscow today after reading the recommendation of your Special Master Judah Gribetz and his deputy master. And we support the allocation of funds and the possible unclaimed residual funds for the tens of thousands of needy survivors in the Former Soviet Union.

I know that presentations were made earlier today describing the desperate need of those. I am standing before you as a witness to the daily suffering and the need, the desperate need that these people have.

Union we estimate that even though many -- a million and more have left for Israel and the United States, close to two million Jews remain. Among those two million Jews, hundreds of thousands of them are elderly and desperate about any care, without medicine, no food, as was ascribed earlier in the Court today. And over more than half of these people are Holocaust survivors.

# Avraham Berkowitz

There's a change happening in the Former Soviet Union. In the last ten years, Jewish communities and their leaders have come together, supported by leadership of Hava Lubavitch rabbis in 200 cities that have set up permanent residence there to rebuild Jewish communities, the reach -- and the Jews that are coming forward that never had any connection --there was no community, that are now telling their stories and coming forward, grow every single day, they are building and providing for many areas of Jewish life.

We're committed to rebuilding religious life, cultural Jewish life and education. But that is not why we're standing here today because the most important and desperate need of our organization of our work is the humanitarian aid of the Holocaust victims that we serve.

Across the street from my office in Moscow is one of the soup kitchens -- one of the many soup kitchens we run together with the JDC and I walk in there a lot and I see the people that are getting their one meal a day. It's a meager meal. It's known that the survivors in the Former Soviet Union do not have food,

#### Avraham Berkowitz

Medicaid, even though they're very desperate.

They don't have food stamps. They don't have social security. All they have is the hot soup kitchen where they get their one meal a day.

And I sometimes watch these elderly people walk out and they look so frail and so thin. It's unbelievable to believe that -- and they're receiving help and this is Moscow. And we're talking about cities that reach all the way to Vladivostok and Berelijung and Orshan Belarus, Statlok and Ukraine (phonetic). There are thousands and thousands of survivors that cannot stand here and speak today and we're there to help them.

We understand the need that wherever there is a Holocaust survivor in the world, they must be helped but the Jews, the victims in the Former Soviet Union and it was mentioned many times, their suffering and what they've gone through, everything -- ever dollar sent to them to help them to sustain themselves today is helping them survive for another year, another day.

After the Holocaust, there was no chance for Jewish life to revive in the Former

1 Soviet Union becuase of the repression of 2 communism and there was absolutely no 3 possibility to build a community.

I stand before you, Judge Korman, today 4 to request funding that should go only to the 5 6 neediest Holocaust victims that was ascribed 7 before by the JDC. But at the same time, I want 8 to say that we have seen and when the elderly victims are taken care of by the local Jewish community without even investing one more dollar in Jewish education or in community, these same dollars are rebuilding Jewish life. The reason is becuase today we have in our Federal of Jewish Communities over 3500 professionals and volunteers and youngsters that have joined the service of the community. And they visit the homebound and the elderly and they bring them food every day. We have Meals on Wheels. They're the truck drivers. They're the nurses in the clinics. They spend the time every day to serve them.

So, what's happening is these survivors that never had a chance to tell their story for 50 years and today we -- even though many of them were left alone becuase others had

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

immigrated to Israel and stayed behind, these youngsters today that are becoming part of the Jewish community, just by bringing them food and being a part of this huge operation of the social service center, we are building a community again together with these survivors.

asking for any residual funds to go to the youngsters or the education, even though it's important, but just by providing the money that's desperately needed to give basic food and substance to the survivors in the Former Soviet Union, you are also rebuilding a historic start, something that you'll never go in but the community that was destroyed is now being built because of the incredible spirit and connection with -- the victims have with the younger generation.

The Lubavitcher Ever Rabbi Menacham M. Shernson (phonetic) taught us that the first thing you need to do is help a fellow man in humanitarian aid and then everything else comes after that. There was no one that cared more for Russian Jewry and the Chief Rabbi of England, Rabbi Jonathan Sachs (phonetic) once

said about the rebbis work in the world,

particularly for Soviet Jewry and I quote, "He

has undertaken the most daring initiative of

post Holocaust error by searching out every

single Jew in the world with love, just as the

Jewish people were once hunted down in hate by

the Nazis." And that is what we're doing in the

Former Soviet Union.

We find in every single town and village across 15 countries, the most difficult places, we find the Jews and we give them the sustenance that they need. These people, as you heard, don't have pensions. It's extremely important to remember the harsh winters in the Former Soviet Union and I heard today many moving stories of the desperate need of survivors here and they should be helped.

But I know because I witnessed from the places that I visit every single day in my work and the cities that I go to that the desperate need there is more than intolerable. It's desperate beyond words. I never -- you would never see the living conditions of people that don't have mattresses on their beds and barely have food to eat and only one meal a day, the

only place they turn to is the centers,

humanitarian aid centers supported mainly by the

JDC that receives its funds from this court.

And if we do not continue to receive the funding,

from the JDC that's getting the money from this

court, these people will die before they were

supposed to.

We are a witness every single day to thousands of these people. Our communities -- we get letters every single day from people in our offices in 400 cities. If I would show you the staggering amount of requests for funding that -- humanitarian assistance to elderly survivors, you would understand how great and how much more is needed to help these people in their desperate plight.

There are many stories to be told. I don't want to take too much time but just to give an example to understand it, many people will say they had a choice to leave and why did they stay. Everyone has a different story. I was in Sumara (phonetic) a few months ago in Russia visiting our community and whenever I am in the city I make an effort to visit the homebound because it's enough that they come to

the soup kitchens, those that can walk, but what about those that are -- the thousands of them that are at home that are receiving benefits and food only again because of the community's support at agencies that the funds are coming through the JDC and claims conference from this court.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I met a woman in her home in a dilapidated apartment, I must say. Her name was Verta Solonova Herdashovo (phonetic). She was born in 1922 in Herminski, Ukraine. And during World War II in front of her very eyes, her entire family was killed. She was lucky enough to escape death and she trekked and escape to Berabaijan (phonetic) which at the time was supposed to be the autonomous region for the Jewish people. She found her husband there, got married and because he was an engineer, moved to Sumara. There years later she had a daughter. Her daughter Ella was living with her in this apartment. When she was five years old, developed a medical problem and because of lack of medication became mentally retarded. little while later, her husband died. woman was left to care for her mentally retarded

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

daughter with a meager pension of \$50 a month.

And she herself has terrible back pains and she needs to choose between taking care -- giving herself -- splitting food between herself and her daughter or leaving herself with her pain.

And then the Jewish Community came into the picture and this Jewish -- the Jewish Community in Sumara headed by Rabbi Shlomo Deitsch and his wife Dina, changed her life and I speak as one example but it's thousands of others. First of all, she now receives food from the soup kitchen delivered to her every single day at home. It's more than just the food that she receives. It's the love that she gets from the people that give it to her. We have Jewish day schools that are being built on the communities. And that city in Sumara, the Jewish day school started a program where every single kid -- family adopts above years 80, a survivor and they go to them and they hold their hands and they give them a hug.

Now, Verta never had the possibility to become a grandmother and today she looks in the eyes "Because of the children in the school, I am a grandmother. I am the Jewish bubbi

# George Klein

1 (phonetic). And I never had a choice. If I
2 could move to Israel or the United States
3 becuase this is my life. I have to care for my
4 daughter and I have no choice to leave. We
5 know the situation. It continues to grow. The
6 needs are great.

And therefore again to conclude, I want to say that we support when there will be -- if there will be residual funds, we support the recommendation of your Special Master Judah Gribetz not to forget the hundreds of thousands of -- over 150,000 survivors that remain and need your support.

Those proposals that you heard directly for the support from the JDC and from others, should be recognized and I stress it again, it's not to an organization. We will uphold our duty and responsibility to the victims around the world that whatever money is received will go directly and only to survivors in order to survive.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Mr. George Klein.

MR. KLEIN: Judge Korman, I thank you

### George Klein

for allowing me to address you this morning in court. I want to thank you and I want to thank

Special Master Judah Gribetz for the extraordinary work that you've done in trying to resolve this very difficult problem that has been raised before this court today and before you.

I am here representing the

International Committee for the Preservation of

Jewish Material Memorial Sites. I come to the

Holocaust through two paths; one my father,

bless his memory, was the chairman of the

(unintelligible) during the war and spent a year

in Europe in 1946 in the DP camps and arranged

for over 12,000 to come to the United States

(unintelligible).

Never once did one of those 12,000 Jews ever ask or were required to be funded. They were survivors. They came. They built their lives and they what had to be done.

I am the founding chairman of the New York Holocaust Memorial (unintelligible) Bob Morgenthau. We're trying to build in New York a memorial for the victims. Sitting here these last two hours, I don't envy the position that

1.6

2.4

you are in trying to be a Solomon to allocate funds that may come about for the claims that were made as related to bank accounts that were held by the Swiss and probably all of the money that has come out of Switzerland should be paid to the victims of the fraud that was perpetrated by the Swiss.

But I believe that the real victims of the Holocaust are the 6 million Jews who were killed. Survivors and how they survived is a miracle in itself and whenever I speak on behalf of the (unintelligible) or on Holocaust matters, to me I still cannot fathom how survivors were able to rebuild their lives in this country or everywhere else and the fact that they are still in desperate need.

There is no question that if funds are available, the survivors should be taken care of here, in the United States, in Israel in the Soviet Union, wherever it is feasible.

But the victims of the Holocaust are the ones who were killed. They were the ones that their assets were removed. And they asked only two things; one to remember how they lived and number two, how they were killed.

### George Klein

There are mass graves and cemeteries all over Eastern Europe that are completely disappearing. They are the cemeteries of the victims of the Holocaust. They lie there with no dignity, with no marker, with no ability to educate who they were or what they were.

And the requests that we're asking of the Court is not for survivors, it's not for the needy, it is to try to give something to the victims. They lie there in these mass graves. We need funds and we've created an organization in the past few years with the World Jewish Congress, and other organization (unintelligible) and others to try and find out where these graves are, to try build some kind of a fence, to try to do some effort on behalf of their dignity.

If there are some funds available, since there is an aspect of education as relates to some of the assets of the funds that may be available and for a memorial, if we could find some way, some funds to be used to help us to find these graves, mark them and create a lasting dignity for the victims of the Holocaust.

#### Susan Sommer

Thank you. 1 THE COURT: I'm just going to take a 2 two minute recess. I'm ready to go for another 3 4 three hours after that. (Recess) 5 THE COURT: Suzanne Sommer. 6 7 David Zwiebel? MR. ZWIEBEL: Yes. 8 THE CLERK: Your Honor, here is Susan 9 10 Sommer. MS. SOMMER: I'll just grab this. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. Your Honor? 13 MR. ZWIEBEL: THE COURT: I know. I called her first 14 15 and she was outside, so she came back in. You'll be next. 16 MS. SOMMER: Thank you, your Honor. 17 18 Sorry to delay. I am Susan Sommer of Land of Legal 19 20 Defense and Education Fund. I represent the 21 Pink Triangle Coalition which is advocating on 22 behalf of gay victims of the Nazis who were

persecuted because of their homosexuality and

continue to be criminalized and stigmatized

after the war. And so have remained largely

23

24

hidden and silenced to this day and unable to participate effectively in the settlement.

We're thankful for the opportunity to address the Court and I'm not going to repeat the arguments and discussion in the papers we filed but rest on them.

The coalition has tremendous respect for the difficult task the Court faces in attempting to mete out justice today against the backdrop of the unspeakable horrors of the Nazi regime and the poverty of many survivors today. And we share the world's sorrow in the suffering of millions of victims, Jewish, Romani, Jehovah's Witness, disabled and gay people among them.

I just have a couple of points to add to what we have already submitted. First, I just want to make clear that the Pink Triangle's Coalitions proposal was intended to address not only the unique problems faced by gay victims in participating in the looted assets class and in cypres distribution in connection with that class, but also with respect to participation in any of the other classes, deposited assets, slave labor refugee, in which those victimized

### Susan Sommer

1 because of their homosexuality might be 2 included.

3 It's now virtually impossible to identify more than a small handful of survivors 4 of the Nazi persecution of gay victims. 5 victims are largely lost in the historic records 6 7 and to meaningful inclusion in the claims process and this class action. For this reason, 8 9 we've sought a cypres allocation of a very small, modest amount of funds left over after 10 11 all things are paid without (unintelligible) to 12 go to those in dire need.

To appropriately address here the losses of the homosexual victims who cannot or will never be able to come forward to claim participation in not just the looted assets class but in other classes as well, we submitted a letter to the Court on April 15 addressing a very small aspect of our proposal that will not be addressed in the Court's April 2 order but that we felt might not have been addressed.

THE COURT: I know I didn't get a chance back to you but I would be happy to give you the meeting that you wanted to discuss that.

MS. SOMMER: Well, I thank you,

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 your Honor.

THE COURT: If you want, you could talk about it now but I think -- you could have your choice.

MS. SOMMER: Well, I will say very mindful of the five minutes that were originally allotted to me just a sentence or two but I would like to take up your Honor and have that meeting.

We are asking for just that very small portion of the proposal be for the coalition to distribute humanitarian financial assistance consistent with the Court's priority of using cypres funds to help those in need, the seven needy gay survivors who have been identified world wide after all of these years, only seven men left who would qualify as needy who can even be found.

We think it appropriate that the coalition -- members of which have already been working to assist and to document these experience of these men should be given responsibility for this.

And we can explain more in a meeting with you but it would be done with little or

absolutely no administrative costs and we think would be the most comfortable and appropriate way for these men to be helped by those gay advocacy organizations that have already been reaching out to them and attempting to have them participate in the (unintelligible).

Finally, the Court pointed out in April 2 -- your April 2 opinion that portion of Jewish Romani and Jehovah's Witness victims were no doubt gay and, therefore, there have been no doubt participation in the claims process by individuals who were victimized not because of their homosexuality but who turned out to be gay. Now while this is certainly no doubt true, given the statistical likelihood that there are gay people in any population, this really doesn't address the specific inclusion in the settlement of those who were targeted for persecution because of their homosexuality, those who were singled out to wear the pink triangle.

While some who may be gay may receive some participation in settlement funds targeted for those victimized because of their participation in other groups, other identities,

this doesn't account for the tremendous dichotomy between the number of people victimized because of their sexual orientation and absence, the other absence of claims filed by individualized -- individuals victimized for their homosexuality.

at least in this context give voice to the silenced gay victims of the Holocaust. In 1995 eight survivors, eight gay survivors, eight pink triangle survivors finally had the courage to step forward after years of silence and hiding because they had continued to be criminalized and persecuted following World War II and issued this declaration.

Sadly, of the eight men, only three are still living, two of whom have submitted affidavits to the Court. They wrote, "Fifty years ago, allied troops did liberate us from Nazi concentration camps in prison but the world we had hoped for did not happen to come true. We were forced to hide again and faced ongoing persecution under the same Nazi law that was on the books since 1935 and stayed on the books until 1969. Raids were frequent. Some of us

#### Susan Sommer

```
1
   just tasting their new freedom were even
 2
   sentenced to long term prison again. Although
 3
   some of us tried courageously to gain
   recognition by challenging the Courts up to the
 4
 5
   West German Supreme Court, we were never
   acknowledged as being persecuted by the Nazi
 6
 7
            We were excluded from financial
   regimes.
   compensation for the victims of the Nazi regime.
 8
 9
   We lacked the moral support and sympathy of the
1.0
   public. Today, we are too old and tired to
   struggle for the recognition of the Nazi
11
   injustice we suffered. Many of us never dated
12
   to testify. Many of us died alone with our
13
14
   haunting memories. We waited long but in vain.
15
   We know that still very little is taught in
16
   schools and universities about our fate, even
17
   Holocaust museums and memorials many times don't
   mention the Nazi persecution of homosexuals.
18
19
   Today, fifty years later, we turn to the young
20
   generation and to the all of you who are not
21
   guided by hated homophobia. Please support us
22
   in our struggle to memorialize and document the
23
   Nazi atrocities against homosexual men and
24
   lesbian women.
                   Let us never forget the Nazi
   atrocities against Jews, gypsies, Jehovah
25
```

Witnesses, Free Masons, the disabled, Polish and Russian prisoners of wars and homosexuals. Let us learn from the past."

We ask the Court at this or if not now at another appropriate juncture to consider the absurd circumstances of individuals victimized because of their homosexuality, silenced following the war. Consider that they have been silenced and hidden, unable to step forward in this or other processes and provide the right justice that could be achieved through your protocol.

And I look forward to another meeting with your Honor. Thank you so much.

THE COURT: Mr. Zwiebel, you were next.

MR. ZWIEBEL: Good afternoon,

your Honor, just barely but congratulations to --

THE COURT: Well, has the sun set?

MR. ZWIEBEL: -- as the sun sets; no,

21 | not yet.

2.5

My name is David Zwiebel and I am
executive vice president for governor of public
affairs and the general counsel for Agudath
Israel of America which is a national orthodox

1 Jewish organization.

And I'm here primarily to speak in support of the submission that was given to the Court on behalf of Agudath Israel World Organization which is an international confederation of orthodox Jewish groups and constituencies in a number of countries across the world.

But preliminarily though I would like to note that many of our own constituents here in the United States are survivors. Many of them reside in Brooklyn, which is probably the largest concentration of survivors anywhere and surely, we would support efforts to find ways of funding projects that would directly benefit these survivors, whether they be through the Beper Cholom (phonetic) Organizations that have submitted proposals or through assisted living quarters. We believe these are very worthy causes.

Many of our constituents and truly all survivors of all constituencies have ancestors who are buried in Eastern Europe and certainly the point that Mr. Klein made earlier with respect to the mass graves of the Nazi victims

themselves is something which I believe deserves consideration and careful consideration by the Court, efforts to support and preserve the cemeteries or the ancestors of the survivors are 'themselves buried is an extremely important point.

But as I mentioned earlier, I am here primarily to make a case, I think, a moral case or perhaps a legal case on behalf of a group that to this point, at least, has been totally ignored in this settlement and also more generally in the entire restitution process.

And the group that I am speaking about are those institutions of Jewish learning and of Jewish communal life that were sought to be destroyed by the Nazis and have since been recreated and rebuilt in various parts of the world, whether in the United States or in Israel or in the countries of destruction themselves in the Former Soviet Union and Eastern Europe.

And while, of course, we support and believe there is an extraordinary moral claim on behalf of survivors themselves to receive substantial allocations to help make their final years on this earth more comfortable, more

pleasant where the special master has pointed out very correctly in his report in many cases, livable all together. It's not just a question of comfort but it's life itself. And it's no question that they have a very substantial moral claim on restitution assets generally and on Swiss Bank funds specifically.

But there's also a moral claim on behalf of the institutions here. The settlement specifically spoke of victims who were targets of Nazi persecution as including not only individuals but also any community, congregation, group organization or other entity which was persecuted or targeted for persecution by the Nazi regime because they were or were believed to be Jewish for various other groups.

And this recognition builds directly into the settlement agreement, thus far at least, has not achieved any tangible recognition as the settlement process has moved forward.

It was interesting just to note the evolution of the special master's suggestions with respect to this type of group. In the very first proposed plan of allocation and distribution, the special master said that there

may come point in time when there would be a stage two of payments at which time it may be possible to allocate a portion of the remaining settlement fund to some of the proposed cultural memorial, or educational projects that have been submitted to the special master.

Then in October of this past year, 2003, when the special master filed his interim report, the wording started getting a little bit weaker and it said, "In accordance with these suggestions and depending upon the amount of the residual, if any, the Court may wish to consider a modest distribution to communal remembrance and/or educational programs.

"And now, in the most recent recommendations of the special maser, even that suggestion of a modest proposal seems to have been eliminated and we appreciate the special masters having stated the need for a commemoration, remembrance and research is great and the losses sustained by communal organizations immeasurable.

"However, as Judge Korman's April 2, 2004 order makes clear, it would be inappropriate to fund these programs when the needs of Nazi victims remain so overwhelming."

So, we seem to have progressed to the point where at least with respect to the recommendations of the special master and perhaps in your Honor's language itself, there seems to have been some definitive determination that, in fact, an entire category, an entire group that is part of the class will be excluded from any benefits under the settlement.

And while I am not here to discuss whether legally that's appropriate, whether that fits within the notion of a fair, reasonable and adequate standard for class action settlements, we may wish to supplement the record with some submission on that point, your Honor.

But I thank that there's just an important point to consider on amoral basis which underlies ultimately why these groups were included in the class action settlement category as a victim or target of Nazi persecution.

And that is, I think to myself sometimes, what would the 6 million themselves be advocating for if they could speak? And of course, it's presumptuous of me to pretend I have any beginning of an answer to that question

but I think it's an intriguing question and one that should occupy our minds.

And certainly, they would say help our children, help our neighbors who managed to survive, who managed to get out of the inferno of Nazi Germany. Help them as they reach their senior years and face all kinds of difficult problems. No question that they would say that. No question that they would say focus on those who are in the greatest need.

in addition to think about our children and think about our neighbors, I suspect they might also say think about the Jewish future. Think about the fact that the Nazis sought to exterminate not only our bodies but also our entire people and our entire way of life. And is there some way of finding a way to perpetuate that Jewish future. And I believe that that is a fair, important legally cognizable and also morally incumbent question to consider at this time.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Let me ask you, I asked the same question to Ramsey Clarke. I mean, the

human needs are so extraordinary that even with the wildest amount of money left over, let's say it was all \$600 million, it's the human needs are sufficient to absorb all of that and how does one make a -- put the legality aside for the moment and how does one deal with it on a pure moral level. In these communities, these communal organizations many of them are in many ways private. They have incredibly survived the destruction and the effort to destroy them and as communal organizations, they live.

But here we have people who, setting aside the United States, Russia, Israel, but basically extraordinary need and how does one deal with that?

MR. ZWIEBEL: It's a profound question, your Honor, and frankly I'm delighted I'm sitting at this side of the table rather than on the other side. But I would just point out that we have been blessed, to some extent, by the fact that the world has recognized that enormous crimes were committed against the Jewish people and others. And that those crimes include crimes of the demand in economic response, as well. And the Claims Conference and other

sources have been doing wonderful work in recognizing the needs of survivors.

The Swiss settlement fund, I think needs to be on (unintelligible) when we speak of , the amount that may be remaining and the residual funds in this particular settlement, I think that needs to be considered as part of a larger network of restitution funds that are being made available, particularly when we are not in any way trying to trace directly the path from the Swiss Banks to the ultimate beneficiaries of these funds. But rather, we are speaking about under the cypres doctrine, we are speaking about some sort of an equitable type of approach towards this entire issue.

With respect to that entire pictures and I understand you're only the boss over one part of the picture, but with respect to the entire picture, for example, within the Claims Conference and this was something that was put to a vote recently and has been reaffirmed several times, there's a recognition that the large majority of the funds should indeed go to survivors but there should be something set aside, particularly the Yeshivas and the

## Stamford Streiber

communal institutions that the Agudath Israel World Organization has argued on behalf of has to thus far been beneficiaries even under that set aside. But at least within the Claims Conference there has been some recognition that with the needs, with the enormous human needs that exist on behalf of survivors, nonetheless for historical purposes and for very tangible real purposes, it's important to touch also issues that relate to the Jewish future.

And that, I believe, ought to be part ultimately of what this Court recommends or not recommends but that decides in terms of allocating these funds.

I would just -- the bottom line on that is that I thank you need to see and the world needs to see that there is a recognition of the very many multi-faceted aspects of restitution that ought to exist on a moral plain.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Stamford Streiber.

MR. STREIBER: Your Honor, I represent Sabilla Goldstein (phonetic), her siblings, who are the descendants, of Nathan Katz. Tonight or throughout this day and I think through the

night, too, your Honor, you have heard much compelling testimony from individuals concerning what under the cypres doctrine should be done with the residual monies.

I speak to you now not at cypres but of individuals who have a direct nexus to Switzerland who were overlooked in the original settlement, who three years ago as they sat in your chambers with Professor Newborne presented to you direct evidence that was not -- was only recently released from the secret files of our own government sixty years later which demonstrated that valuable assets belong to Nathan Katz were taken through the auspices of the Swiss to gain entry to Switzerland.

And I think that that sets aside -- I mean, there's no way we can rally measure the moral issues and say, you know, and all of these other things you've heard today, but for these individuals who for sixty years have gone same as the asset class, who have gone and searched for their assets.

Mr. Goldstein, Ms. Katz's -- Sabilla Goldstein's wife -- husband, has for forty years gone to every single location he can when his

art museums, he visited records to try to locate the assets that were taken away and taken his efforts resulted in the release of the documents, that were shown to you.

so, I think that there is a direct nexus and in Professor Newborne's own words, in a letter that he wrote after the meeting, there should be a place for -- within a secondary distribution for those who have a nexus to Switzerland, for those who can show with documentation that they were -- had assets taken away by the Swiss, through the Swiss and should be reimbursed by monies that come from the Swiss.

Your Honor, the settlement itself and I did write you, you did spend me a copy of your decision and asked for me to comment on it from a legal perspective of why these individuals, these claims and those like them, and I think there are probably very few people in the world like them, we are not opening up Pandora's box, as I read the comments that came on the website, I believe the letter I wrote on Mrs. Goldstein's behalf and Mrs. Goldstein's own letter her

# Stamford Streiber

behalf, were the only ones submitted within this small group of people. There may be others who have documentation

The settlement -- the heart of this settlement was the bank's settling not only the asset class but also seeking to resolve legal claims against the Swiss government and business entities, that's within your own decision, your Honor. That's the heart. That is their claim. In your recent decision, you said with only limited exceptions, however, the current historical records simply does not permit precise determinations even as to the material losses in total, much less the nature and value of the loot traceable to Switzerland or Swiss entities.

Your Honor, these are the exceptions. Those people as the asset class individuals, where we can identify that they had assets that were taken by the Swiss should be entitled to receive compensation. It is the only compensation they will ever receive for these assets that were taken away from them 60 years ago. These will not surface. These will not come back in the 60 years of hard search.

There is a nexus. They should receive something out of this \$800 million. It is recommended by plaintiff's lead counsel. It is neglected, however, your Honor, in the special master's report. There's not even a footnote to this issue. Although the claim was submitted timely and the request pursuant to this Court's request.

I don't blame Special Master Gribetz
for not including -- you know, for not thinking
about it. He had some very worldly issues. But
silence of the Swiss to this issue is what
brought us here today. Silence of the banks has
brought us here today. We should not continue a
silence to these people and those like that.

THE COURT: I understand your point. Thank you.

MR. STREIBER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: James Pallachia (phonetic).

MR. PALLACHIA: Thank you, your Honor, for granting me time to speak. On behalf of Jehovah's Witness Holocaust Era Survivors Fund, which is staffed entirely by volunteers and the dear survivors that we represent, I express appreciation to the Court and to you,

# James Pallachia

Judge Korman and Special Master Judah Gribetz and Deputy Special Master Sherry Reed and Professor Newborne for the diligence they have shown to the interest of the survivors.

Although a small group, Jehovah's Witnesses were among the first targeted for Nazi persecution in 1933. After the fall of the Hitler regime, Witness survivors in the Soviet block went on to suffer again for their faith. Hence, while the Swiss Banks funds do not seek to redress that latter wrong, it is clear that survivors in FSU countries remain locked in deep need.

But with the help of IOM, 2,000 elderly and needy survivors in Eastern Europe have been provided with heating fuel, medicine, food, winter clothing and other necessities. The beneficiaries appreciation can be noted from their following comments.

From the Ukraine, "We are happy to inform you that we have received the second payment of humanitarian aid according with the program carried out by IOM for Jehovah's Witnesses who suffered during Nazi persecution. We are thankful to IOM for this gracious deed

and express our gratitude for the humanitarian aid accorded to us Jehovah's Witnesses during this economically critical time for the Ukraine.

From another group in the Ukraine, "We who sign below express our gratitude to Jehovah God and IOM officers, that is, on behalf of the Court, who found it fitting to give financial assistance to us who are Jehovah's witnesses. Since all of us are well advanced in age, having little and material way, and our health is poor, we benefitted greatly from the financial assistance. Please accept our thankfulness."

Once again, we thank all of you for your kind assistance to the survivors and it's our hope that all of the truly needy survivors of Nazi persecution may receive the aid they sorely need.

THE COURT: Alice Fischer.

MS. FISCHER: My name is Alice Fischer.

I am a Holocaust survivor from Czechoslovakia,

what became Hungary, occupied by Hungarian.

I am the only one survivor of my family. My nine year old brother and mother were thrown into the gas showers in Auschwitz

and my father and other brother in Mauthausen Concentration Camp on the death march. They were killed March 7, 1945.

I am sure, your Honor, Judge, that you heard many stories today about the Holocaust and I am surprised that you can really sit all day and listen to all of these. And believe me, the ocean cannot be filled with what the soul -- those stories cannot be finished. I don't want to tell you now about horrors of concentration camp. I would rather talk about my experience with the Swiss authorities, banks.

It is just one little story I am going to tell you because in Bergen-Belsen concentration camp, a very short one, we just prayed to God. We didn't want to live anymore in this condition. We just prayed that somebody should survive to be able to tell the world because no one would believe this. And we carry all this with us.

The graves of my parents and my brothers, I carry in my heart because I have no graves. We are talking about the parents of a 70 plus woman. My mother was 39. My father, 45; young people, my nine year old brother. Now

that I hear from the denial stories, my nine 1 2 year old brother, what was he guilty of? They 3 take (unintelligible) babies and the screams what was on in one bunk near me, I cannot 5 The most beautiful and the nicest that they looked young, the ladies, they were taken 6 7 there and their blood was practically drained 8 because they needed plasma for the German 9 soldiers. They died in their own blood. And those screams, I will never forget. A woman 10 11 doctor that went in there once came out and she couldn't take it. 12

So, after the war in the ghetto, but they only started the ghetto only in April '44. In the ghetto, my father was taken out twice by the Germans and beaten up. It is on their system. Before their deportations, they took out all the rich people and interrogate them, torture them. They shoot them and then they took the money.

My father was taken out twice and came back bloody. I don't know, perhaps under duress, perhaps he gave them the bank account. I know that my father made several trips to Switzerland in a year, all our life savings

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

since we became Hungarians in '38 and '39, our political situation and wasn't safe and all the rich Jews put their life savings in Swiss banks. We thought that all the Swiss, we can trust them. Now we know that they were Hitler's --they financed the (unintelligible) in '44. prolonged the war with one year. And all the Hungarian Jews were killed in the last seven, eight months of the Holocaust. 

This is why I became so active. I wanted to expose the Swiss for what they -- I say they're really responsible for -- the Hungarian Jews could have been spared.

And in '96, I was sent to the house of the house of a (unintelligible) Lubabovich by the Jewish Congress. I went with a delegation with them. Senator D'Amato and the Jewish Congress found documentation that the Germans after the war -- after the Holocaust came to Swiss banks and they stole Jewish money. Because by torture, they, under duress, many Jews gave them the bank accounts. And then they shredded the records. So, no wonder today they cannot find any records.

After the war, I went to Israel. I did

not get help. No help in Israel from the
government. I never claimed it. I never
claimed compensation money from the Germans and
I don't get it. I did not want blood money.
They killed the parents and the brothers and
they are going to pay me for this?

7

8

9

10

11

12

- I did not get compensation. I did not claim compensation. In Israel, I did never get any money from the government. I never claimed any duress. I would have been ashamed.
- We were raised to be proud on our work and not to depend on others. You pray to God every day not to depend on others.
- This is account that we are talking 14 about this money is really our money. 15 16 know my father in the back ghetto used to tell 17 us after the war, we have a future in Switzerland. So, after the war, really I could 18 19 not afford it. But in the '70s and in the '80s, 20 I heard about two very good lawyers, one in Bosnia and one in Zurich. I know that my father 21 22 went to those two cities. I hired two lawyers.
- THE COURT: Could you step back from
  the microphone a little bit. You're talking
  into it and it's hard to hear. Just --

MS. FISCHER: So, I hired those two lawyers, one in Bosnia, one in Zurich. I sent them power of attorney and lawyer's fees. I invested the lawyer's fees and every bank -- I corresponded with three banks in Bosnia and three banks in Zurich. And every bank requested 500 franc for information and I paid them. And then they did not give any information and they did not give me back the money either.

And after a few years of correspondence, the lawyers sent me back the power of attorney with a letter in a year or so and a letter that he cannot ask for any more money because the banks hide under the law of secrecy. So, that was it.

And then in '96, I started again being active in this. So, compensation, I did not claim and this money is not compensation. It's not even any payment. It's our account that I am sure -- do you think, Honorable Judge, if I could not be sure that we have that, I would have invested? It wasn't easy for me to invest so much money in bank fees and lawyers fees. And I provided all of this information, copies of all my correspondence, even the death

certificate of my father is very -- they say is required to the banks. And I sent it to the tribunal and I didn't hear anything yet.

Now I am very surprised that we have to sit here today and have a hearing of what to do with this money. Three years ago, over three years ago, I was sitting in Mr. Hevesi's (sic) office when we finalized the settlement. I am sure you remember this. They got from \$600 to a billion and a quarter because Mr. Hevesi threatened to sanction the banks.

Many years -- a few years, many people don't have for the settlement. Finally, the authorities here received an envelope with our name on it and we're still waiting for this.

And we have problems and our father's worked hard that we should have an easier life. And in our age, it's not easy to wait such a long time. And now we are discussing what to do with left overs? I did not see a penny of the money yet. And I also just -- your Honor, you wanted to say something?

THE COURT: No, I just wanted to say well, this is just --

MS. FISCHER: Yes.

```
THE COURT: -- it's somewhat premature
 1
 2
   but it's in the event that there's money left
 3
   over after we finish because the needs are so
   great, that I don't want to go through this at a ,
 4
 5
   later point. I would rather do it now so that
   we can move quickly at a later point. But
 6
 7
   we're not finished.
 8
            MS. FISCHER: Well, I -- sorry.
 9
            THE COURT: Go ahead. I don't mean to
   interrupt you.
10
11
            MS. FISCHER: No, go ahead, Judge.
            THE COURT: No, I'm finished.
12
13
            MS. FISCHER: Okay. As I understand,
14
   this money that's accounted -- it belongs to
15
   somebody, is not distributed by the needs.
16
            THE COURT:
                        No.
17
            MS. FISCHER: It has to be first by
18
   those that -- you think that they had reasonable
19
   proof that they had accounts.
20
            THE COURT:
                        Right.
21
            MS. FISCHER:
                          Right?
22
            THE COURT: Right.
23
            MS. FISCHER: And I am very surprised
24
   that most of the speakers here is that -- I
```

asked a few times, are they really talking about

the Swiss accounts or all funds? Because if you talk about Swiss accounts, how can the speakers consider asking -- the taking away of money that belongs to somebody else if the old have to feed, the hungry of the world? So, why from the Swiss accounts? Why should it be the burden only of those that have the Swiss accounts?

My father worked very hard to provide his family, children and grandchildren with an easier life and he had to struggle to get.

Don't think, Honorable Judge, that there are no needs are. I get phone calls, many phone calls from all over the United States from people because they knew that I was active in this.

And I, myself and people can't afford to pay medication, there is great need here also. But perhaps other places the people are louder and can request and demand. But we cannot. We are raised really that's ashamed to received public assistance. For some people, it's an achievement.

I, myself, resent to be called needy

Holocaust survivor. I am not rich and I'm needy

but -- and my children and my grandchildren we

all struggle and I come from a very rich hall --

a very rich house. But I resent to be reduced to Holocaust -- to be called needy because this is really like insult to injury.

are not loud enough because we are ashamed to ask. I don't think I have to beg for my father's account. This is what I understood. This is not -- the compensation, I didn't find any receive -- but this money, I was waiting for these monies and I thought, I don't know if I can live to see the end of it.

Now I hear many speakers, how can they
-- but conscience doesn't bother them? The
organizations, the people, that talk about they
have needs? I need charity and I work for -- I
made memorials in two synagogues, my son's
synagogue and our synagogue for my parents.
They don't have graves, so I made them all kind
of memorials.

And I lecture. I teach in a school for 44 years, the same school. I retired four years ago. We have -- this is a Yeshiva. We don't get any pension. And now I work only part time. I teach in junior high, the whole time about the Holocaust. I lecture in (unintelligible) the

Holocaust. The week before the Yom Shoah (sic)

I go to public schools and I lecture. I do my

part and I know that many Holocaust survivors do

this. And I need charity.

But please, don't, don't check -Honorable Judge, don't take away from us the
freedom of choice what to do with our money. We
are now treated again like the -- I don't mean
to say like in concentration camps -- we have no
freedom of choice. Others decide what to do
with our money.

want to dip into this money. This is not Hesed, you know about the program Hesed? Hesed means kindness and charity when you give from your own, not when you take somebody else's bank accounts. I don't think we --

THE COURT: I know. But we're only talking about it and we have to move on because there are a lot of people.

MS. FISCHER: Yes.

THE COURT: We're only --

MS. FISCHER: And the last thing, I don't want -- I don't think even that you have to talk about that. Please forgive me for

1 saying this --

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. FISCHER: -- and due respect to this institution and to the Honorable Judge and to the master because you are -- all this money that the master so widely allocated for Holocaust survivors and you, Honorable Judge, defended this amount all the time. I know you protected it. Please continue protecting it and give it out only to those that you think that have reasonable doubt -- reasonable proof that have accounts. Even what is left to the -- also, in my opinion, be given out to those at least that you are in doubt then send away to those that you are sure that have no income.

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. FISCHER: This is what I want to ask you. And I thank you for your time and for your attention to this matter.

THE COURT: Rabbi Chaim Stauber.

Menachem Lubinsky.

MR. LUBINSKY: Good afternoon. I'm

Menachem Lubinsky, the former president of

chairman of the board for the Metropolitan New

York Coordinating Counsel on Jewish Property, an

agency of UJA Federation of New York and the leading citywide agency addressing the needs of the Jewish needy.

Your Honor, I was born just a few short, years after the Holocaust. The parents who experienced the horrors of Treblinka, Auschwitz and Bergen-Belsen. I was in a fifth grade class in the Yeshiva and there were only two of 32 pupils had grandparents.

I experienced first hand the agony and frustration of my late mother's plea to live her later years independently and proudly. These are the same pleas that I hear over and over again from many of the 30,000 survivors who live in Brooklyn.

I am here this afternoon in support of helping see an assisted living facility in Borough Park, Brooklyn, home to a significant number of the survivors. This will be a home for those who need care. This will be a home for those who now live in substandard conditions. This will be a home for those who yearn for an understanding a sensitivity of what they endured. And above all, to give them a sense of self-worth.

# Menachem Lubinsky

It will be a major center of services, coordinating all of the community services for 5,000 Holocaust survivors a year, utilizing some of the best professional care that the community, offers.

The survivors are not too old to live in dignity. It's not too late to do justice. They may not have had bank accounts in the Swiss Banks but they lost property to the Nazis who did. They were enslaved by employers who did. They may be in the twilight of their years but never too late to have their needs addressed.

They may never live to celebrate the facility's 30th anniversary but they would want their children, people like me who grew up every day with the horrific experiences of their parents to be taken care of in such a facility.

They may not be around in a half a century to tell their story but they would be at peace with the notion that this facility to serve the needy for many years to come.

I could have helped my mother wish cash but I could not give her what she wanted; a home and services, the camaraderie of friends, the compassion and dignity and understanding of an

# Menachem Lubinsky

1 assisted living facility that would give her 2 some kind of quality of life.

Many of her friends today are precisely in that position. They are survivors not because of their experiences of the late '30s and '40s but because they survive every day. They survive in isolation and loneliness.

At this stage of their life when they want to be true, they cherish kindness not petty cash. They seek dignity, not a handout. Most of the needy Holocaust survivors would love nothing more than to enjoy the life than an assisted living facility affords but which they can ill-afford.

Your Honor, providing the seed money for such a facility is all that the survivors, their children and a cross-section of this community has a consensus, is a priority. The maintenance operations and other future costs will be well taken care of and documented. As a son of Holocaust survivors, as a son of the chief rabbi of Hanover who permitted 32,000 surviving women to marry, who oversaw the delivery of meat to the exodus as the Jews were going to Palestine, I greatly understand and

appreciate the efforts for the needy of this country, the Former Soviet Union and Israel.

But I cannot ignore the cries of those who live within ear shot of this chamber.

Your Honor, this is one decision that will earn the respect of the Swiss, the admiration of the community and the gratitude of the needy for generations to come. What will the Swiss think? Senator Schummer put in a letter to you on April 28 and I quote, "In earlier conversations with Attorney Gribetz, who has done a spectacular job under most difficult and intensely emotional circumstances, I express my strong support for this project. Similarly, in conversations with the officers of the Union Bank of Switzerland, a defendant in this action, I detailed my reasons for supporting this facility. I was pleased to receive the bank's enthusiastic endorsement."

Please say yes. Thank you.

THE COURT: Rabbi Shlomo Besser.

RABBI BESSER: Thank you, your Honor, for giving me an opportunity to speak in behalf of our committee, the International Committee for Preservation of Jewish Memorial Sites.

#### Shlomo Besser

2.5

You've heard my esteemed friend,
Mr. George Klein, as well as Mr. Douglas Lubell,
speak about the importance of the work that
we're doing.

Our organization represents close to 15,000 cemeteries and mass grave sites through Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union. Earlier, two eminent rabbis, leaders of the communities, the Rabbi Bluja (phonetic) and Matter Defero (phonetic) came here to lend credence to the work that we were doing.

Right now in the year 2004, the window of opportunity of saving any of these grave sits is slowly closing. Sixty years after the Holocaust there are very few who still remember the exact placement of many of the unidentified cemeteries. And our work is racing against time.

These cemeteries in Eastern Europe represent tens of millions of deceased persons who children were victims of the Holocaust. If not for the Holocaust, these deceased would have been looked after by the local community, the Hebrah Kenesha (phonetic) or by their own children. It is incumbent upon children to look

## Shlomo Besser

after their parents' resting place.

The Jewish religion plays great importance about the reverence and dignity which we afford to the deceased. This is no longer the case with Eastern Europe where the communities have been wiped out and deceased no longer exist.

We feel that we carry that particular responsibility. The responsibility to all the Jewish people who perished in Europe before the Holocaust and those who were killed in the war.

observance, we embarked on a sacred mission to give recognition to the hallowed grounds of the mass grave sites and we hope that through our efforts the publicity that we hear today in Brodna (phonetic) or in Wailingness (phonetic) or in East Serve, throughout Eastern Europe where former cemeteries, Jewish cemeteries have turned to football fields and garbage dumps and shopping centers will no longer occur.

Several countries have indicated their willingness to participate in the kind of work we're doing but the initiative has to be ours first. The country of Romania and perhaps other

countries, as well are prepared to do matching;
for every dollar we put in in cemetery reshaping
and direction, they are willing to match it.

Your Honor has quoted Professor Douglas
Katz of Villaness (phonetic) University, as
saying that the victims most in need are the
residents of the Former Soviet Union. Professor
and I met this week. We spent two days together
in Prague and he enlisted himself voluntarily in
our efforts. He's prepared to travel with me to
Belarus and other countries as well, to show
where many graves are located, many cemeteries
are located. He did this, of course,
voluntarily and he's willing to give us his own
resources.

We, therefore, ask for an allocation to help fund this particular work. Although there is legislation in place today for which supposedly is supposed to protect cemeteries throughout Eastern Europe, that legislation very rarely trickles down to the local level. And most decisions are made in the local municipal level.

Today the job for us is formidable but tomorrow it may be impossible. Thank you.

## Michael Lissner

THE COURT: Thank you.

Michael Lissner.

MR. LISSNER: Good evening, your Honor.

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to speak, with you today.

Just a brief comment. You had mentioned earlier, you were talking about Ambassador Eisenstat and the definition and discussion of double victims. Ambassador Eisenstat is a personal hero of mine and has become a friend.

I believe if you look at the history with Ambassador Eisenstat and his relationship to needy survivors in the United States, that his understanding of the needs of survivors in this country has changed quite dramatically over the last two or three years. And I know that he's very hopeful that there will be an allocation of funds for needy survivors in the United States.

My name is Michael Lissner and I'm chairman of the board of the Blue Card. Most of our board members and our core volunteers are survivors or children of survivors and recently we have benefitted from the next generation

#### Michael Lissner

1 giving us their time and talent.

My father's life was saved by the

Kinder Transpor (phonetic) and my mother's

family avoided the horrors by escaping Germany
in 1939.

My father-in-law Sol Urbach lost his parents, three brothers and two sisters. He was saved by the German industrialist Oscar Schindler.

My mother-in-law fled east from her native Poland and was interred in a Siberian labor camp.

Your Honor, there's not a day in our lives that we do not feel the impact of the Shoah. The importance of this proceeding on April 29, 2004 cannot be diminished because today, in this courtroom, it is perhaps the last time that the plight of survivors will be heard in a judicial setting.

Your Honor, your ruling calls for residual and unclaimed funds to be allocated to be sure that the largest number of needy survivors can benefit from such funds. Our understanding is that this is a call for organizations which have a broad outreach and

vered by a vate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our scr cause we do no ch survivor mu cker who estak ceive referral ound the count

The soc
sessment and e
dividuals who
titlement as w
ne ts. Survi
penses to esta

They mu

finition of su

G or Article I

e hardship fur

oblem in estab

herwise, we ca

eir Holocaust

rtin Gilbert's

th the Claims

Survivo:

ranscription

## Michael Lissner

are able to disburse funds to survivors diminimus diversion of funds for adminis

The Blue Card established in 19 unique among charitable organizations in United States dedicated to Holocaust su: We do not provide social services. We of give tours, lectures or exhibits. And the neither a political agenda nor do we have political connections.

It is the Blue Card's sole miss help those survivors who are in immediational who come to us not by direct applications by referral from social service organizations.

The Blue Card is the only natio organization in the United States that property continuing ongoing direct financial ass to needy Holocaust survivors.

either government or private sources do simply will not provide. Our disbursem needy survivors are used for such essen expenses as paying rent, non-covered me treatment, medication, winter clothing, and other services and items that surviwould otherwise have to do without and

who if not for the Blue Card would fall through the cracks of the existing U.S. safety net.

Your Honor, the Blue Card is precisely the sort of organization referred to in the Court's ruling and we respectfully request your concurrence in determination that the Blue Card's proposal be accepted.

Thank you for the courtesy you've extended to us. You told Hanka Hirshaut earlier that you do not have the wisdom of Solomon.

But, your Honor, you are in the unenviable position of being our Solomon and you have been shouldered with the burden and responsibility to correct sixty years of injustice.

Thank you for your courage and your strength.

THE COURT: Jack Biegelman.

MR. BIEGELMAN: Honorable Judge Korman, Special Master Gribetz, Professor Newborne, I would like to clarify one thing on the list that you have. You have me listed as a board member of the HSF. I am a board member of the HSSF, which is the Holocaust Survivors of South Florida, William Friedman, president. And I am here to give a few statements to you.

I am a Holocaust survivor, presently residing in Broward County, Florida where I am on the board of the Holocaust Survivors of South Florida and also on the board of the Tri-County Holocaust Coalition in South Florida.

Our main concern is helping as much as possible a large number of very poor and needy Holocaust survivors. Our coalition works with the Jewish Family Services and the Jewish Federation in South Florida on the east coast.

My statements, however, are exclusively my own and I am not voices of opinion of anyone else or any organization that I am associated with.

I am very pleased with the Court's decision to allocate all the remaining funds from the Swiss Bank's settlement to the needy Nazi victims of the world. Being a true Jewish survivor of large ghetto, Auschwitz, Birkenau death camp, Blivitch labor camp, and I was liberated at Krachammer (phonetic) in January of 1945 by the Russian Army.

I personally have difficulties being classified as being just a Nazi victim. A person who could be from any nationality and/or

any religion. It was the Jews who were designed
by Hitler and his murders, butchers, to
exterminate, eliminate from this world the
Jewish people.

Thanks God that he didn't succeed entirely. If he would have, none of us would be here today. I also must mention that I came here to this country, I was sent as an orphan by the Onrach (phonetic) in Germany. And when I came to the United States, I was aided by the Jewish Children's Bureau.

I fortunately had a chance to go to school and get some education that I was a part of for six years. And I also served in the United States Air Force during the Korean conflict. And I'm a Jewish veteran and very proud of it.

I have, however, several concerns about the proposed allocated and distribution of the Swiss funds. Mr. Gribetz, Professor Newborne and you, your Honor, have convinced most of us survivors like myself that the Nazi Jewish victims of the Former Soviet Union and Eastern Europe are more needy than the United States survivors.

However you have not convinced us that the numbers you quote of this so-called double Nazi victims are true Jews and mostly important, Jewish Holocaust survivors whose funds you are just about to allocate. I don't think you can persuade many of us survivors to think differently.

Renown author and columnist Mr. Marvin Shick (phonetic) cited in the Jewish Week, April 2 and 9, that the Hesed who distributes the money in the Former Soviet Union and Eastern Europe provided the following data to the Brandeis report. He stated that 44 percent of their clients are not Nazi victims or survivors. The figure could be higher because Hesed services persons as young as 55 years old. Therefore, they cannot be survivors.

THE COURT: That doesn't -- the fact that -- that may or may not be true but the fact is that the money that we're giving to the Joint distribution agency is specifically targeted to survivors. In fact, it's only gone to about 40,000 people up to this point. And it specifically targeted to survivors.

It's true that the Joint doesn't

discriminate against Jews who are otherwise needy who are not survivors but this particular money that we're giving them is solely for people who are survivors, who are Jewish survivors. And we take -- gone to extraordinary steps to audit them and to make sure the money is being given in exactly the same way that --in exactly the way that we intend it to be given.

So, I mean, I don't know. You know, I can't persuade you here today but I think we could provide you with enough assurance that it may be so that they provide help to people who are not survivors. But this money that we're giving them is solely earmarked for survivors.

MR. BIEGELMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

I just hope that this is true because I am very much interested in that particular item being true. I just hope so. I have no proof. But I will take your --

THE COURT: Also, you know, we're not giving money to people who according to Mr. Shick, you know, spent the war and lived in Asar Bejan (phonetic). That is, who were from Asar Bejan or from parts of Russia that Hitler

1 did not reach it from and who never fled. So, 2 that's also an inaccurate statement.

Of course, Hitler occupied, the parts of Russia that Hitler occupied, Belarus, the Former' Soviet Union, Belarus, the Ukraine, Moldova; they were all areas in which there were huge Jewish populations and I would think that up to 2 million Russian Jews who are in Russia or a part of Russia that existed before Hitler invaded, died in the Holocaust. But we're only giving money to people who fit within the definition of survivors.

MR. BIEGELMAN: Thank you, your Honor. I just hope so.

Also, you mentioned that in the tens of thousands there are Hesed clients who are not Jewish, even to the loose definition employed by the JDC. Now, I am just reading whatever I read in the Jewish News.

THE COURT: I understand that.

MR. BIEGELMAN: However we look at the numbers, a significant proportion of Hesed clients are not eligible for excess Swiss fund since such funds are earmarked for Jewish survivors since the depositors at the Swiss

Banks were mostly Jewish.

This brings up my personal
disappointment as to the recent Gribetz
calculation and proposed allocation that 75
percent will be allocated to the Former Soviet
Union and 20.4 to Israel which they deserve for
sure, and only 3.7 percent to the United States
for needy, proven Holocaust survivors.

A most incredible question comes to my mind. Why are these survivors in this great country of ours so undeserving that they receive only 3.7 percent of already small amount of money due to the Swiss Banks's fifty year obstructionism.

I presume that Mr. Gribetz did consider the fact that one dollar in the Former Soviet Union buys the same as \$10 in the United States. In spite of the buffers that we in the United States are supposed to have, there are many, many poor and in dire need in medication and home care. Survivors who must be on a waiting list just like in Broward County.

I have spoken to the leaders of the agency, Jewish Family Services and also the women who interviewed these survivors for help.

And they come up with a figure which is contrary to what everybody here said about especially the United States survivor population, that has more than -- more Soviet Union survivors that are in need of help.

I was told that out of 235 clients that they served this year and last year, only three were Soviet Union, Former Soviet Union people.

THE COURT: Most of them are concentrated in the New York area.

MR. BIEGELMAN: Well, that's very possible. But I am speaking of a county in South Florida that does not have many of the benefits that the New York people have. And I'm just interested in my part of the country where we really need more money than some other country -- parts of the -- because we just need survivors to exist and have a more comfortable life in their old age and all need help, especially the ones that apply for it.

We are very fortunate in this country that no one starves to death, as opposed to the Former Soviet Union. But these people already had their problems beforehand. They went through many, many stages of starvation,

1 degradation. I don't have to tell you. It's
2 been told here all day.

A most credible question comes to my mind -- oh, I mentioned that.

I presume that Mr. Gribetz did consider the fact that one dollar in the Former Soviet Union buys the same as \$10 in the United States.

Agencies to do with so little money, what can agencies do with so little money that they get? Should they tell poor and needy survivors in South Florida to go into the streets and beg? All of these clients previously barely held on to their lives and labored enough with the Nazi beast during the Jewish Holocaust just to get a piece of black bread and water it down with a little bit of soup, once or twice a day.

Gentlemen, this is completely unnecessary and inhuman in a way in this day and age in this country where hundreds of billions of dollars are available right here and now for the poor and needy Holocaust survivors in this country and other countries like the Former Soviet Union, the Eastern Europe and other countries in the world.

# Lilly Levinson

Please, your Honor, I hope that whatever decision you make, it should be very prompt. Please make it immediate distribution to all the parties under consideration. I hate to see more of our brothers and sisters tell of survivors dying needlessly before their time because of holding of distribution of the funds that they are -- that is theirs and now available.

I thank your Honor and the Court for allowing me to express my personal opinion.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Lilly Levinson.

MS. LEVINSON: My name is Lilly
Levinson. I was born in Hungary and the German
horrors invaded Hungary on March 19, 1944.
Within two months we were put into cattle cars
and taken to Auschwitz where I lost my -- where
my father lost 99 percent of his family and my
mother lost 95 percent of her family. My three
sisters and I survived.

We were shipped from Auschwitz to

Germany to Gleiwitz and Steiger which is 80

kilometers from Stubidad (phonetic). We were in

the Dagash and Natagarr (phonetic) in Fabri

## Lilly Levinson

1 (phonetic), which is a factory like a city in 2 exile. We worked 12 to 14 hours, seven days a week.

We, the Jews, were escorted by SS bayonets to the factory. On nice days, we went the short way to the factory and rainy days, the long way. The Russian and the Ukrainian who were in the same factory, had their own clothes and they went unescorted to the factory.

We had almost no food and almost no clothing, just a striped seamed dress and an unlined jacket, no hat, no coat, no gloves.

And we were there a year in (unintelligible).

April 30, 1945, we were liberated by the American army in a cattle car. We were in a cattle car. The day before the International Red Cross arrived and they gave us packages.

And it did more harm than good because people started to eat and everybody got sick.

But back in the (unintelligible), our camp was adjacent to a French prisoner of war camp. They got packages from home and from the anti-semitic International Red Cross. We, the Jews got nothing.

And in reference to what Ramsey Clarke

## Lilly Levinson

```
said about the Romanis, in Auschwitz, the Romanis did much better than the Jews. They were living in a family camp with their families, not like we the Jews whose families were almost right away murdered.
```

And another thing, my father had a Swiss account. He put \$10,000 in a Swiss account for his four daughter's dowry. I find many, many papers and I would like to get it back. After sixty years, I would like to get my father's hard earned money back.

When I spoke to you, your Honor, two years ago, you promised that you will be fair and I hope so.

THE COURT: I'll look into it. Could you leave the name of your father with my law clerk and I'll try and find out?

I'm sorry, this is --

THE CLERK: We're in touch with her.

THE COURT: You're in touch with her?

Okay.

MS. LEVINSON: I gave Mr. Gribetz my

23 | name.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. LEVINSON: Okay. Thank you very

1 much.

2

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Rabbi Simon Hirschorn and Daniel Reingold.

RABBI HIRSCHORN: I'm Rabbi Hirschorn 4 5 from the Hebrew Home for the Aged in Riverdale 6 and I have to say that I am humbled and stumped by all of this hearing by the scope of -- by the 7 task that you are faced with and I can only hope 8 that you will find additional help and 9 additional wisdom like Solomon did by being able 10 to listen to the birds and understand their 11 language. So, I hope that you will have any 12 help that will be possible for you to deal with 13 14 this difficult situation.

I am reminded not just by the hearing but by reading the recommendations of the situation of an emergency room where the task at hand is to create a triage of who needs the help most at this particular time. And I'm not doubting the need and I'm not questioning the necessity to help the people especially in the Former Soviet Union.

And I was troubled, also by the -- what I read on the website, the way of looking -- the approaching of looking at different proposals in

### Simon Hirschorn

terms of costs per survivor. And if I do so, I feel I have very little to say about the fact that a program that might cost \$25,000 per survivor versus a cost that will provide food and housing for someone at a much lower cost, that the priorities will have to go to to the person who needs it.

But I want to look at it not from the perspective of an emergency room but I would like to add my words as the rabbi who sits with the people who come to the Hebrew Home. At the time when the elderly survivors join us, they have already suffer from isolation and loneliness and often have serious emotional problems. Most of them used to rely primarily in their own coping mechanism and, therefore, have never sought the professional or social help or pastoral counselors.

neglected much too long at the Hebrew Home and so, there are very few services to help. And I know that the services that are reimbursed and the system, the healthcare system of today are limited. And in my eight years at the Hebrew Home, I've seen the need of the Holocaust

1 survivors being -- go far and beyond what the 2 excellent care that we have already provided.

And so, I have thought when we were -saw the opportunity to submit a proposal to
really look at the needs of the people at the
time when they become most vulnerable again, and
that is at the end of their lives. So, we've
developed a comprehensive care module for
Holocaust survivors that if there are any
residual funds available, I would like some of
that to be used for the survivors at the end of
their lives.

I know, as I said in the context of an emergency room triage, we might not be the first to be served and we might be the ones in the soon to be served, but when you look at each individual person, you will identify that the needs are there and that they have to be met.

We will be looking for other funding.
We will be trying to see that these needs are
met but we will appreciate in the names of the
survivors, of the Hebrew Home if any fund will
be possible from your side.

Thank you so much for your patience.

And if you have any questions of me --

## Farra Chermobilfkaya

THE COURT: NO, I don't have any questions.

Farra Chermobilfkaya.

MS. CHERMOBILFKAYA: Your Honor, I have, a prepared speech. First of all, I have a message to you and the message has to be translated because this is in Russian, sent to you a note, a woman, 80 years old. And I would like to read (unintelligible).

Your Honor, Mr. Edward Korman --

THE COURT: Do you know what? Could I just interrupt you for a minute? Instead of you reading and him translating it, why don't you give it to him and he'll read it to me in English, okay?

MS. CHERMOBILFKAYA: Okay.

THE COURT: Go to the microphone.

MS. CHERMOBILFKAYA: I'm asking you, addressing my concern, I'm hoping for your understanding and I would like you to help me for the rest of my life to be financially independent. I am a survivor of the Bichurer (phonetic) Concentration Camp.

The fascists during the first months just ruined my parents house and they took away

```
all assets. I am very thankful to this country,

America, for they granted me the status of the

refuge, political refugee, as well as the

accepting me into this country.
```

But nevertheless, I've got some problems and concerns. To start with, number one, rent is extremely -- it's very high but it's \$675. That is the reason why I experiencing a lack of the fundings for my sustenance.

You see there's the following -there's at list of following expenditures of my
home. First, my monthly income, I get an SSI
assistance of \$641 and that's it. And plus, I
get monthly, \$280 from Germany as a
compensation. So, I monthly income all together,
total monthly income is \$921.

My monthly expenditures are rent, \$675, Cablevision, \$34, gas supply, \$14, transportation \$20, laundry money, \$12. So, all together it comes to the total expenditure per month is \$902.

These -- I get monthly, \$140 in food stamps. They last for me just for three weeks. I would like you to know that all food products,

as well as clothing I buy in the discounted places and they are give me low quality. I would like to mention that the prices keep on increasing.

I almost don't have any money for some kind of recreation, bearing in mind whatever I have shown above, I would like you to consider and to extend me the financial fund for propriety, some rent payment and covering some other expenses for the people for Holocaust survivors as I am.

Best wishes -- it's a signature.

MS. BELASHKAR: My name is Regina
Belashkar. I am former survivors from the
concentration camp Pikshuar. It is where
Ukrainian near Kubinyetsa (phonetic). It is
only one concentration camp in the Ukrainian, no
more. The concentration camp stayed all the
four years during the war. It was made -- the
Germans made it Deskopp (phonetic). The people
who were at the concentration camp have only one
word about exist, to give (unintelligible). It
was a miracle in this state to live.

Before the war, we had -- our family had houses and meals to eat. All this was

## Regina Belashkar

destroyed by Germans. We know that as difficult as (unintelligible).

Several of us who are still live feel ourselves damaged by the warm. We were taken our youths, our health. Presently many of us are experiencing this national situation in poor living situations. Payment for rent takes the most of our money. And there's nothing, almost left to money of all other meals.

I can't wait and wait and wait. I'm a member of the Association of Former Survivors from Former Soviet Union and two years ago, I make an estimate who from the survivors are needed in (unintelligible), who didn't have for subsidized apartment for section 8. It is probably two years ago, it was probably the kids or the families. That's all because there's nothing more. Survivors go away, they pass away.

And I estimate how much it may cost if section 8 is froze and not to wait, we don't have time to wait no more. Everybody is of the age 70, 75 or more. It is very nervous who is 65 (unintelligible).

So we're very much appreciate you to

```
consider (unintelligible) to subsidize
 1
   apartments for people who need it. It is not
   much according to the amount, we are
 3
   (unintelligible) here. If we subsidized -- you, '
   if it's possible, it need only $2 million a year
 5
   for all the people of the association for
 6
              It is less than 350 people. And for
 7
   all their life, it is probably $30, $40 million.
            According to this amount, it is
 9
   nothing. It is nothing. It is for food and
10
          It's for our whole life because we can't
   life.
11
   wait for it. Rent is $670. I have not the best
12
   apartment. It's not a good apartment.
13
   very cheap. And I go to -- better, I need $800,
14
   $900 a month. And my rent today is more than 80
15
16
   percent, show my -- through my money, I have --
   if I divide -- if I plus my SSI and my German
17
   compensation, it's more than 80 percent and
18
   nothing is left for eat.
19
20
```

Thank you for taking into consideration our -- what we are -- talk to you and the expenses. Please help us. Thank you very much.

And I would like to show you, this is a picture in memory of the first of the concentration camp is blessing, you know? I try

21

22

23

24

25

```
to go to the library. I didn't find any material about the concentration camp pictures.
```

And they finish about 15,000 people lived at this concentration camp or more or less. I don't know. Because there's no statistics and there are no information. Every people were -- most people (unintelligible). Everybody need to improve their health conditions.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Michael Krauthammer.

Veronica Rendon.

MS. RENDON: Good evening, your Honor.

My name is Veronica Rendon and I'm with the law firm of Peligree and Friese (phonetic) and I'm representing on a pro bono basis the Very Bonc Schwitzer Fiduciary Pursigan (phonetic), The Association of Swiss-Jewish Welfare. I speak on behalf of that association, as well as the principal, Doris Krauthammer and her son, Michael.

The association is the welfare arm or the umbrella organization of the Swiss Jewish congregations. And it serves the Jewish

community of 18,000 Jews located in Switzerland. The mission of the association is to provide assistance to indigent individuals within that community who do not have funds to provide for the most basic needs, their basic living needs.

Within that community, there are 105 survivors of the Holocaust who require assistance, again, assistance to meet their most basic living needs; clothes, food, rent, medical assistance and that is the subject of the first proposal that was submitted to you by the association.

There was also a second proposal for funds to the extent that such funds exist for allocation and that was to assist 20 elderly Holocaust survivors currently located in Bosnia for desperate circumstances and who the association would like to relocate to a nursing home facility in Switzerland. I'll focus on the two proposals in turn, keeping in mind the time that we're at in the evening.

The first proposal, again is to assist 105 indigent Holocaust survivors located in Switzerland and the money that we would request would be to help them meet their most basic

living needs. The specific amount that the association has requested is \$500 Swiss francs per month which translates into \$385 American dollars per month per survivor.

Let me tell you a little bit about the survivors that were making the request on behalf of. These individuals are Holocaust survivors who emigrated to Switzerland during the 1950s, '60s and '70s. They fled from the Eastern European countries from Poland, Russia, Czechoslovakia and Hungary.

They are all aged and are either in retirement or close thereto and all of them fall below the Swiss poverty line. Now, the monthly income that they receive primarily through the Swiss pension funds that go to them every months and all other entitlement programs including local assistance that they receives, they have an approximate monthly income of only \$1,850 a month. And many of them receive considerably less than that.

And that's just not enough to get by in Switzerland. The Swiss sustenance minimum level varies from about \$2,200 to \$2,500 a month for a single person depending upon where they live.

So, there is a shortfall of approximately \$350 to \$1,000 American dollars a month.

The association has requested an allocation to the extent that such an allocation, would be possible of \$385 a month or translated into Swiss francs, \$500 in Swiss months a month per survivor.

And that shortfall again is for the most basic living needs of these Holocaust survivors. It would be money to compensate them to meet their rent for their apartments and they are modest apartments that we're talking about, so that they can buy food, clothes and provide themselves with healthcare.

There is, in Switzerland a healthcare program that is sponsored by the government.

However, it does not cover dental care. It does not cover certain medical procedures. It provides very little bit for home house care or excuse me, home health care and as the population ages, all of those needs increase.

Also, there's psychological care that's covered by the funds that were seeking.

The association has been desperate, especially in the past five years to help these

individuals. They've been working with a shortfall of at least \$385 a month and they have funding of only \$56,000 annually to commit.

They've sought contributions from the government, from private organizations and institutions and they've been lucky enough through making those types of solicitations to make ends meet.

But given a down turn in Swiss economy over the past five years, there's been a significant decrease in charitable contributions that have been made to the association.

And so, it has become increasingly difficult as this group of 105 -- to help this group of 105 survivors.

We believe that the needs that would be covered by the amounts that we're requesting are met -- excuse me, meet the priorities identified by Special Master Gribetz.

The money again goes to this provision of food, for winter relief in Switzerland, for emergency financial assistance, for unexpected and unaffordable expenses, for medical needs, for rent, for utility, to provide home health care and other assistance.

And so, your Honor, I would respectfully request that the association be granted the money that it seeks. That is for the first proposal.

And all I would like to stress is again is these are survivors of the Holocaust and the money that would be given to the agency should the request be given, should the request be met, would go directly to these individuals for their basic living needs.

The second proposal of the association is to support 20 Holocaust victims and relocate them from Bosnia, where they are right now. Living conditions are intolerable. And bring them to an old person's home in Switzerland to provide them with their money to meet their livelihood for the next coming years of their life. That money that we seek, we're hopeful for, we have explored it and we submit a written proposal on both of these requests to you. And we just ask that your Honor give consideration to our request to the extent possible, to help these needy, needy individuals.

Thank you, your Honor.

### Bill Swartz

THE COURT: David Mischievous. Is there a David Mischievous here?

Moses Scharf? Is there a Moses Scharf here?

Bill Swartz.

MR. SWARTZ: May it please the Court, I am pleased and privileged to present the proposal of the Syns School of Business of Yeshiva University. With me today is Professor Jim Robin of the faculty of the Syns School who is one of the architects of the proposal, as well.

Sitting here and listening to various proposals, one is, of course, very deeply moved by the very passionate and very eloquent presentation of the needs of various constituencies and groups. In fact, it gives new meaning to a phrase that appears in the liturgy of my faith, the needs of your people are extremely great.

And I'm also cognizant of the reality that the Judge is faced, your Honor is faced with this dilemma of allocating amongst truly deserving causes on all sides. And your big dilemma today is how do you resolve it? How do

you resolve the issue of allocation?

I believe that the proposal of the Syns school responds to that dilemma. The dilemma arises because the resources available for distribution are, of course, finite and limited. That's the need that the referee, obviously took note of by trying to establish categories and prioritizing.

What I am suggesting is that the proposal of the Syns school responds to that because it seeks not only to preserve the historical truth, which is extremely important in itself, but beyond preserving the historical truth, we believe that it provides the opportunity to create and generate the very significant source of funds, additional funds which would then be available to all of these very needy organizations.

So, although it does not directly and immediately flow to survivors, it will create a pool or reservoir of funds, which will hopefully meet all of those needs.

How will it do so? The fact of life is that one noted life insurance expert, Professor Joseph Bell estimated that insurance claims, and

notice the Syns proposal relates to insurance claims which hasn't really been touched upon much today, but the insurance plan, he talked only of life insurance. Holocaust related insurance, life insurance claims, would exceed \$200 million in back -- that's Bell's estimate.

Bell himself conceded that in reaching that number, he didn't include any property claims. He didn't include, for example, the economic value that, for example, a policyholder who is a shareholder, in essence, of a mutual insurance company would have and would be deriving from the growth of the investment portfolio of that particular insurance company.

He limited his estimate solely and exclusively to the value of claims. And he didn't include property claims. And he took a very, very conservative compounding interest rate. And so that the researchers at the Syns school in the course of preparing their draft proposal which has been submitted to your Honor, they've actually come up with an estimate that if these claims were to be vigorously pursued, the result would be an amount well in excess of \$500 billion which would go a long way,

hopefully, if that fund could be available to dissipate and ameliorate the crunch that is created by these conflicting, very deserving, conflicting claims. And you wouldn't be as he said, with the same issues of allocation as you are today.

so, we believe that this proposal is very meritorious, not only because it will help us preserve the historical truth, which is important, but beyond that, it will provide a very valuable base of information and data which will relate, for example, to the assets of the companies, the life insurance companies, the owners of the companies, the nature of the insurance policies, the terms of those policies, which will respond not just to a nepharial or abstract question but to very practical questions.

For example, the issue, how does one go about achieving and asserting jurisdiction over foreign companies? And we believe that these types of research projects that are envisioned here for gathering and assemblage of that data will provide sufficient and very important information with respect to that issue of

### Bill Swartz

And so, we respectfully submit that

```
1 personal jurisdiction.
```

2

21

22

24

25

```
this is the proposal that is unique. We don't
 3
   believe any other proposal that we've heard
   today relates to the same thrust and purpose.
 5
   It provides really leverage to use limited funds
 6
 7
   but for the purpose of creating a much
   hopefully, much larger source of funds which
 8
 9
   could be used to solve the problems of all of
10
   these organizations and really mitigate,
11
   ameliorate and alleviate your Honor's problems
12
   of allocation.
13
            THE COURT: Could I ask you to refresh
14
   my recollection, how much are you asking for?
15
   How much is this program going to cost?
16
            MR. SWARTZ: I believe the proposal is
17
   int he range of $8 million.
18
            THE COURT:
                        Okay.
19
            MR. SWARTZ:
                         Thank you, your Honor.
20
            THE COURT: Thank you.
```

MR. SWARTZ: No.

questions?

THE COURT: Iza Katza.

MR. SWARTZ: Do you have any further

MR. KATZA: Good evening.

### Iza Katz

The contribution of the (unintelligible) is a lot of work. I see you have a lot of support today. I am .Izta Kata (unintelligible). Now I am here and I am the president of the Association of Holocaust Survivors from the Former Soviet Union here in New York.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I do work in (unintelligible) for family (unintelligible). Everybody was killed. I am myself here and I am a doctor and I am here and I represent my Holocaust survivors and I never did not worry for myself for some money, you know? I don't want to talk about money. This is -- I think that you know what to do. But the papers that I received when I saw a lot of organizations but they have nothing to do with the area of the Holocaust and they send their proposals. And everybody wants to have a piece of cake. But our organization is from a very, very bad situation. And our people are very poor people. (unintelligible) come to the United States and they each have 70 -- and they are people, now the youngest is 70, the oldest woman is (unintelligible).

also the explanation what is the needs of our people but what is bothering me, this is the relationship between organizations in the -- to the Holocaust survivors in the Former Soviet Union.

our board and we come to a decision that everything it's okay, it's enough money for everybody, it's not necessary to see that the Holocaust survivors from the Former Soviet Union, they don't need help. I want to tell you thank you very much for saying really what you said that the Holocaust survivors in the Former Soviet Union now, they are in very bad situation and we sent the proposition to help them because I was there in '98 and I saw with my eyes what the situation is they live there; the Holocaust survivors and not the Holocaust survivors, the Jews but they remain there in the Former Soviet Union.

It's a big mitzvah to help them and do everything to -- what is possible to do for them. Together with this, I would like to say that the majority of the Holocaust survivors are in Israel. It's ---- the statistic from 2000

#### Iza Katz

1	shows that in Israel is 47.8 percent of
2	Holocaust survivors. In the U.S. in 2000, it
3	was 16 to maybe 18, maybe 20 percent of
4	Holocaust were here. And the Former Soviet
5	Union is 13.4 percent of Holocaust survivors.
6	I am talking about this, I think that
7	there to be inquiry for all Holocaust survivo

there to be inquiry for all Holocaust survivors in this -- not -- you know, it's -- what I saw today, you know, a lot of people in majority from Florida, they -- it's a big (unintelligible) between these people, the American but they are here sixty years. Our people come to the United States when they are in their big -- their high age. Everybody when they come to United States, it was 60s, 65, 70. He didn't have businesses and didn't make money and didn't -- the situation here and the situation there for the Holocaust survivors from the Former Soviet Union, it's very, very different.

And would like to tell you that we also have problems, discrimination between the (unintelligible) like the Yabassin (phonetic) like the museum in Washington, the Holocaust museum, they want to save the history of the

Holocaust and they territory of the Former Soviet Union because it was there -- they recruit a lot of people. When the Germans cross the borders from the Soviet Union, then it's time to hep us because they started to kill lots of people.

It was in this site, the European site, it was to get us but it was not -- it's only people killed like it was every day it was killed. In June, 150 people, 100 per day in every place. I can give you the statistics to show you how many people it as killed in every month, in every place in the Former Soviet Union.

Do you know that the 29th of September is (unintelligible), was killed 33,700 people in two days. You know, everybody knows what is the horror thing of it. But not everybody knows what is going on in the territory of the Former Soviet Union because it was hundreds and hundreds of (unintelligible) gas. And because of this, we won't be -- want to ask to help us to straight the history of what it was in the Former Soviet Union.

We intimately ask to be counted to be

## Rosa Spitz

here because we have to save more and to make exposition. And this will be for the research for the start for the next generation to learn about this -- what this was and then it will be a good example for the demise of the Holocaust because they can see what it was done there also.

I don't want talk too much. I sent the proposals and I am asking you to help us in this because it's very important matter.

Thank you very much. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mrs. Rosa Spitz.

MS. SPITZ: Good evening and thank you very much for giving me the chance to talk.

Judge Korman, I am hear to present my own case. I was all over Senator D'Amato. I was one of the six witnesses. My father was very well to do person in Eastern Europe in Czechoslovakia. We had four children. I was orphaned by my mother when I was five years old. He took care of us. And he took money to Serge by a representative of Schriber and he took it to Swiss Banks for every child and

```
1 for myself.
```

```
I have various papers which I got the
 2
   letter that they found a substantial match to my
 3
   claim and because promises going on and on,
   until this day I have not received. And now--
 5
            THE COURT: Have you been in touch with
 6
 7
   Judah Gribetz who is over here? Have you --
            MR. GRIBETZ: No, we haven't been in
 8
   touch.
 9
            THE COURT: Why don't you talk to him
10
   about this?
11
            MS. SPITZ: Pardon me?
12
            THE COURT: Why don't you talk to Judah
13
   Gribetz about this problem?
14
15
            MR. GRIBETZ: So, we can try to help
16
   you.
17
            THE COURT: Yes.
18
            MS. SPITZ: Thank you very much.
19
            THE COURT:
                        Okay.
20
            Moses Sharp.
            MR. SHARP: Good evening,
21
22
   your Honor, Judge Korman.
            My name is Moses Sharp. I am the owner
23
24
   of a Charitable Living at 1410 10th Street in
```

Brooklyn.

25

My family has been a leader in the elder care field since 1954 starting with my grandparents, who were Holocaust survivors and (unintelligible), my parents and his -- and my uncles.

I have come here today to appeal to you on behalf of needy survivors of the Holocaust who have now reached the age we call senior years, retirement years, golden years; each a different way of saying old age.

They are our fathers, mothers, uncles, aunts, cousins, friends, neighbors and loved ones who should be living out the remaining years of their life in dignity, independently, as active, vibrant individuals, contributors to society from the wealth of the knowledge and life experience that they have accumulated, looking forward to each day as an experience with anticipation and good cheer.

Instead, they are living out their remaining years alone, shut-ins, prisoners in their own homes or apartments in need of social, emotional and monetary support.

Family members, friends, neighbors, caregivers and social workers may visit

occasionally. They may go out during the day, weather permitting to do some shopping or to a day care center.

2.5

However, even if they are fortunate enough to have a spouse, they are still isolated and alone. They are dependent on the minute support team to provide a limited amount of life and happiness support.

Even if all the visits or outings I mentioned would be a daily occurrence, and they are not, this would fill an hour of the day, at most.

Inclement weather, the evening. Everyone involved is guilt-ridden, the visitors because they have to leave and the visitors, the stay at home seniors who don't want to visitors to leave. The visits come a negative and awkward experience. The seniors don't want to impose to become a burden, so they isolate themselves further. Depression sets in and their health starts to decline.

This is not dignity. This is not active. This is not vibrant. What it is once again survival. They are surviving again

depending again on others for their survival.

However, for those survivors who can afford to live in assisted living facilities life is different. They live an active life with dignity and in dependence, receiving the assistance they need, the life enhancing support.

Housekeeping and other chores are not part of one's daily routine. But a meal shared at a table with a friend is. So are interesting discussions that force an opportunity to express themselves to be heard, to share their experiences of youth and all topics. So are walks and outings.

Visits with family and friends are a pleasure for all. Visitors come and bring the children, grandchildren and great grandchildren to visit, the Zavi, the bubbi, uncles and aunts and friends and neighbor, children, young and old come at all times, at every opportunity, even for a drop by while out during errands.

Their visits are enjoyable, a pleasure, an opportunity to spend time with their loved ones. Asking the question, how did you spend your day and how do you feel is answered with a

description of activities participated in, a lecture that was heard, an engaging conversation they had an entertaining show, a concert they saw. It's not a long winded description of those of complaints.

Go to schull (sic) three times a day or the shavitz, not depends on weather or an escort. There is a synagogue on site. I welcome anyone to visit one of the existing facilities and with the lifestyles that the guests live.

Survivors now make up one-third of the population in the two assisted living facilities in Flatbush community and almost 100 percent in the Borough Park community with a total capacity of close to 500.

There are, however, many who cannot afford this expense. I stand here before you today to propose that monies from the Swiss settlement funds and the Claims Conference be dedicated to establish a fund to make assisted living affordable for all survivors. By creating a fund to make assisted living affordable to all survivors, this court will address one of the most pressing issues facing

the community of survivors.

The money should be apportioned and given directly to survivors and subsidize their stay in assisted living facility of their choice, in the community of their choice. Monies that are distributed to agencies, institutions, to care for elderly Holocaust survivors takes away the survivors freedom of choice, their dignity of feeling of self-worth. It causes resentment and ill-will.

Do not again take away their selfrespect by making them dependent on assistance or the good will of others.

Funds should go directly to the survivors. There is no need to build a new facility for the future. The need is now to help support the survivors. I also wish to respectfully remind the Court that time is short. We need to act now.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. SPITZ: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: I've gotten through the list of everybody who signed up to speak and I can give -- I have approximately eight people who I can give about -- I can give the next

```
1
   remaining speakers, I could give you five
 2
   minutes each.
            Eva Price.
 3
            MS. WEISSMAN: I was on the list but I
 4
   am not called.
 5
            THE COURT: I'm sorry?
 6
 7
            MS. WEISSMAN: I signed up. Why wasn't
   I called?
 8
 9
            THE COURT: Well, let me give -- is Eva
10
   Price here?
11
            All right. Who are you?
12
            MR. BLOSTHYN: Thazer Blosthyn.
13
            THE COURT: Okay. And you are?
14
            MS. WEISSMAN: Weissman.
15
            THE COURT: Well, you're not -- I
16
   haven't gotten to you yet.
17
            MS. WEISSMAN: I don't know why.
18
            THE COURT: Because you're not -- you
   signed up --
19
20
            THE CLERK: You're at the end of the
   list.
21
22
            THE COURT: You're at the of the list.
23
            MR. WEISSMAN: I'm at the end of the
24
   list.
25
            THE CLERK: I explained to you before
```

1 | you were at the end of the list.

MR. WEISSMAN: Okay, (unintelligible).

THE COURT: Anna Topfic (phonetic). I

just want to see who is here?

Sylvia Richstein?

MS. RICHSTEIN: I'm here. Thank you very much for letting me speak.

I had no idea I am coming here. I am usually sick and at home. I have -- I belong to several categories the people talked about today. My grandfather was the head of the community in Chernovik, Bokovina (phonetic). I don't know if many people know what it was.

I cried not only what happened to the people but Chernovik was the capital of the most eastern corridor of Austria. It was called Clyvede (phonetic) and since Second World War, it does not really exist. Ninety percent of the people were Jewish people, upper middle class people.

The ones that survived are mostly in Israel, a few are here. The rest don't exist. Their stores, their buildings still -- destroyed in Germany but the soul of the city isn't more. I don't know if the Judge has heard of two nobel

prize winners in literature, one was Polsalan

(phonetic), who came from Calvary and the other

is in Israel right now, Apathack (phonetic).

I'm only speaking because our city was the city,

of books, the city of culture, not just the city

of wealth.

As I said, my grandfather was one of the very wealthy people. He was the head of the community. He was the head of the synagogue. He had a hizmake (phonetic) from the Yeshiva and he also finished university.

In our city, everybody spoke German.

The other thing that hurts me so very much is when the Nazis came, they told us that our land of home is poisoning their language.

Today, I think if I had Alzheimer, the only thing I would remember are my first poems of a reader or whatever and it is in Germany, unfortunately.

I am here because my grandfather who was a very wealthy man put \$18,000 (unintelligible) in a Swiss Bank account for each of his grandchildren. We were four at the time. We had a very big house where each of his five children lived and 17 people that he rented

2.5

## Sylvia Richstein

```
out and I have here a picture of the house in case the Court is interested. I have other pictures of our city which if anybody had been to Vienna and as I say, it was called Clyvee.
```

I don't know right now how I can get to the money that my grandfather put in my name and also had in his name.

THE COURT: Have you filed a claim?

MS. RICHSTEIN: Please?

THE COURT: Have you filed a claim?

MS. RICHSTEIN: My father is --

THE COURT: No.

MS. RICHSTEIN: My father also had

14 | money.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

THE COURT: Your father --

MS. RICHSTEIN: Yes, but --

THE COURT: Have you filed a claim for

18 | the money?

MS. RICHSTEIN: A long time ago. I
haven't heard anything about it. But I had the

21 dubious, how should I say -- I have been robbed

22 by the Swiss twice because I didn't come to the

23 United States as a refugee, as a poor person.

24 My father and my uncle went first time is in

25 Austria and my father's dream was always to go

```
to Israel and when we could, when we finally escaped the hell that the Germans and the Stalin court to us, in 1950 we came to Israel.
```

. 8

next to go with nothing. We only had our life.

During the war, the youngest brother of my mother, a week before the war started was taken to Siberia. I forgot to tell you that Hitler gave us to Stalin a year before the war started. And a week before the war started, June 13, 1941, my youngest uncle was a doctor in law from Hierbank when finished, was sent with 2,500 others in the middle of the night to Siberia where he was one of the very, very few survivors. And also a very good friend -- is this the time for me?

THE COURT: No, I'm just scratching my shoulder.

MS. RICHSTEIN: Oh, a very good friend of mine, Carries a very good friend, she's older, was taken, too. She survived fifty years of Siberia in (unintelligible) Germany. I think now she's in Russia, too. Anyway, and so we have -- she brought me pictures that she took in the middle of the night, books and pictures she

# Sylvia Richstein

1 took, not other important things.

But what I wanted to say is when we came to Israel, we were very happy although we had nothing. And my father, a lawyer, who besides being in concentration camp, a slave labor, went -- and that's the only labor he ever did, came back with 90 pounds, came and said he wants to work the earth, went to a kibbutz. But they looked at him and they looked at his hands and they said I don't think you are material for kibbutz. Do you have sons? And he said no, I have two daughters. And when they saw us, they said we are not material for a kibbutz.

But I'm telling you this because during the time, during the Nazis, the first thing they did when we came, we were the first at the border, we were the most western part, when the Nazis came like six days after the war, the first thing they did, they rounded up -- they had rabbi, they had (unintelligible) my grandfather and other rich people, they took them to our river, Kaput (phonetic). They let them take -- them let them take off their clothes and they shot them in the back.

those people, they let like ten people go and said now one and ten the others. And they shot them in the back and they came and told us.

1

2

3

23

24

25

The other friends of my father who also, 4 5 started (unintelligible), my father was an Austrian officer, by the way, had a gun and they 6 7 asked what should we? Shall we right now go against the Nazis? We're few and they're going 8 to smash us, one, two, three. Shall we run 10 away? There is no place in Europe left to run. We will do passive resistance. And I remember, 11 I called my uncle, he was the best friend of my 12 13 father, Ushtaati Timberline (phonetic), and he 14 said some of us will for sure survive, most of us will perish. But some of us will survive. 15 He was one that was taken in '42 to a place 16 17 nobody has ever mentioned here or with the book, 18 where there was a strong, what do you call it, 19 career at the piazza where they let them work 20 the store where they have all the Nazis was a 21 former colleague of my uncle. So, he made him a 22 supervisor.

To make the story short, when the Russians came near, the supervisor got the order to shoot them all and as a good gesture, he

killed my uncle and the two daughters which were friends of my sister and mine, more like sisters, they were shot blast. For a year when I heard it, I dreamt there was a miracle and the two girls came back.

I just read a book by a Mr. Roman

Trista (phonetic) who, this boy was born in

Poland but also in the Austrian part of Poland

who writes that everybody speaks about 6 million

people who died but nobody speaks about the

survivors, who although they survived

physically, their soul was destroyed during that

time.

I don't think any of the real Holocaust survivors have managed to come out with a whole soul. I don't think there is one of them.

And now I would like to tell you that the Swiss not only robbed me once but they robbed me a second time because when I was in Israel, I managed to go to university and study history and theology. I worked for the treasury department as an assistant on torah and my father was the right hand of the first state controller in Israel, a very high distinction, very little money but he was very happy.

And I love Israel very much, although the first we had to stay in tents and I don't want to talk about it. It was very difficult. But we were so happy not to hear Dirty Jew any more.

After several years though, I met my husband who came from Poland had been in six -- six years in all the concentration camps and had survived. And he -- we got married and I didn't want to come to the United States. Whoever survived from my city, as I said, is in Israel and I didn't speak English and I never wanted to come to the United States but he persuaded me to come and that after two years, he was a very, very, gifted man. He had I, believe, a 200 IQ. He could sell the Brooklyn Bridge even to you, Honorable Judge.

THE COURT: He wouldn't need a 200 IQ to do that.

MS. RICHSTEIN: I think so. I never met anybody who really he was so talented in putting his views on somebody else. It was so easy. Anyway --

THE COURT: Tell me how the Swiss -- MS. RICHSTEIN: Please?

# Sylvia Richstein

THE COURT: Tell me how the Swiss cheated you a second time.

MS. RICHSTEIN: When my husband died, he had \$5 million in Swiss account. Since 1972, , he had the Swiss account there in his own name. I was a beneficiary and after his death, I was named also the administrator of the account because the Swiss said there is reciprocity between New York and Switzerland.

But I didn't want to immediately give me -- I had the account number, you know, how much it was there. I had to be the administrator there, too a year.

And now I want to come to the crux of the matter because the Swiss Bank had a branch here and as a matter of having a branch here, the jurisdiction of New York State fell over the Swiss Bank. And the moment that I have the papers with all the stamps that I was the administrator of the account, I faxed and telefaxed, whatever it was at that time in '85, to Swiss and I also gave it here to the legal department and I didn't hear from them for a while. I had in Switzerland a lawyer, I had it in Austria when my husband, a lawyer, I had here

# Sylvia Richstein

a lawyer, I had in Canada a layer, and in Israel a lawyer and every place a lawyer.

But after a month, they wrote back my husband was not a New York citizen or whatever, he was some place in Spain and they are not going to give me anything. And I went to the best international lawyers, most of them were Swiss Bank lawyers, they hired everybody here and they had the best lawyers. But finally, asked for \$250,000 which I did not have. And so, I never got any papers from Switzerland.

When Senator D'Amato started his thing in 1997, I went there and I went to one of the lawyers and I started bombarding telephone -- with telephone and faxes and lucky, I speak seven languages and naturally, German and French between them. And so the account was in (unintelligible). And naturally I got back papers afterward.

And it is so obvious, they did it in such a way, it's really not even funny that they took the money but I never saw it because I don't have money to hire a \$200,000 lawyer.

So, you see in front of you, I came here married to a millionaire. I, my husband

wanted to buy something, want outside the city, so I live on the upper east side now, look at me. This is the face of, I wouldn't even say needy, I'm destitute. I don't have a penny to my name. I only have \$5,000 in debt.

For some reason, I get \$750 a month in social security. I worked here. My husband worked here. He gave 300 other people work here. We pay taxes. I was one of the big donors and the people who gave money to UJA and Hadassah and I am here.

I went back to university here, too.

Here I got my gold medal in economics and

business administration. I worked here but I

didn't think I had to save. I had millionaire

husband, right?

Now, I got sick after my husband died and I didn't get any penny and I get \$750 a month. My rent approximately is \$900 and right now, in a way, I have to tell the Court, I don't know in an ironic way I am happy that I am in this way because I knew people from this side of the table when they always were so nice to take money from me and to get everything I could give and now when I need any assistance, I get to see

```
the people from the other way. And I think
somebody has to start seeing what's going on
with all of those non-profits.
```

Here was a lawyer by the name of 4 Lissner who spoke to you about Blue Card. 5 you like to know what Blue Card did to me last 6 year when I was in big trouble? I was very, 7 very sick and the way that I can live in my 8 apartment is by sharing my apartment for many, 9 many years. And when I didn't have anybody to 10 share the apartment and couldn't pay the rent, I 11 12 went to Blue Card, instead of giving me any help, they called up protective agency for 13 adults saying that I am not able to think 14 15 anymore.

THE COURT: I have to --

MS. RICHSTEIN: And do you know who did that?

THE COURT: I really have to stop you because there other people want to talk.

MS. RICHSTEIN: Okay. I understand that.

THE COURT: And it's getting late.

MS. RICHSTEIN: I just want to -- I have heard, I want to tell you that if anybody

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

gives out medal, you should get one for patience, and a second one for a very big heart.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. RICHSTEIN: And for a wonderful brain. The German did one thing good, they don't gave money to organizations, they gave money directly to people. And I believe that the Judge will find it in his heart to give money to the people who are really, really needy.

But before I go away, I just want to say something. I heard a lot of people speak about survivors. All people who are 70 and 80 and 90 are survivors. But we are Holocaust survivors and the people in Russia, excuse me, are Stalin survivors. The Russians didn't let any Jewish people back. They took them to Cabastan to Yubekastan (phonetic), they took them Siberia, nobody was left. Whoever was left was killed by the Germans.

Now the Claims Conference, instead of having people who have any training have people who speak Russian only. And when you go there, you don't hear Hebrew, no Yiddish, no German, no English. You think you are in Moscow.

# Sylvia Richstein

```
And to get anything from the Claims
 1
   Conference, I was a hidden child, I asked six
 2
 3
   years ago to get as a hidden child, I got
   nothing.
 4
            THE COURT: I don't have any
 5
   jurisdiction over the Claims Conference.
 6
            MS. RICHSTEIN: I know you don't.
 7
   want you tell you that Mr. Hevesi wrote to
 8
   Mr. Taylor and got no answer. Nothing.
 9
            THE COURT: I know. But I can't do --
10
            MS. RICHSTEIN: Nothing. You have to
11
   bribe.
12
            THE COURT: I can't do anything about
13
   it.
14
15
            MS. RICHSTEIN: Please don't give to
16
   organizations.
            THE COURT: I'm not.
17
            MS. RICHSTEIN: Please give to the
18
   people who need the money and the ones who put
19
20
   money there into it.
            THE COURT: So far, I haven't given
21
22
   money to any organization.
23
            MS. RICHSTEIN: No.
                                  Thank you.
24
            THE COURT: Thank you.
25
            Eliazer Bloshteyn. I haven't been
```

1 limiting people but I have to. I have to give 2 you five minutes.

MR. BLOSHTEYN: Your Honor,

Judge Korman, Special Master Gribetz,

Professor Newborne, my name is Eliazer

Bloshteyn. I am a deputy representative of

Odessa Original Association Former Prisoners

(phonetic) and the responsible secretary of

Odessa Society in New York City.

I am not a victim. I am not a survivor. Only my grandfather and grandmother, were born and died for Hungary in 1941. My uncle my aunt, work hard in first day of occupation of Tioport, Odessa (phonetic).

In -- on November 20, 2000, your Honor declared your decision on how to divide recent administration part of \$1 million to \$250 million for Jewish Holocaust survivors.

Unfortunately, only \$10 millon from that amount were allocated to commemorate of Holocaust peoples. None of them, forty years after your decision, the victims of the Holocaust still don't have proper commemoration of special (inaudible) of former USSR, where more than two and a half million Jews were exterminated by

1 Nazis.

One of the places of the terrible crime was a territory in south Ukraine name is at that time, Transnista (phonetic), whereby decrees of Hitler on the list, forty yettas and 12 (unintelligible) were established at the territory of five -- 50,000 square kilometers.

About 800,000 Jews made deaths there.

Please look at this map, your Honor.

Just in Odessa consider it as the capital of

Transnista during 907 days and night of enemy
occupation, about 200,000 Jews, Odessa Jews,
only Odessa Jews were exterminated.

During this period, 50,650 -- excuse

me, 56,000 Jews were born. During two nights,

from October 23 to October 24 in 1941, were born

in one place -- 45, excuse me, 45,000 Jews.

To date, sixty years after the liberation, there are still neither monuments, not even concrete memorial signs commemorating the memory according to Jewish tradition. Forty years over the Odessa Original Association of Jews (unintelligible) of Nazis, here's the Tulin project for constructive and memorial park for victim of Transnista. This project cost

\$24,0900 which were collected only by former prisoners -- sorry, former prisoners of Odessa's association.

Citizens of USR who say -- who immigrated to the country from Odessa managed to collect only \$5,000 received -- \$5,500 to support this project. In May, 2000, the construction of memorial park begin but have been start soon because of late -- of financing.

According to the project calculation, the cost of construction of the memorial park in Odessa is \$1,350,000 American dollars.

The representative of Odessa community applied to a number of organizations including Joint, (unintelligible), They don't hear us, to Claims Conference, to JE Federation for financial health but some refuse to help and others just don't answer.

We also didn't receive any answer to the single (unintelligible) address it to governments of Germany, Romani and Austria.

One or two minutes.

Due to the late of our memorial construction in Kiev, Mehl (phonetic), Odessa, Petroske, in Moscow are now frozen. For

```
instance, in Belarus, there is a town called
Truskadess (phonetic) where during the war,

532,000 people were murdered. Even a memorial
devoted to women fight us against
(unintelligible) which have to be built in
Israel cost them only $9,000, still says in
ruins because of the same reason.
```

Pour Honor, I came by to explain our proposal. We send you our proposal in registrated (sic) in (unintelligible) in April 26 because we knew about your memorandum on in April 1. We ask you, please, be attention, give me attention for our proposal and help to the people who live right now to -- who want to remember their brothers and sister who died, not only in Odessa, in Former Soviet Union, who has no memorials to create them.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Mr. Weissman?

MR. WEISSMAN: I was forty years when I was liberated and they call me survivor. I call myself survivor now today in this room. And now I am confused and I don't want to call myself survivor. I don't know what is survivor is anymore.

### Mr. Weissman

In 1945, fewer than 100,000 Jewish 1 survivors from all the death marches of Hitler 2 survived. In 2004, in this room, I hear 3 numbers, not money -- I'm not talking about money now, not millions of money, number of 5 survivors. 900,000 in Russia, 500,000 in Israel, hundreds of thousands all over the 7 world. If so, where are the 600 dead, if so 8 many survived. 9 What's going on? 10 11 THE COURT: Well, it deals with --MR. WEISSMAN: That are survivors? 12 13 They are all needy and this is something else 14 you're talking about. You're Holocaust survivors? 15 THE COURT: I know but there are 16 17 definite -- it all depends on how you --MR. WEISSMAN: Yes, I want to know the 18 definition. 19 20 THE COURT: The definition is that 21 there are people who survived who were not --

23 THE COURT: -- who survived the Nazi 24 onslaught who might not necessarily been in

Survived?

25 camps.

22

MR. WEISSMAN:

MR. WEISSMAN: I'm talking about how I spent the horror there and survived. My younger brother and older brother didn't. And all the people I left, no cemetery, no graves. Only the young people have a big (unintelligible) and nothing else.

Needy all over the world, all of
Europe, they practically suffer from the war.
The war is suffer. But you're talking about
survivors. I'm not about Swiss money that
belong to the people who had accounts there and
if they prove that, get all the money from the
Swiss Banks.

But second after them, Germany and all these monies, that somebody intimated important survivors of the camps of the death marches of people, somebody -- (unintelligible) mix up here today. I'm confused about all these things.

How to straighten it out in 2004, after a few 100,000 survivor from that horror, not every suffering of -- sure, they suffer, in everywhere, in Siberia in Japan and everywhere but I am talking about survivors (unintelligible). How many left there today, if there fewer than hundred thousand in 1945?

So, I am asking you first this is money from Swiss, give them -- the last bank -- it belongs to them but this is legal and moral. We have to get that money.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But next, it's something, make sure that if you will -- I can't say this word survivor anymore, the few who came out from that horror from that hell over there, they should be the second to recover some money. And the rest, let Putin and all these governments they got so much reparations -- here's another confusion, restitution, reparation and all these words. It's my poor English, I understand that there is a difference, so let the government go to Putin, the Russians took out from the Germany from Dresdin, from all over and all the valuables, factories and museums and everything, let the governments demand that they take care of the few Russian people who live there and take care of them.

But this money, the left over, if it will be left over, should be to give to very few people like me who -- I don't want even the money.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. WEISSMAN: I hope you know what I am talking about.

THE COURT: I do.

MR. WEISSMAN: (inaudible). I hope so. Goodnight.

THE COURT: Yakov Goodman.

Go ahead.

MR. GOODMAN: I asked for interpreter but if it's no, I will say with my primitive English.

Yakov Goodman and I am president of the World
Association of Russian Jews. And only few weeks
when I came from Belarus and for this reason we
cannot send our written proposal but I have few
marks for proposal from special master.

About the prices in Former Soviet
Union, for example, the orange and bananas, they
are the same prices like here. The medicine are
the same prices like here. The gas is more
expensive in Minsk than in New York. And this
is the reason why this money, most of the money
would go to Former Soviet Union, if for my
opinion, for my knowledge is that
(unintelligible).

### Yakov Goodman

I can only think and suggestion the reason. For last ten years, the Jewish population in Belarus was in -- is in five times less. But the Jewish bureaucrats in Belarus, I made representative of Joint, in five times more. Second, they like how this money goes it is from class conference to Joint from Joint to office in New York to office in, I don't know, in the Russian language, (unintelligible) in Lenin Minsk. And I kept the reading proof that for two years on this way was disappear more than \$4 million for Jews in Belarus.

That is the truth. It means that system is working on Jewish bureaucrats, not for survivor. And I raise -- add some comment to people who raised this. He has a question about (unintelligible). These picture was done in August last year. It is a small stature building with mosaic. You see its wall. This picture on the other Jewish cemetery in Mosak (phonetic). This history about Horotna (phonetic) cemetery here was mentioned and a lot of people know what is going on.

And they asked us some time ago, you

don't care for the cemeteries, we need the
place. It means and I hope that everyone will
agree with this, it means that on our generation
we have this obligation to make the order on
Jewish cemetery and fresh mass grave of the
victim of Holocaust.

And it is not a big money. For example, I think in Belarus if we will have \$8 million, we will mark all the places and put the stones and preserve for several generation. And I will say a few words for the government here because our organization have members in Belarus and Ziad.

You said that -- you mentioned that most poor people here is people who came -- just who came from Russia and we are here faced every day with problem with everyone dying.

We bought here the place on the cemetery and we have an opportunity to give the people cheaper than sold the cemetery. But unfortunately, the workers association of the (unintelligible) Europe has limited resources and I would ask that part of this money will come to our association that can help on the last staple of life -- when people are there

```
that they will have the stones, the matzevahs
1
  (phonetic) on the graves, the don't -- when they
  leave, they don't think something happened with
3
  their body after when they die.
4
5
```

Thank you very much. THE COURT:

Thank you. MR. GOODMAN:

THE COURT: Mr. Friedman?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

My name is Barry Friedman. I am a Holocaust survivor.

THE COURT: Go on.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm a Holocaust

survivor. I was in Mauthausen. And I am almost 80 years old.

Your Honor, remember I wrote your Honor 15 16 a few letters in this case. I want to --

THE COURT: You took a few appeals?

MR. FRIEDMAN: What?

19 THE COURT: You took a few appeals.

You wrote me a few letters. 20

MR. FRIEDMAN: But I do -- of course, 21

you have to defend yourself. I didn't do 22

nothing wrong. 23

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

24 THE COURT: You didn't. I'm just

25 saying, I'm completing the picture. MR. FRIEDMAN: I did. I want to comment, your Honor, on everybody's story about what was talking today. The organization had (unintelligible) complaint against the Claims Conference. I heard your Honor say that I have no jurisdiction on this.

THE COURT: That's right.

MR. FRIEDMAN: But so much, I ask, your Honor, not to make the same mistake that they did, the same thing that they did. This is (unintelligible).

Now the allegations that we have that the people said over there, the horrors, the problems of the survivors have here in the United States and the rest of the countries, but still have a lot of (unintelligible). They need, for example one problem is the housing. And your Honor heard about the housing also that they have -- the housing, they need. The survivors need housing and the question is how to give them.

I don't know if your Honor read my five page -- six page letter, the application was (unintelligible). But, your Honor, can -- it's also I wrote about the troubles and the problems

1 | we had.

But I also want to comment on this gentleman before a few minutes ago, Sharp and his idea. He talk about to give the same issuance --

THE COURT: He's right here. He's still here.

MR. WEISSMAN: Yes, I'm here.

MR. FRIEDMAN: No, not this one.

THE COURT: No?

MR. FRIEDMAN: No, he had the assisted living facility in the --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FRIEDMAN: And if, your Honor, could read my letters where I almost say the same thing that -- my association for survivors not for organizations, no.

Also, we -- I saw, I looked over the proposals that other people, organizations give you and I have to say that a lot of organizations which in them, they always serving -- they're serving for survivors, maybe a couple of percentages of survivors they're serving.

But it certainly was harder for other people, not only for them. And they -- I didn't see --

## Barry Friedman

```
1
   and some it's even that they self survivors,
   they're serving everybody. And they thinking
 2
   that they have a Hesed organization that they
 3
   give good deeds for the public, but it doesn't
   matter who if they're survivors or not
 5
 6
   survivors. But, your Honor has to know that
 7
   this is survivor's money.
            And if they can give (unintelligible)
 8
   say they give for the survivors so much money,
 9
   that -- I can't think.
10
11
            THE COURT: Finish up.
12
            MR. FRIEDMAN: I cannot think.
13
   your Honor, you can give me another time,
14
   another couple of minutes to talk to your Honor.
15
            THE COURT: Go ahead.
                           No, not here.
16
            MR. FRIEDMAN:
17
            THE COURT: Okay.
18
            MR. FRIEDMAN: (unintelligible).
   cannot talk right now. I am sorry.
19
20
            THE COURT: Why don't you call me up
21
   and I'll see you privately and you'll tell me
22
   whatever it is you want to tell me, okay?
23
            MR. FRIEDMAN: Okay. Thank you,
24
   your Honor.
25
            THE COURT: Rabbi Goldstein.
```

RABBI GOLDSTEIN: From the president of Trilaborina Rachanim and Lucia (phonetic) and still last year (unintelligible), I dedicated myself to the Holocaust survivors descended (unintelligible).

And I search, I know your Honor is trying particularly to hear in Buenoas, Bunditchiv (phonetic), in Israel and all these places I have visited.

Borakoshem (phonetic), I did that on my own, same with Rapundent (phonetic).

12 Unfortunately, last year I got sick, only -- I
13 am not able to fund it anymore.

But now I am talking to you as an individual, as person who receives the Article II funds. For the last 50 years, the let the same people who were entitled the last 50 years for the march in Germany. Unfortunately, those who were proud and I'm proud that I did it, like the lady said before, she didn't want to take money from the Germans. She didn't want to humiliate herself.

So, finally, when I got sick and tell me about all this Germans, which I did and it cost me so much money, so I didn't have really

1 much to live on. I got myself to the article
2 fund. It was not easy. It was a humiliation.
3 But I received it and I thanking the Claims
4 Conference for that.

like they used to say when we came here that we're "green horse". Now with that green horse, we have their liberty, the green horse and the yankees and there are -- a very (unintelligible) Holocaust survivors. Because everything is done in the name of the Holocaust survivors.

Hundreds of billions, it became an industry. I did this for ten years, voluntarily. I show you grants if you want it.

THE COURT: I understand. But what -- we're talking here about -- but we're talking about here about the plan of allocations.

RABBI GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Now, what I implore you, what I will plead now with the Judge, that the monies, just like initially Dr. Singer, I watched the proceedings and I read all the articles. And Dr. Singer said the same -- said it one time that all the Holocaust money will go directly to the Holocaust survivors.

THE COURT: That's what I am doing so

far but I don't know --

RABBI GOLDSTEIN: I hope the Judge will understand this. I happen to be in total support. I am a bed torah (phonetic) (unintelligible). And I feel that the torah people will decide that you cannot give something that which was designated to a (unintelligible) entity, whether it was poor, whether (unintelligible) survivors.

THE COURT: Okay.

RABBI GOLDSTEIN: Except that we -- if we are treated in a dignified manner, we will give back. Like for example, I feel that -- and I know the situation there and I know where it is needed and I know there you can really get ten percent of what (unintelligible) of what United States -- what it costs in the United States to get healthcare and all of those things, you can get it for ten percent. You can do that.

So, I propose and I feel that that will be the end. That 80 percent should go to the United States Holocaust survivors because I am now for the last year sick and I tell you the truth, I am ashamed to tell you, that I who

conducted the services for thousands of people,
the Holocaust memorial survivors, the
(unintelligible) services, they call me with the
business here to and I don't have the money to
do what I did. I did it for them on the way to
a -- I did for Sugarshin (phonetic). I did -but this year I can't do it.

Now if I had to go and call up the agency which I am dealing, he says well, you can go to Shifra (phonetic), 44th Street. You can go this -- isn't it a shame to tell me you can lose your apartment and I have to go ten blocks and come home 1 o'clock on the seder (unintelligible), where I used to be conducting the seder? I'm not proud and not because I am crying but because of the difficulties, the difficulties (unintelligible). You can die from that -- to think like that.

so, I am telling you, Judge, please, your Honor, and I just say one last word, that this money should be given, it's not supposed to be given, only to the Holocaust survivors. The rest of it, we'll give to the -- okay, I feel that the Holocaust survivors whoever is involved in working -- we agree to ten percent to give

```
them back, ten percent to Yeshivas and ten
   percent, I think we should give for the Ukraine
 2
   cities, those Ukraine citizens who are really in
 3
   need where I was a student, where it was the
 4
   Holocaust in Kiev -- in Wilderin (phonetic) and
 5
   Holocaust in Kushing (phonetic) and all of these
 6
   places. But to few things, like he says, I
 7
   wouldn't approve of it, of course. I don't know
 8
   what he meant, when -- I put in, I didn't have
 9
   the full impression of what in the world he said
10
   -- in the status he talked about that they give
11
   money for different thing. And when I think of
12
   us -- I mean, I didn't believe it but I believe
13
   it. I believe that the situation is that it
14
   should be improved in searching the society --
15
   the atmosphere should be light and good and
16
17
   abrkovalee (phonetic). Thank you.
            THE COURT:
18
                        Amen.
```

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RABBI GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Judith Hager.

MS. HAGER: This is the last one?

THE CLERK: Yes, ma'am.

MS. HAGER: Okay.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. HAGER: I am sorry I am the last

one. Anyway, I will only say I picked up few sentences oh, to be so late.

The question is that sixty years later after the Shoah we are waiting again the whole story. And Eisenstat, I read, the reason he says is because the war, the cold war was broken and the new generation is looking to find some uses for this question. But I think that all this would happen occasionally, that this clerk saw the documents burn. So, you see here you have a sham.

And I found out that this cold man, (unintelligible), the blood of someone doesn't appreciate you, is I told -- the blood is not resting and that's the reason that sixty years later the truth, the justice has to come out. And I don't know if sixty years later from now something else will happen and the whole thing will be again. So because the blood is there crying and screaming.

What I wanted to tell you,
Honorary Judge, what I heard today for all the
survivors and I know that what I represent a
group of (unintelligible) Sharim, they have so
much unitarian projects and to help the needy

## Judith Hager

people in food and to help them in medicals, to help children that are bad circumstances at home. But I wanted to tell you there was one point of the project that touched me very much and this is the project to help those women that suffering genetically from the problems that their grandparents or parents in hell. And that was also on the Chernobyl case and then the Persian Gulf that the problems that they -- affected their healths came over to them. And this is very touching.

Those women need a lot of research and a lot of -- whatever, you know, all of this procedure of health and have to have children. And from us, as a Jewish organization or whatever -- whoever it is, it's very important to any child to be born.

So the four -- I asking very much the Judge to look into this kind of formula which things belongs to the survivors. And I don't think it's -- I don't understand why all those survivors, as I'm sitting here on the whole day, to hear all of this needy people, and trying to billions recovered to help them, where are all those billions then?

## Judith Hager

And then I will say to Germany and to Swiss 1 Banks, give up all of the money that you can 2 because whatever money you will give wouldn't be 3 -- so at least those many people. And to Switzerland, I would say (unintelligible), did 5 you murder, and you also want to inherit all 6 those money they claim for you? And if -- this 7 is the point of this, the needy survivors, I 8 have to say we are sitting here that they should make really a list of all those needy people and 10 give them to Germany and Schwatz (phonetic) and 11 they should have the responsibility to help all 12 of those needy people that are on this list. 13 Okay. Thank you very much. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. And I got to MS. HAGER: 15 give you how they say (unintelligible). 16 Okay. I hope so. 17 THE COURT: THE CLERK: We are adjourned. 18 19 (Matter concluded) 20 -000-21 22 23 24

CERTIFICATE

I, ROSALIE LOMBARDI, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_ , 2004.

Rocalie Lombaudi

Rosalie Lombardi Transcription Plus II